Taking a deep look: modern microscopy technologies to optimize the design and functionality of biocompatible scaffolds for tissue engineering in regenerative medicine
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This review focuses on modern nonlinear optical microscopy (NLOM) methods that are increasingly being used in the field of tissue engineering (TE) to image tissue non-invasively and without labelling in depths unreachable by conventional microscopy techniques. With NLOM techniques, biomaterial matrices, cultured cells and their produced extracellular matrix may be visualized with high resolution. After introducing classical imaging methodologies such as μCT, MRI, optical coherence tomography, electron microscopy and conventional microscopy two-photon fluorescence (2-PF) and second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging are described in detail (principle, power, limitations) together with their most widely used TE applications. Besides our own cell encapsulation, cell printing and collagen scaffolding systems and their NLOM imaging the most current research articles will be reviewed. These cover imaging of autofluorescence and fluorescence-labelled tissue and biomaterial structures, SHG-based quantitative morphometry of collagen I and other proteins, imaging of vascularization and online monitoring techniques in TE. Finally, some insight is given into state-of-the-art three-photon-based imaging methods (e.g. coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering, third harmonic generation). This review provides an overview of the powerful and constantly evolving field of multiphoton microscopy, which is a powerful and indispensable tool for the development of artificial tissues in regenerative medicine and which is likely to gain importance also as a means for general diagnostic medical imaging.

1. Introduction

Tissue engineering (TE) has become an emerging field for regenerative medicine, and bringing together patient cells and biocompatible artificial scaffolds for the production of matrix and subsequent revascularization has been often coined as ‘the holy grail’ on the path to tissue repair and personalized medicine [1]. The cell-centric approach of seeding cells onto a supportive scaffolding material has by far been the most broadly investigated attempt to reconstruct tissues or repair rather smaller defects [2,3].

One major constraint of this approach, however, has been the creation of artificial organotropic environments within small-scale bioreactors to promote cell proliferation into a simplified organomimetic construct, yet largely without vascularization or the complex cellular–matrix coupling usually found within the body [4]. To promote an adequate microenvironment, not only is the continuous development of more biocompatible scaffold compositions a...
key requisite, but also the provision of more extended scaffold architectures and designs to account for the three-dimensional aspect of cell seeding and proliferation [5]. In the human body, the extracellular matrix (ECM) and its characteristic cytokine and growth factor profiles may change during spread of precursor cells. These chemical and physical microenvironments may alter the signalling behaviour of ingrowing cells to steer their maturation and differentiation potency [6–8]. This seems to play an important role not only during the early differentiation phase of organogenesis, but may also serve as a reprogramme during wound healing later in life [9,10]. Other, more unconventional recent TE approaches, suggest omitting the retrieval of source cells for external seeding and aim to use the patient’s own cells and cytokine environment to populate an implanted external scaffold [11]. In any case, the structural requirements towards the implemented scaffolds regarding biocompatibility, durability, ‘homing suitability’, cellular attractibility and mechanical resistance need to be met and are constantly being improved. On the other hand, it is likewise important to confer reliable parameters for the cell–scaffold interaction, desirably online and for extended time periods.

Microscopy technologies probably represent the most adequate means to fulfil such criteria, with light microscopy even having the advantage of not interfering with the biological sample (cells and scaffolds) for a wide range of wavelengths and illumination intensities. Our knowledge of biomaterials, their composition and interaction has evolved tremendously from the application of modern microscopy techniques. One major constraint when it comes to imaging scaffolds and cells seeded within, is the limited penetration depth for most microscopy techniques. Laser confocal microscopy or transillumination microscopy to study the cell–scaffold interfaces or to count cells or follow their trajectory during scaffold population require the use of thin samples or suffer from spatial resolution, respectively.

Multiphoton microscopy (MPM) has overcome most of those constraints and allows imaging deep into the scaffolds, for example, with slicewise optical sectioning and three-dimensional reconstruction, to study the vertical migration or seeding of lacunae within scaffolds with respect to an extended cytomatrix architecture. For MPM, even some specialized optical effects can be used to enable label-free imaging of cells within scaffolds for the least manipulation of the preparation [12,13]. Such novel optical technologies are most promising to study and optimize TE strategies both ex vivo and in vivo.

This review will provide a state-of-the-art overview of current developments at the interface of regenerative medicine and optical metrology. We give an overview of the recent advances in optical technologies that have been implemented in TE as a novel tool to study cell–matrix architecture of the living preparation. We also give a comparison of multiphoton imaging with other conventional microscopy techniques and highlight their respective advantages and disadvantages. Finally, we present some applications of MPM from our laboratories using examples from Bioglass materials and alginate-encapsulated cells and scaffolds that have been fabricated with tissue plotter technologies. With such novel approaches, we give an outlook on putative future directions that might have a vast impact on the field of TE and regenerