Natural polymers for the microencapsulation of cells
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The encapsulation of living mammalian cells within a semi-permeable hydrogel matrix is an attractive procedure for many biomedical and biotechnological applications, such as xenotransplantation, maintenance of stem cell phenotype and bioprinting of three-dimensional scaffolds for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. In this review, we focus on naturally derived polymers that can form hydrogels under mild conditions and that are thus capable of entrapping cells within controlled volumes. Our emphasis will be on polysaccharides and proteins, including agarose, alginate, carrageenan, chitosan, gellan gum, hyaluronic acid, collagen, elastin, gelatin, fibrin and silk fibroin. We also discuss the technologies commonly employed to encapsulate cells in these hydrogels, with particular attention on microencapsulation.

1. Introduction

Cell encapsulation technologies aim at entrapping viable and functional cells within a semi-permeable matrix. A suitable matrix must be biocompatible, it must support cell survival and therefore it must be permeable to oxygen, to the incoming nutrients and to the outgoing toxic metabolites. Other properties may depend on the specific application. For example, encapsulation techniques have been investigated for xenotransplantation to treat endocrine diseases, such as diabetes [1], anaemia [2] and restricted growth [3]. The transplanted cells must be shielded from the host immune system to avoid the use of immunosuppressant drugs that lead to undesirable side effects [4,5]. Therefore, the matrix should have a fine-tuned porosity to block antibodies and T-cells while permitting the passage of the incoming signalling molecule and the outgoing response. Furthermore, it should elicit a minimal host reaction and have a low degradation kinetics to prolong the protection of cells. For applications other than immunoprotection, the properties of the matrix may be very different. For applications directed towards the repair or regeneration of tissue, biodegradability of the matrix could be desirable. When the matrix degrades, the entrapped cells may proliferate and create their own extracellular matrix in place of the artificial one used to entrap them. Given the different applications of this technology, many biomaterials have been proposed as entrapping matrix to fulfil the specific requirements. Suitable materials for cell encapsulation should mimic the extracellular matrix and should be processed under conditions compatible with the presence of cells. Many of these materials are naturally derived polymers that form hydrogels. Hydrogels are highly hydrated materials composed of hydrophilic polymers that are cross-linked to form three-dimensional networks. They are soft, highly porous structures that once implanted induce a low protein adsorption because of the low interfacial tension with the surrounding fluids [6]. Hydrogels derived from natural materials have a similar structure to the extracellular matrix of many human tissues. They are made of polymers similar to the biological macromolecules engineered by nature to perform specific functions in a demanding environment. Some of them are abundant (e.g. marine sources [7]) and furthermore they can often be processed under mild conditions compatible with cell survival [8].
Once processed and formed, the hydrogel will exhibit different transport properties depending on its structure, chemical composition and the degree of cross-linking. This aspect is crucial in determining the ability of cells to obtain nutrients and remove waste that directly influences cell survival or death. In fact, the hydrogel matrix can act as a mechanical and/or chemical barrier towards incoming and outgoing molecules. When the barrier acts as a mechanical obstacle, there is an upper size limit of the solute that can pass through the matrix. The corresponding molecular weight cut-off can be quantified by assessing the permeation of a tracer molecule (e.g. a fluorescent dye using confocal microscopy) into the matrix or by assessing outside the matrix the presence of molecules produced by cells. When the barrier is chemical, the passage of molecules smaller than the molecular weight cut-off may be hindered or inhibited. Oxygen, signalling molecules, cell nutrients and metabolites should be able to diffuse through the matrix without being blocked. Furthermore, cell survival inside the matrix depends on permeability for nutrient supply and removal of metabolites, generating different gradients of concentration along the distance from the border. Cells that are far from the border of the matrix will receive a lower amount of nutrients in a given time. This means that a low mass transfer rate of nutrients may be enough for cells next to the external border but may not be for the inner cells. Also, if high cell densities of entrapped cells are needed, the mass transfer rate of nutrients should also be higher to sustain them. These observations suggest that microbeads with high surface area to volume ratio may perform better in providing the right conditions to support viable cells that protrude from the beads [12]. However there is also strong evidence that microsize beads may be more biocompatible than standard size beads [13,14]. For these reasons many groups have developed different microencapsulation techniques that will be the major focus of this review. Some of the properties of the microcapsules in relation to the encapsulation of cells are outlined in figure 1.

In the first part of this review, we discuss some selected naturally derived polymers that can be processed under mild conditions and that show potential for cell encapsulation (table 1). The gel phase can be formed by the reversible effect of external stimuli (temperature, ionic strength) or by permanent cross-linking [40]. We discuss their structure, their biodegradability [41,42] and their sol–gel mechanism. In the second part of this review, we describe some of the methods for cell encapsulation that allow the fabrication of cell-laden beads and capsules in the micrometric range.

2. Gelling mechanism

The entrapment of cells in a hydrogel usually starts by suspending cells in a water-based solution of a hydrogel precursor, the sol flowing phase. The suspension then undergoes a transition to the gel non-flowing phase by physical, chemical or biochemical processes (generally referred to as ’hardening’ in this review). Every passage of this process must be compatible with cell survival and should induce a minimal stress to cells. This means that, during the entire process, the environmental conditions should be as close as possible to the physiological conditions. Sometimes, however, it is not possible to comply with these requirements (e.g. photo-cross-linkable polymers). In these cases, it is important to note that the toxicity of a particular treatment is related not only to its nature and intensity, but also to the time of exposure [43]. In this review, we mainly discuss polymers that undergo a sol–gel transition in the presence of ions, when irradiated by light or when the temperature changes. See figure 2 for a general scheme of these methodologies.
Thermoresponsive polymers for cell encapsulation are polymers that form gels by a change in temperature. Some polymers form gel when heated above the transition temperature (e.g. elastin and to some extent collagen), while others form gels when cooled (e.g. gelatin, agarose). Polymers that form gel when cooled present an upper critical solution temperature (UCST) above which the water and the polymer are miscible. By decreasing the temperature below the UCST, the polymer becomes more hydrophobic and insoluble forming a gel. In contrast, polymers that form gels upon heating present a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) below which they are miscible with water. Most of natural thermoresponsive polymers, in contrast with the synthetic ones, exhibit a UCST and form gels by cooling warm water-based solutions [44,45]. When a polymer is dissolved in water, three types of interaction are possible [46]: polymer–polymer, polymer–water and water–water. The change in temperature makes the polymer–water interaction unfavourable, causing, for example, the transition from random coil to helix to minimize the exposure of the macromolecule to water. The transition of polymers that present an LCST is driven by a change in the entropy of water (hydrophobic effect).

Table 1. Summary of some properties of the materials discussed in this review with references on their use for cell encapsulation. ECM, extracellular matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>gelation</th>
<th>biodegradability</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>agarose</td>
<td>seaweed</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>thermal</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[15–17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carrageenan</td>
<td>seaweed</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>thermal/ionotropic</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[18,19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alginate</td>
<td>seaweed</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>ionotropic</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[1,20,21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitosan</td>
<td>crustaceans</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>ionotropic</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[22,23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gellan gum</td>
<td>seaweed</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>thermal/ionotropic</td>
<td>not clear</td>
<td>[24,25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyaluronic acid</td>
<td>ECM</td>
<td>polysaccharide</td>
<td>thermal/photo upon chemical modification</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[26–28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>ECM</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>thermal/pH variation</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[29,30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gelatin</td>
<td>ECM/collagen</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>thermal</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[31,32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elastin</td>
<td>ECM/synthetic</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>thermal</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[33–35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibrin</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>enzymatic</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[36,37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silk fibroin</td>
<td>silk</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>thermal/pH variation</td>
<td>depends*</td>
<td>[38,39]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Depends on the processing technique, e.g. β-sheet content.
while for polymers presenting a UCST the transition is driven by a change in enthalpy [47]. Thermoresponsive polymers that are suitable for cell encapsulation should have a sol–gel transition temperature around physiological temperature.

Some polymers instead form gels in the presence of electrically charged species. These polymers are polyelectrolytes and carry a net charge along their backbone. When polyelectrolytes are combined with multi-valent cations of opposite sign they cross-link forming insoluble complexes. The complexes are insoluble because the charged groups, responsible for the solubility of the polymer in water, are mutually shielded [48]. Commonly used natural polymers are negatively charged (alginate, hyaluronic acid, carrageenan), mainly because of the presence of carboxyl or sulfate groups along the chain. The influence of the charge type and density on cellular response is not fully understood. However, many negatively charged biomaterials do not induce a strong inflammatory response in contrast to positively charged polymers that tend to attract inflammatory cells [49].

Iionically and thermally cross-linked hydrogels are physical gels formed by ionic or secondary forces. These gels can be dissolved under appropriate conditions such as a reverse temperature change or when in contact with chelating agents to remove the cross-linking ions. Other gels are formed by chemically irreversible cross-linking. Hydrogels formed by light irradiation are an important family of chemically cross-linked hydrogels for cell encapsulation. The photo-cross-linking process involves the presence of photoinitiator compounds whose chemical nature determines the reaction rate and the wavelength of absorption [50]. When irradiated by light, typically in the UV range, the photoinitiators form free radicals that react with functional groups of the polymer backbone forming intermolecular bonds. Biopolymers must be chemically modified to be photo-cross-linkable, typically by introducing functional groups such as acrylates. Another family of chemically cross-linked hydrogels that has recently received a lot of attention is enzymatically cross-linked hydrogels [51]. These gels are inspired by the natural cross-linking reactions occurring in our body such as the formation of blood clots by the enzyme transglutaminase. The enzymatic reaction is catalysed at neutral pH and physiological temperature. Different enzymes can lead to the formation of gels with different properties [52] and the reaction can be controlled by controlling the activity of the enzyme [53].

3. Materials

3.1. Carbohydrates

3.1.1. Agarose

Agarose is a polysaccharide derived from the cell wall of a group of red algae (Rhodophyceae), including Gelidium and Gracilaria [54]. The plant is harvested and agarose is extracted after a series of purification and homogenization steps [55]. The main structure of agarose consists of alternating units of \(\beta\)-\(\delta\)-galactopyranosylamine and 3,6-anhydro-\(\alpha\)-\(\lambda\)-galactopyranosylamine. Agarose extracted from different sources can have different chemical compositions; for example, sulfates can be found instead of the hydroxyl groups with a variable degree of substitution. Agarose is a responsive polymer and its aqueous solutions undergo a sol–gel transition upon cooling. Above the sol–gel temperature, agarose exhibits a random-coil conformation in solution, and upon cooling the structure changes to a double helix. Some of the helices then aggregate and the hydrogen bonds between structural water and galactose stabilize the structure (figure 2i) [56]. The gelling temperature depends on the concentration of the solution, the average molecular weight of the polymer and its structure. For this reason, there is a wide range of commercially available agarose, characterized by different gel strengths and sol–gel transition temperatures. Some of them can be used for cell encapsulation since their sol–gel transition occurs at around 37°C. The thermal sol–gel transition of agarose is reversible and presents a marked thermal hysteresis, which is a wide temperature difference between gelling and liquefaction [57].

The average pore size of agarose hydrogels and, as a consequence, the mass transport properties are influenced by the concentration of the polymer in solution and the settling temperature. An increase in concentration results in tightly packed helices that translate to a decrease in pore size [58]. For a Bio-Rad Certified low-melt agarose, Narayanan et al. [59] measured an average pore size of 600 nm for a concentration of 1% w/v decreasing to 100 nm or less when the concentration was 3%. A decrease in settling temperature results in gel with smaller pores and higher elastic modulus (compression test). For example, Aymard et al. [60] showed a decrease in elastic modulus for a type I-A agarose (Sigma, 36°C gelling temperature) from 78 kPa for samples cured at 5°C to 53 kPa for samples cured at 35°C.

Agarose does not provide adhesion motifs to cells and does not allow interaction between adherent cells and the entrapping matrix [61]. However, it can be supplemented with adhesion molecules of the extracellular matrix, such as fibronectin [62] or RGD soluble peptide [63].

Agarose is not biodegradable—it can only be degraded by specific bacteria, not mammals. It can be degraded in vitro by agarases, which are classified according to their cleavage pattern into three types: \(\alpha\)-agarase, \(\beta\)-agarase and \(\beta\)-porphyranase [64–66].

Agarose hydrogels have been extensively investigated for cartilage repair in vitro [67]. They support chondrocytes in culture for up to six weeks [68] and gels embedded into the cells can be placed in a bioreactor that mimics dynamic physiological loading shortly after encapsulation [69]. However, the poor biodegradability of agarose inhibits the spontaneous repair process in vivo probably as a result of the foreign body reaction to this material [70].

3.1.2. Carrageenan

Carrageenan is a water-soluble anionic polysaccharide derived from the Rhodophyceae red algae by alkali extraction. Carrageenan is a galactan, like agarose, and it consists of repeat sequences of \(\beta\)-\(\delta\)-galactose and \(\alpha\)-\(\delta\)-galactose with variable proportions of sulfate groups. In carrageenan, the \(\beta\)-galactose is \(\delta\) while in agarose it is \(\lambda\). Commercially available carrageenan can be divided into three families based on the position and number of sulfate groups: \(\kappa\)-(kappa), \(\iota\)-(iota) and \(\lambda\)-(lambda) carrageenan carrying 1, 2 and 3 sulfate groups, respectively [71]. Aqueous solutions of \(\kappa\)- and \(\iota\)-carrageenan can reversibly form hydrogels in the presence of cations, while \(\lambda\)-carrageenan does not undergo a sol–gel transition. In fact, carrageenan in solution has a random-coil conformation and upon cooling \(\kappa\)- and \(\iota\)-conformation becomes a
double helix with the sulfate groups pointing outwards, while the higher sulfate content of \( \lambda \)-carrageenan inhibits the formation of the helicoidal structure [72]. The positive cations in solution neutralize the charge of the sulfate groups allowing a tighter aggregation of the helices [73]. Divalent cations are effective in promoting the formation of strong hydrogels for \( \kappa \)- and \( \iota \)-carrageenan while monovalent ions are particularly effective on \( \kappa \)-carrageenan. Hydrogels prepared using \( \iota \)-carrageenan are softer and more deformable than those prepared using \( \kappa \)-carrageenan [74]. Rochas et al. [75] measured a compression Young’s modulus of 1 kPa for 1.2% w/v \( \iota \)-carrageenan hardened overnight with a 0.25 M KCl solution, while Young’s modulus of \( \kappa \)-carrageenan was 10 kPa. They also showed [76] that an increase in Young’s modulus increases the molarity of the hardening bath and the concentration of the polymer in solution.

Despite the extensive and documented use of this polymer as an inducer of chronic and acute inflammation [77–79], there is no consensus on its effect on the inflammatory response of the host when newly developed purified carrageenan is used [80]. Also, both \( \iota \)- and \( \kappa \)-carrageenan hydrogels have been used for cell encapsulation by ionic cross-linking [18] and by the formation of complexes with polycations such as chitosan [81].

Similar to alginate the degradation of carrageenan hydrogels is driven by the exchange of ions with the surrounding fluids, while only some bacteria produce the enzymes that can cleave the polymer chain [82].

### 3.1.3. Alginate

Alginate is a polysaccharide, a polyanionic linear block co-polymer containing blocks of (1,4)-linked \( \beta \)-d-mannuronic (M block) and \( \alpha \)-\( \iota \)-guluronic (G block) acids [83]. It is extracted from many different species of brown seaweed and is also produced by two kinds of bacteria, *Pseudomonas* and *Azoto bacter* [84]. When derived from seaweed, the crop is cleaned and then alginate is extracted with a solution of a sodium salt followed by precipitation [85].

Alginates are commonly used polymer for encapsulation of therapeutic agents [86] and ever since the first successful microencapsulation of pancreatic islets was reported by Lim & Sun [33], it has become the most studied material for encapsulation of living cells [1,87]. When multi-valent cations (e.g. \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)) are added to a water-based alginate solution, they bind adjacent alginate chains forming ionic interchain bridges (figure 2b) that cause a fast sol–gel transition compatible with the survival of the entrapped cells. It is generally assumed that cations bind preferably to the G blocks of the chains but relatively recent studies also suggest that the M block (in particular, the alternating MG block) has an active role in cross-linking the polymer chains [88]. In alginate, a naturally occurring biomaterial, the relative ratio between the G and M blocks is not constant and depends on the seaweed from which it is extracted. The G blocks provide rigidity to the polymeric structure and the mechanical properties of alginates are influenced by the ratio of G and M blocks, and as expected high G alginates result in the formation of stronger gels in compression [89] and tension tests [90]. Alginates can form polyelectrolyte complexes in the presence of polycations such as poly-\( \iota \)-lysine or chitosan. Poly-\( \iota \)-lysine has been widely used to coat the alginate beads as a way of controlling their molecular weight cut-off. A positively charged cation may be immunogenic and attract host inflammatory cells [49,91]. For this reason, another external alginate coating is often added to the beads to form the so-called ‘alginate–polylysine–alginate’ (APA) system. However, developments in the characterization of APA capsules [92] suggest that these capsules are not multi-layered; instead they consist of an inner calcium-alginate core covered by one single external layer of a poly-\( \iota \)-lysine and alginate blend. The binding strength of the initial poly-\( \iota \)-lysine layer depends on the relative ratio of the G and M blocks in the alginate core. Poly-\( \iota \)-lysine does not bind tightly to alginites with a high content of G blocks because, in contrast to M blocks, they do not allow complete interaction with the polycation. When these capsules are implanted or incubated they induce a stronger response than capsules without poly-\( \iota \)-lysine [93,94]. Alginates can also be combined with other biopolymers to improve the biological response of the host. Such studies were recently performed using high-throughput methodologies for the evaluation of the *in vitro* [95] and *in vivo* [96] response to different combinations of biomaterials. Furthermore, alginate does not provide cell adhesion motifs, but it can be conjugated with RGD peptides to improve cell adhesion [97].

Alginates are characterized by a wide pore size distribution (5–200 nm) with the most open structure found in alginates with high G content [98,99]. The permeability of alginate is strongly influenced by the concentration and nature of the hardening ions; higher concentrations of ions create tighter structures (especially in the outer part of the gel in direct contact with the hardening bath) and as a consequence decrease the diffusion rate of large molecules outside the gel [100,101]. Instead, when the hardening bath consists of salts with low solubility in water (e.g. \( \text{CaCO}_3 \)) the structure that is formed is more uniform and the hydrogel has higher mechanical stability [102]. Furthermore, it should be noted that, as most of the proteins are negatively charged at pH 7, they do not easily diffuse into the gel while they diffuse out more quickly than expected [98].

The kinetics of dissolution of the alginate gel *in vivo* depends on the G/M composition and it is driven by the exchange of cross-linking ions with monovalent cations of the surrounding fluids. Once unbounded, the polymer chain cannot be degraded by the biological activity of the host. Alginate can be degraded by alginate but this enzyme is not present in mammals. Alginate degradation, however, can be manipulated by chemical modifications of the chain. A slight oxidation of alginate using sodium peroxide leads to a polymer that degrades in aqueous medium without interfering significantly in its ability to form gels [103–105]. The degradation kinetics of alginate can also be tuned by controlling its molecular weight distribution by gamma irradiation [106]. The dissolution of the alginate gel *in vitro* can be obtained by the exchange of ions with a buffer (e.g. phosphate-buffered saline without calcium and magnesium) or by using chelating agents such as EDTA or sodium citrate. Being non-adhesive and having been characterized by mild dissolution methods, alginate hydrogels have recently been used to manufacture switchable hydrogels, in which alginate is mixed with cell adhesive matrices such as collagen. In these constructs, alginate acts as a self-renewal permissive substrate for human pluripotent stem cells and, when needed, it can be removed from the matrix permitting the differentiation of cells on the collagen substrate [107,108].
Chitosan is a polysaccharide derived from chitin. Chitin is a naturally occurring polymer synthesized by many natural species as a structural component of their exoskeleton [111]. Chitin consists of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine chains arranged in semi-crystalline structures. Chitin is chemically similar to cellulose, another structural polysaccharide, with a hydroxyl position at C-2 being replaced by an acetylamide group [112]. Crabs and shrimp shells are the most common sources for commercially available chitin. To obtain chitin from the shells, an acid treatment is needed to dissolve the minerals followed by purification with an alkaline treatment to remove the proteins retained from the surrounding tissue [113,114]. The alkaline treatment can also remove some acetyl groups bound to the amine [115]. When enough acetyl groups are removed (50% deacetylation or more) the polymer is called chitosan. In acidic solutions, the primary amine is protonated and chitosan becomes a soluble, positively charged polyelectrolyte [116]. As a polycation, chitosan has extensively been used in a layer-by-layer technique, which allows the build-up of multi-layered thin films made of polymers of opposite charge [117,118]. In fact, chitosan can easily form polyelectrolyte complexes with other polyanions such as alginate [119], pectin [120], elastin [121] or even DNA [122]. For example, chitosan/alginate multilayers have been used as an alternative to poly-L-lysine to coat alginate beads containing cells, producing capsules the core of which could be liquefied [123,124]. The ability of chitosan to form complexes with polyanions is influenced by the degree of deacetylation because by removing acetyl groups more free amine groups can participate in the formation of the complex [125]. The degree of deacetylation influences the physical, mechanical and biological properties of chitosan. For example, chitin can be degraded in vivo, by the activity of lysozymes up to the formation of amino-sugars [126]. According to Tomihata & Ikada [127], the rate of degradation decreases with the degree of deacetylation, showing a marked reduction after 70 mol% of deacetylation and being very low for fully deacetylated chitosan. This is in agreement with the results of Freier et al. [128], who also reported prolonged degradation for fully deacetylated chitosan. Furthermore, they reported a good adhesion and proliferation for dorsal root ganglion neurons for fully deacetylated chitosan that decreased proportionally with the degree of deacetylation, which was very low at 50%. Also, Chatelet et al. [129] reported that the degree of deacetylation has no significant influence on the in vitro cytocompatibility of chitosan films for keratinocytes and fibroblasts but plays an important role in the cell adhesion and proliferation of these two cell lines. Fibroblasts adhere twice as strongly to chitosan as to keratinocytes. However, while the rate of proliferation of keratinocytes decreased proportionally with the degree of deacetylation, the fibroblasts did not proliferate regardless of the number of acetyl groups present. The authors suggested that the high adhesion affinity of fibroblasts for chitosan materials could alter their growth.

3.1.4. Chitosan

Chitosan is a polysaccharide derived from chitin. Chitin is a naturally occurring polymer synthesized by many natural species as a structural component of their exoskeleton [111]. Chitin consists of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine chains arranged in semi-crystalline structures. Chitin is chemically similar to cellulose, another structural polysaccharide, with a hydroxyl position at C-2 being replaced by an acetylamide group [112]. Crabs and shrimp shells are the most common sources for commercially available chitin. To obtain chitin from the shells, an acid treatment is needed to dissolve the minerals followed by purification with an alkaline treatment to remove the proteins retained from the surrounding tissue [113,114]. The alkaline treatment can also remove some acetyl groups bound to the amine [115]. When enough acetyl groups are removed (50% deacetylation or more) the polymer is called chitosan. In acidic solutions, the primary amine is protonated and chitosan becomes a soluble, positively charged polyelectrolyte [116]. As a polycation, chitosan has extensively been used in a layer-by-layer technique, which allows the build-up of multi-layered thin films made of polymers of opposite charge [117,118]. In fact, chitosan can easily form polyelectrolyte complexes with other polyanions such as alginate [119], pectin [120], elastin [121] or even DNA [122]. For example, chitosan/alginate multilayers have been used as an alternative to poly-L-lysine to coat alginate beads containing cells, producing capsules the core of which could be liquefied [123,124]. The ability of chitosan to form complexes with polyanions is influenced by the degree of deacetylation because by removing acetyl groups more free amine groups can participate in the formation of the complex [125]. The degree of deacetylation influences the physical, mechanical and biological properties of chitosan. For example, chitin can be degraded in vivo, by the activity of lysozymes up to the formation of amino-sugars [126]. According to Tomihata & Ikada [127], the rate of degradation decreases with the degree of deacetylation, showing a marked reduction after 70 mol% of deacetylation and being very low for fully deacetylated chitosan. This is in agreement with the results of Freier et al. [128], who also reported prolonged degradation for fully deacetylated chitosan. Furthermore, they reported a good adhesion and proliferation for dorsal root ganglion neurons for fully deacetylated chitosan that decreased proportionally with the degree of deacetylation, which was very low at 50%. Also, Chatelet et al. [129] reported that the degree of deacetylation has no significant influence on the in vitro cytocompatibility of chitosan films for keratinocytes and fibroblasts but plays an important role in the cell adhesion and proliferation of these two cell lines. Fibroblasts adhere twice as strongly to chitosan as to keratinocytes. However, while the rate of proliferation of keratinocytes decreased proportionally with the degree of deacetylation, the fibroblasts did not proliferate regardless of the number of acetyl groups present. The authors suggested that the high adhesion affinity of fibroblasts for chitosan materials could alter their growth.

3.1.5. Gellan gum

Gellan gum is a polysaccharide derived from the microbial fermentation product of *S. elodea*. A pure culture of *S. elodea* is inoculated in a medium of glucose, nitrogen and inorganic salts. When the glucose is exhausted by the biological activity the broth is refined and the gum is collected after precipitation with alcohol [138]. Gellan gum is a linear anionic polysaccharide composed of tetrasaccharide repeating units (1,3-β-D-glucose, 1,4-β-D-glucuronic acid, 1,4-b-D-glucuronic acid, 1,4-L-rhamnose) [139,140]. Gellan gum is available in two isoforms, an acylated form that produces soft hydrogels and a deacetylated form that produces hard and brittle gels. Both forms are in random-coil conformation at high temperature and upon cooling there is a transition to double-helix conformation [141]. The double-helices self-assemble in clusters of anti-parallel structures called junction zones which are joined together in a three-dimensional structure by untwined regions of the polymer chain. The presence of cations in solution is needed to obtain a stable hydrogel. Without cations the negatively charged carboxyl side groups repel each other hindering the aggregation of the helices. Monovalent cations in solution can electrically shield the carboxyl group by a tighter aggregation of the helices while divalent cations, in addition to their screening effect, can bind together two carboxyl groups producing stronger gels [142,143]. These requirements for the sol–gel transition (temperature, presence of cations) are compatible with a physiological environment and as such the sol–gel transition of gellan gum is compatible with cell entrapment and survival. Furthermore, the carboxyl group of gellan gum can be used for chemical modifications to improve its mechanical properties and stability in vivo. For example, when modified with methacrylates, the hydrogel obtained is photo-cross-linkable and presents a higher stability because of the covalent bonds between the chains [144].
Ferris & in het Panhuis [145] have characterized the mechanical properties of Gelzan, a commercial formulation of gellan gum. They showed an increase in elastic modulus in compression from 100 to 400 kPa by increasing the concentration of the polymer in solution from 0.5% to 1.5%. The number of adherent cells (L-929 mouse fibroblasts) decreased following an opposite trend and reached a minimum for gellan gum of 1.5%. They also showed an initial increase in elastic modulus from 250 to 350 kPa by increasing the concentration of calcium ions in the hardening bath from 5 to 10 mM. The elastic modulus then decreased back to 200 kPa by further increasing the concentration of ions to 15 mM. The number of adherent cells followed a similar trend and was maximum for the gellan gum hardened with a solution of 10 mM.

Some authors have conducted experiments aimed at characterizing the degradation of this hydrogel in vitro and in vivo [146,147]. The degradation rate of the gel is influenced by the number of acyl groups; in particular, hydrogels made of low acyl gellan gums degrade more slowly than the high acyl forms [148]. Furthermore, gellan gum is degraded by the enzyme galactomannanase [149].

### 3.1.6. Hyaluronic acid

Hyaluronic acid is a polysaccharide present in all living organisms and is found in most connective tissues [150]. It is a glycosaminoglycan synthesized by membrane-bound hyaluronan synthases, which distinguishes it from other glycosaminoglycans that are produced in the Golgi apparatus. Hyaluronic acid can be obtained from many tissues by extraction or enzymatic digestion and it can also be produced by bacteria. Different sources and extraction protocols can lead to preparations of hyaluronic acid characterized by similar molecular weight but different content of endotoxins and contaminating proteins, leading to a different behaviour in in vitro and in vivo experiments [151,152]. Hyaluronic acid is a linear anionic polysaccharide comprising 1,3-β-glucuronic acid and 1,4-β-N-acetyl-glucosamine, a structure conserved in all mammals [153]. It is a hydrophilic polymer that can form highly viscous solutions at low concentrations [154]; it is widely used as a lubricant and in preventing postsurgical adhesions [155]. The molecule of hyaluronic acid in solution is stiffened by a combination of the chemical structure of the disaccharide, internal hydrogen bonds and interactions with the solvent [156]. The polymer has to be chemically modified to form a hydrogel. This procedure may involve the modification of the carboxyl or the hydroxyl group by esterification and cross-linking with, for example, glutaraldehyde, carbodiimide and divinyl sulfone [157]. For cell encapsulation, the polymer can be treated with methacrylic anhydride to obtain methacrylated hyaluronic acid, a photo-cross-linkable polymer (figure 2c) [158]. Seidlits et al. [159] showed that the mechanical properties of methacrylated hyaluronic acid can be tuned to be close to the natural central neural tissues. The compressive modulus depended, as expected, on the initial degree of methacrylation (DM) and ranged from 3 to 10 kPa for a 1% w/v hyaluronic acid with a molecular weight of 1500 kDa. They also observed that the diffusion of small molecules (DAPI) was inversely related to the DM. Bencherif et al. [160] observed a monotonic increase in the shear modulus as the DM increased with a variation less pronounced for highly methacrylated hydrogels. The hydrogel with a DM of 14% had a shear modulus of 15 kPa, increasing up to 30 kPa for 30% DM and 60 kPa for 90% DM. They measured the influence of the concentration of the polymer on the shear modulus for a hyaluronic acid with 32% DM, ranging from 22 kPa for a 2% w/v hyaluronic acid up to 70 kPa for 10% w/v. They did not observe substantial differences in cell attachment and proliferation on hydrogels with different DM.

Hyaluronic acid does not favour cell adhesion but it can be further modified to incorporate adhesion motifs such as RGD [161]. Hyaluronic acid is used for the encapsulation of all those cell lines whose extracellular matrix is rich in glycosaminoglycans or hyaluronic acid itself. For example, hyaluronic acid has been studied extensively for cartilage tissue engineering, demonstrating its ability to support chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells and the formation of cartilaginous matrix [162]. Another important field of application of this polymer is neural tissue engineering since hyaluronic acid has an important role in the development of the central neural system, in nerve regeneration, in astrocyte activation and proliferation after a spinal cord injury [163].

Hyaluronic acid is biodegradable in mammals. It is rapidly degraded by hyaluronidase, β-glucuronidase and β-N-acetyl-glucosaminidase up to the formation of low molecular weight hyaluronic acid and oligosaccharides that enter the glycolytic pathway [150].

Being naturally present in most connective tissue, hyaluronic acid is commonly used for the encapsulation of cells whose extracellular matrix is rich in hyaluronic acid such as chondrocytes [164].

### 3.2. Proteins

#### 3.2.1. Collagen and gelatin

Collagen is the most abundant protein in humans and the main component of the extracellular matrix of many tissues. It is mostly synthesized by fibroblasts and osteoblasts [165]. Among the existing collagen types, collagen type I is the most abundant and is extracted from tissues (ligaments, skin) by enzymatic and acid treatments [166]. Collagen proteins consist of a unique triple helix extending over a large portion of the molecule. The helices assemble in complex supramolecular structures. Every third amino acid of the chain of the helix is glycine. Glycine, a very small amino acid, occupies the centre of the helix allowing a tight packing of the three chains. About one-third of the remaining amino acids are proline and hydroxyproline and have their side chains pointing outwards from the helix [167]. Collagen type I is typically dissolved in diluted acid. Collagen self-assembles to form a hydrogel when the solution is neutralized (e.g. with NaOH) and heated to physiological temperature [168]. For cell encapsulation, cells can be mixed with the neutralized collagen solution and the suspension can then be moved to an incubator. Collagen contains some adhesion motifs as RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) an important tripeptide for the interaction between a variety of cells and the extracellular matrix. Collagen can be rapidly biodegraded in mammals via collagenases and metalloproteinases to yield the corresponding amino acids. The rate of degradation can be controlled by enzymatic treatment or chemical cross-linking [169]. Because collagen is the main component of the extracellular matrix of many tissues it has found many potential
applications for tissue engineering [170] such as cartilage repair [171], mesenchymal stem cell-based therapies [172], bone regeneration [173], etc. Collagen is the most common clinically used biomaterial for skin repair [174].

The triple helix of collagen can be broken down into single-strain molecules to obtain gelatin [175]. Two different types of gelatin can be obtained by treating collagen: one is the result of the hydrolysis of the amide groups of asparagine and glutamine into carboxyl groups, while the other is the result of an acid treatment. The carboxyl groups make gelatin negatively charged [176]. Gelatin is thermoresponsive, undergoing a reversible sol–gel transition by cooling a water-based solution of the polymer below 35 °C. The hydrogel can be liquefied by heating it to physiological temperature. This property has been exploited to fabricate hydrogels with an inner gelatin core that ‘melts’ once placed in physiological conditions [31] or to fabricate porous cell-laden scaffolds with the gelatin beads acting as porogen [177]. Gelatin can be chemically modified to encapsulate cells so that it does not liquefy when placed at physiological temperature. For example, methacrylate groups can be added to the side chains of gelatin, resulting in photo-cross-linkable gelatin–methacrylamide [178].

### 3.2.2. Fibrin

Fibrin is a major component of blood clots and a key regulator of wound healing. Fibrin is a polymer similar to collagen formed by the enzymatic polymerization of the protein fibrinogen in the presence of thrombin [179]. Fibrinogen is a water-soluble glycoprotein of 340 kDa, comprising two sets of three polypeptide chains that are linked together by disulfide bonds. Fibrinogen is synthesized primarily in the liver, it is present in the human blood and its concentration increases after a trauma. Fibrinogen can be isolated by precipitation from the plasma of autologous blood, for example, by a series of freezing and thawing cycles or by using chemicals aimed at decreasing the solubility of the protein [180]. Thrombin catalyses the cleavage of fibrinopeptides leading to fibrin monomers [181]. Cleavage of the fibrinopeptides occurs in the central N-terminal part of the fibrinogen exposing the ‘A-’ and ‘B-knobs’ binding sites [182]. The knobs interact with the ‘A-’ and ‘B-holes’ present at the end of the fibrinogen molecule forming insoluble fibrin fibres. The branching of the fibres results in a three-dimensional fibrin network. Finally, in the presence of calcium the transglutaminase factor XIIIa cross-links and stabilizes the structure. Factor XIIIa is derived from the thrombin-mediated cleavage of factor XIII, a transglutaminase that can promote stem cell adhesion and proliferation [183]. The formation of the gel and its mechanical properties are influenced by the concentration of fibrinogen and thrombin [184]. Lower concentrations of thrombin lead to more compact gels, with thicker fibres and better mechanical properties. Furthermore, when fibrin is used as the matrix to encapsulate stem cells, the concentration of thrombin and fibrinogen can influence their proliferation rate and their differentiation. The results from Catelas et al. [185] show that formulations containing a lower concentration of fibrinogen (5 mg ml⁻¹) can support human mesenchymal stem cell growth, while a higher concentration (50 mg ml⁻¹) has an increased potential for their differentiation into osteoblasts. In mammals, fibrin can degrade rapidly owing to the presence of proteolytic enzymes (fibrinolysis). In fact, during wound healing, fibrin is gradually degraded and replaced by mature extracellular matrix. The degradation kinetic of fibrin can be controlled by protease inhibitors such as aprotinin [186,187].

A cell suspension in fibrinogen and thrombin can be injected to form a fibrin hydrogel in situ. This particular approach has found many applications in tissue engineering, specifically for cell therapies to enhance cell retention after transplant. There are many examples in the literature of these injectable systems not only for restoring the myocardium after myocardial infarction [188–190] but also for other applications such as bone [191] or muscle regeneration [36].

### 3.2.3. Elastin

Elastic fibres are present in all those tissues that require the recovery of their initial shape after deformation, such as skin, ligaments and blood vessels [192]. The main components of elastic fibres are elastin and microfibrils. Elastin is a protein formed by the polymerization of water-soluble tropoelastin in a process called elastogenesis. The tropoelastin proteins are synthesized by cells and they consist of hydrophobic and cross-linking hydrophilic domains. The hydrophobic domains mainly consist of valine, glycine and proline, while lysine and alanine are the main components of the hydrophilic domains [193]. The tropoelastin proteins are secreted by cells; outside the wall they self-assemble in a process called coacervation, following a precise patterning of mostly alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic sequences [194]. The tropoelastin aggregates are then deposited and cross-linked onto microfibrillar templates forming elastin [195].

Natural elastin can be extracted from tissues by harsh alkaline treatments leading to a poor yield. Typically, elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are used, which are synthetic naturally inspired polymers using human elastin sequences as their building blocks [196]. ELPs are expressed from a plasmid-borne gene in *Escherichia coli* [197]. The polypeptides obtained by the purification of the cell lysates are macromolecules constituted by large monomers that are repeated a few times. For this reason and to underline their engineered nature, Rodríguez-Cabello et al. [198] proposed the term elastin-like recombinamer (ELR). The most studied members of the ELR family are based on the VPGVG pentapeptide, the most abundant sequence in natural human elastin. Other ELRs have been synthesized by substituting the fourth amino acid with other natural amino acids except proline. The ELRs are thermally responsive and they undergo a reversible sol–gel transition upon heating. Under the transition temperature, they are in random-coil conformation and upon heating the chain folds hydrophobically forming a regular, ordered β-spiral structure stabilized by hydrophobic interactions. The transition temperature is influenced by the molecular weight, the concentration in solution and the amino acid composition but can be easily controlled to be between room and body temperature. Furthermore, biodegradation sequences [199,200] or specific cell adhesion motifs can be added to the polymer chain [201,202]. For example, a negatively charged ELR containing the RGD motif was produced by Costa et al. [203] to fabricate microcapsules with a layer-by-layer technique using chitosan as a polycation.

### 3.2.4. Silk fibroin

Silkworm silk, namely silk produced by *Bombyx mori*, is a filament made from two different proteins, sericin (the outer coating, about 25%) and fibroin. For biomedical applications,
sericin is removed by a process called degumming, typically by boiling silk in an aqueous solution of sodium carbonate. The primary structure of the protein is the recurrent amino acid sequence (Gly-Ser-Gly-Ala-Gly-Ala) \( n \) forming a light chain (MW 25 kDa) and a heavy chain (MW 350 kDa) linked by disulfide bonds. The fibroin spun by the silkworm consists of \( \beta \)-sheet secondary structures arranged so that the methyl groups and hydrogen groups of opposing sheets interact [204,205]. This water-insoluble secondary structure, known as silk II, is stabilized by strong hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces. Solvents with high ionic strength and high concentration of salts (e.g. lithium bromide) are needed to break down the hydrogen bonds and obtain a water-soluble random-coil conformation known as silk I. The solution is then dialysed against distilled water for 3 days to remove the salt. The concentration of the protein in solution can then be increased by dialysis against polyethylene glycol [206]. Hydrogels can be prepared by fibroin solutions in water; this process can occur in mild conditions permitting the encapsulation of cells. The water-soluble silk I is metastable, and fibroin chains tend to aggregate forming stable \( \beta \)-sheet structures [207]. The sol–gel transition process is not fully understood but is known to be influenced by mechanical stresses, the concentration of the protein, temperature, pH and concentration of salts in solution [208]. For example, Wang \textit{et al.} [38] successfully encapsulated cells into a fibroin gel by sonicating fibroin aqueous solutions at different concentrations. The gel forming of fibroin can occur under mild conditions, permitting the encapsulation of cells. After sonication, the liquid solutions were mixed with cells and the suspensions were moved to an incubator where they formed hydrogels. The authors stated that several physical factors related to sonication, including local temperature increase, mechanical/shear forces and increased air–liquid interfaces, affect the process of rapid gelation of silk fibroin (0.5–2 h). Kim \textit{et al.} [207] characterized the influence of temperature, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{K}^{+} \) concentrations, and pH on the sol–gel transition of fibroin. They showed a decrease in gelation time at 37 °C from 30 days to one week by increasing the concentration of the polymer in solution from 2% to 20% and that the presence of ions could shorten the time needed for the sol–gel transition even more. They also measured the compressive modulus of the gels at 37 °C, which increased from a few kPa for fibroin 4% up to 4 MPa for fibroin 16%. They also observed a decreased average pore size for the gels made from higher concentration of polymer. Fibroin hydrogels can also be prepared with low cytotoxic chemical cross-linkers such as genipin. Sun & Incitti [209] characterized genipin cross-linked fibroin hydrogels formed after 48 h of incubation at 37 °C. The long incubation time was necessary to obtain a high cross-linking degree (about 93%) needed for the formation of the gel, since genipin preferentially reacts with lysine and arginine which are present in fibrin only in low percentages. The hydrogel obtained had a storage modulus of 41 kPa and supported the long-term proliferation of pluripotent cells.

Fibroin undergoes a slow proteolytic degradation and the protein is broken down into smaller polypeptides and free amino acids [210]. The rate of degradation depends on the \( \beta \)-sheet content and is related to the preparation methods of the hydrogel [211].

### 4. Methods

The materials and the hardening strategies should be combined with adequate technologies to encapsulate cells. This section will explore methods that allow the production of hydrogels with controlled size, often in the form of capsules or particles in the micrometre range (table 2). Most of these capsules or particles are spherical since there is a wide range of applicability of spherical-based devices for tissue engineering and other biomedical applications [216].

#### 4.1. Extrusion

The easiest method to encapsulate cells into hydrogel beads is by gravitational dripping. A suspension of hydrogel precursor and cells is extruded through a small tube (i.e. a needle), the drop grows and when it reaches a critical mass it freely falls into a suitable hardening bath. According to Poncelet \textit{et al.} [217], the final diameter of the capsule is influenced by the density of the solution, the surface tension of the drop and the diameter of the pendant droplet neck (approximately the external diameter of the needle). This method usually leads to capsules above 1 mm diameter and because of the impact onto the bath they are not always spherical. Pereira \textit{et al.} [24] applied this method to suspensions of immortalized mouse lung fibroblasts and gellan gum with two different degrees of acylation, using a phosphate buffer as the hardening agent. The beads had a tear shape and there was a significant difference in diameter between the beads obtained using the two polymers due to the different properties of the solutions. They did not observe any substantial difference in cytotoxicity and cell viability up to 72 h.

When the extrusion is made directly into a hardening bath without any air gap, the interfacial shear forces on the drop at the tip of the needle inhibit its growth. This technique produces fibres and is called wet spinning. Popa \textit{et al.} [218] compared the two techniques showing that beads fabricated by dripping were...
at least two times bigger than wet spun fibres. The hydrogels were used to encapsulate ATDS cells into alginate–carrageenan hydrogels. This mixture of hydrocolloids is interesting since the two polymers share a similar gelling mechanism. In fact, they both cross-link in the presence of positively charged cations and a single bath of CaCl₂–KCl can be an adequate hardening agent for the mixture.

Smaller beads can be obtained by applying different methods aimed at ‘breaking’ the jet. One of these methods is by coaxial air flow, where a compressed gas is forced around the extruding droplet and the shear stresses applied by the gas cause the droplet to detach before it would fall due to gravity. Higher gas flows produce smaller beads while higher viscosities of the solution have a stabilizing effect resulting in bigger beads [219]. Using this encapsulator, Zhou & Xu [220] successfully encapsulated human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells in a blend of oxidized alginate and fibrin. The microbeads (several hundred micrometres) showed a quicker degradation rate and a higher cell density than pure and oxidized alginate. This device has also been used by Mazzitelli et al. [221], who adopted this technique to encapsulate IB3-1 cells in alginate using a bath of barium chloride as the hardening agent. The microencapsulation procedure did not alter the viability of the encapsulated cells and the cells could still be induced to pro-inflammatory responses after treatment with tumour necrosis factor-α. A coaxial encapsulator can also be used with a liquid instead of a gas to break the drop. Sakai et al. [222] used such a device to entrap cells in a multi-layered capsule made of phenolic-derived alginate and cellulose. The extruding liquid was a suspension of the polymers, horseradish peroxidase and cells. In this case, the outer fluid was liquid paraffin that contained hydrogen peroxide to allow the enzymatic cross-linking of the cell suspension.

There are other ways to break the drop and create microcapsules, one of which is the vibrational encapsulator, characterized by Mazzitelli et al. [212]. This system is based on the principle that laminar liquid jets break up into equally sized droplets by applying a vibration to the extrusion nozzle. By using low flow rates and high frequency of vibration, it is possible to obtain beads up to 100 μm. They were able to produce calcium-alginate gel beads with a very narrow size distribution and excellent morphological characteristics. The microcapsules did not alter the morphology, viability and functional properties of neonatal porcine islets.

Prüss et al. [223] have extensively characterized the JetCutter, a droplet generator that can be used with highly viscous fluids. This technology is based on a mechanical cut of a liquid jet by rotating cutting wires. The droplet size mainly depends on the diameter of the nozzle, the rotation and frequency of the cutting tool, the number and the diameter of the wires. The diameter of the beads produced by this technology ranges from 200 μm up to a few millimetres. With the JetCutter, Schwinger et al. [20] successfully encapsulated murine fibroblasts into alginate/poly-l-lysine complexes, showing that encapsulated cells were able to survive in culture for extended periods of time with unchanged rates of proliferation and preserved morphology.

Smaller beads can also be obtained by applying an electrical potential to a metallic extruder (figure 3). The technique, commonly referred to as bio-electrospray, consists in spraying a polymer solution pumped through a needle connected to a high-voltage generator [225]. The solution at the tip of the needle reacts to the presence of the electrical charge by accumulating charges of opposite sign on its surface. The resulting Coulombic repulsive forces create stresses on the liquid surface and deform it into a conical shape known as the Taylor cone [226]. If the electric field is sufficiently high, this electrostatic stress overcomes the surface tension at the apex of the liquid cone and a jet of drops is formed to expel the excess of surface charge (Rayleigh limit) [227]. Once the jetting condition is initiated the size of the drop is independent of the voltage applied and the distance from the target anode. Gasperini et al. [21] used this technique starting from a suspension of B50 mouse cells and alginate. The cell-laden droplets of the jet were collected in a calcium chloride bath as the hardening agent. They showed that, by increasing the concentration of cells in the suspension, higher applied voltages were needed to initiate the jetting condition. However, once the condition was initiated there were no substantial differences in the diameter of the beads, which ranged from 200 μm up to few millimetres. Cells did remain viable up to 30 days after encapsulation but they showed a different behaviour from control cells once released from the beads and cultured on a tissue culture plate. Cells migrated to form clusters leaving large portions of completely cell-free tissue culture plate and were not able to reach confluence.

4.2. Lithography

Lithography techniques for cell encapsulation can be divided into soft lithography and photolithography [228] (figure 4). Soft lithography is a strategy based on self-assembly and replica moulding for carrying out micro- and nanofabrication [229]. In this technique, a polymer solution is poured or spin-coated onto a master and then is cross-linked, obtaining a rubbery replica. The replica can contain channels that can be filled with
was shown that agarose microbeads functionalized with the complement receptor was immobilized on their surface. It at 40°C. A suspension of islets and agarose was mixed with liquid paraffin emulsion) [233]. When the dispersion reaches equilibrium, the suspension by emulsion is usually obtained by dispersing a hydrogel precursor into a non-miscible phase. Surfactants can be used to stabilize the emulsion and to obtain smaller drops (micro-droplets). The preparation of spherical objects by emulsion-based techniques has been widely used in the pharmaceutical industry [232]. Encapsulation, compared with standard emulsification techniques being the most common (figure 5). The area of the suspension accessible by light cross-links forming a hydrogel while the uncross-linked portion of the suspension can be washed away. The hydrogels obtained in this way are thin and their heights can be increased by repeating the process, thus obtaining multi-layer structures [230]. Using this technique, Shin et al. [231] encapsulated NIH-3T3 cells into hybrid hydrogels of photo-cross-linkable gelatin and graphene oxide on glass slides coated with polyethylene glycol diacrylate. They optimized the photo-cross-linking process by increasing the time of exposure when a higher concentration of graphene oxide was present in the gel. The hybrid hydrogels demonstrated tunable mechanical strength and enhanced electrical properties. The gels supported cellular spreading with improved viability and proliferation.

4.4. Microfluidics

Microfluidics is a technique dealing with the handling of fluids in microenvironments, such as microchannels where the flow of fluids is generally laminar. The flow is characterized by low Reynolds numbers [235], meaning that it is dominated by viscous stresses with negligible inertia effects. The laminar flow allows a fine control over the characteristics of the microdrop [236]. The generation of the microdrops usually involves the formation of emulsions of the polymer droplets in a non-miscible continuous phase. The generation of individual drops through microfluidics can be seen as a bottom-up approach to emulsification, compared with standard emulsification techniques being the top-down approach. In this bottom-up approach, a suspension of hydrogel precursor and cells is injected into a microchannel and the droplets are formed when the suspension intersects the continuous phase coming from other inlets. The intersection between the channels can have different geometries, with the T-junction [214,237] and the flow-focusing [238,239] being the most common (figure 5).

When two channels merge at a right angle the junction is called a T-junction. In this set-up, the continuous phase flows in the bigger main channel while the cell suspension is injected into the orthogonal inlet. The suspension coming from the orthogonal inlet penetrates the continuous phase and the droplet begins to grow. The flow intensity and the pressure gradient in the main channel bend the drop towards the direction of the

Figure 4. (a) Soft lithography approach to cell encapsulation. A mould is placed onto a cell suspension and the microvolumes are filled by capillary forces. The mould, transparent to UV, is moved onto a clean surface and placed under UV. The microgels that form can be detached from the mould. (b) Photo-lithography. A mask is placed on the cell suspension and then is irradiated by light for cross-linking. Microgels are formed and the rest of the suspension can be washed away.
4.5. Bioprinting

Bioprinting is a rapid prototyping technology that consists in the computer-aided layer-by-layer deposition of cells [17,242]. Recently, many bioprinting techniques have been developed, the working principle of which is often similar to standard printers for documents. In conventional printers, the ink is transferred from a reservoir or a substrate and deposited onto the paper by a piezoelectric/thermal head or a laser beam. The paper is engineered to absorb the ink. Similarly in some bioprinters, a suspension of cells, called bio-ink, is deposited by the printer onto a specific substrate, called bio-paper. The bio-paper is often engineered specifically for the bio-ink (to cross-link the bio-ink or to be cross-linked by it). Some bioprinters can be seen as an encapsulating device on top of a positioning system; in fact, bioprinting is often referred to as a bottom-up approach using microdrops as building blocks [243].

Cui & Boland [37] adopted this technique to print cell-laden fibrin scaffolds. In this case, they used bio-ink of thrombin and human microvascular endothelial cells that was printed using a modified commercial printer for documents. The cells could proliferate and connect to each other to form a confluent lining along the fibrin scaffold after 21 days of culture. Bioprinting technologies rely on a high-resolution placing system, and this allows unusual encapsulation methods. For example, it is possible to deposit a hydrogel precursor and then dispense a cell suspension on the exact same spot. Lee et al. [29] successfully encapsulated cells in collagen by depositing collagen type I on top of a substrate coated with sodium carbonate, acting as a pH-altering cross-linking agent. Then the droplets of cells in culture medium were dispensed on the partially cross-linked collagen layer to be lodged inside. Finally, the hydrogel was cross-linked by a ultralieve mist of calcium carbonate solution. More recently, Gasperini et al. [244] used a bio-electrospray deposition system to print cell-laden alginate scaffolds on top of a gelatin-enriched biopaper. Using this hydrogel as biopaper, it was possible to cross-link the alginate upon contact onto the substrate and print samples up to 3 mm thick. Billiet et al. [245] used a commercial bioprinter to fabricate cell-laden constructs using a photo-cross-linkable gelatin. They adapted the printer to obtain a homogeneous temperature during the extrusion of the liquid gelatin and with a Peltier cell they cooled the deposition substrate below the sol–gel temperature. Furthermore, by optimizing the printing and UV hardening processes they obtained highly ordered three-dimensional scaffolds with a high viability of entrapped cells.

4.6. Superhydrophobic surfaces

A drop of water placed onto a superhydrophobic surface will maintain a spherical shape. Cells can be encapsulated by dripping onto a superhydrophobic surface a suspension of cells in a water-based hydrogel precursor [215]. Then the drops, almost completely surrounded by air (or another desired atmosphere), are hardened over the hydrophobic surface maintaining the spherical geometry. This technique permits the preparation of a large range of particulate systems under mild conditions avoiding any loss of the cargo during the processing (encapsulation efficiency very close to 100%). Moreover, there is a good control over the size of the particles dictated by the volume of the dispensed suspension. Lima et al. [22] adopted this technique...
using a suspension of thermoresponsive chitosan (solution of chitosan and glycerophosphate) and cells. The droplets were placed on a superhydrophobic surface of polyethylene and a hydrogel complex was formed by the addition of sodium tri-polyphosphate. Upon heating, at 37°C a second sol–gel transition could be observed, predicting a hardening effect when exposed to physiological conditions. Cells could remain viable up to 7 days. Using this method, mesenchymal stem cells were encapsulated in alginate beads containing fibronectin. Cells exhibited good viability and osteogenic capability. Moreover, in vivo tests showed that these particles could stimulate bone regeneration in cranial defects [246]. More sophisticated layered particles could be obtained by the sequential deposition of hydrogel precursors over previously hardened particles allowing the distribution of cells or drugs in compartments with a radial arrangement [247]. Future developments on this technology should include dispensing systems able to place smaller volumes of hydrogel precursors over adequate superhydrophobic surfaces to obtain particles smaller than 800 μm.

4.7. Comments

Extrusion techniques range from simple semi-automatic extrusions of hydrogel precursors into a hardening bath to more complex patented systems. Generally, these techniques are best suited for fast gelling hydrogels, such as alginate. With these polymers, the surface of the droplet quickly cross-links once in contact with the hardening agent and the hydrogel coating that is formed stabilizes the shape of the drop. The liquid core can then be hardened by keeping the capsule in the bath, allowing the hardening agent to diffuse inside the capsule. The most interesting extrusion techniques are those able to fabricate gels in the micrometre range. Although these techniques are able to produce beads with a relatively good size distribution, it is not trivial to predict the final size of the beads. If we take as an example the bio-electrospray process and alginate, the beads are formed from a suspension of a shear thinning non-Newtonian fluid and viscous particles (cells) under the effect of an electric field. The final size of the droplets depends on the diameter of the needle, the electrical and rheological properties of the suspension, the flux of the suspension and the applied voltage. General qualitative information can be drawn but it is difficult to predict quantitatively the influence of these parameters on the final outcome. A similar observation can be made for other extrusion techniques. Techniques other than extrusion, such as lithography, have a better control on size, meaning that the final dimension of the bead can be easily predicted. In fact, in soft lithography the droplet is physically constrained inside a mould of predefined dimensions while in photolithography the dimensions of the microgel are determined by the dimensions of a photoresistant mask. Lithography techniques, specifically soft lithography, rely on the production of masters or masks that involves technologies which are not available to all research facilities, while extrusion or emulsion techniques rely on much more common equipment. The same can be said for microfluidic techniques since the microfluidic chip is usually fabricated by lithography or advanced micromachining. In microfluidics, there is also an added layer of complexity represented by the flow of the fluid in the microchannels. The chip has to be properly designed to accommodate inlets, outlets and the junctions where the droplet is formed by the intersection of the fluids. Furthermore, pumps are needed to control the flux of fluids inside the channels. However, once the chip set-up is optimized, microfluidic techniques allow an easier continuous encapsulation of cells with minimal human intervention. While photolithography techniques are restricted to photo-cross-linkable polymers, lithography and microfluidics are really versatile and allow the encapsulation of cells with basically all the polymers (and their modifications) discussed in this review: In soft lithography, once the fluid has filled the channel, it is trivial to put it in contact with hardening agents, change its temperature or irradiate it by UV. Similarly, in microfluidics an inlet can carry the cross-linking agent, the chip can be heated or cooled and it can be manufactured with materials transparent to UV such as PDMS, a common material to manufacture microfluidic chips.

Bioprinting might be the more complex technique to encapsulate cells. It is based on a deposition/encapsulation system which is coupled to an accurate positioning system. Even if complex, bioprinting can rely on cheap but accurate machines developed for the computer market (document printers and their controlling drivers). These printers make this technology appealing in the face of little investment. Furthermore, they are colour printers and usually contain four reservoirs (red, green, blue and black) to allow, for example, the encapsulation of different kinds of cells suspended into different hydrogel precursors. These systems are particularly complex encapsulating machines but this complexity is justified by the possibility to design drop-by-drop arbitrary structures that translate directly into cell-laden scaffolds. On the opposite side are emulsion techniques, which are simple, based on common equipment and can be easily scaled to produce a high number of cell-laden beads. These techniques can also rely on a well-established industrial technology developed for cosmetics and pharmaceuticals. The droplets obtained by emulsion typically have a wider size distribution than those obtained by other techniques. However, water-in-oil emulsion can be stabilized using surfactants (i.e. Tween and Span) that may help in narrowing the distribution and controlling the size of the droplets. Also in this case, as with extrusion, predicting the final dimension of the beads is not trivial. The system has to be carefully designed by choosing the surfactants and their relative amount in the suspension, considering the specific hydrogel precursor and the apolar phase used. The relative concentration of each component is usually established empirically. When thermal treatment is used to harden the droplets careful attention should be given to the kinetics of the sol–gel transition. Typically during this treatment, the suspension of droplets and non-miscible phase is quickly cooled (e.g. with an ice bath) to induce the sol–gel transition. The thermal treatment should be long enough to obtain the hydrogel but not too long to cause thermal shock to cells.

5. Conclusion

Since the first cell encapsulation by Lim & Sun [33], a notable amount of research has been aimed at optimizing the materials and process selection. Many authors have proposed materials and methods tailored for specific applications that range from the encapsulation for xenotransplantation to the production of scaffolds. Among these materials, an important part is represented by naturally derived polymers owing to their inherent similarity to the extracellular matrix and mild processing conditions. In this review, we described how some of these authors have combined the knowledge of specific polymers and their sol–gel mechanism with specific processing conditions compatible with the presence of cells. These experiments could be a model to further develop and improve this technology that could have a huge impact in the field of biotechnology and other biomedical applications.
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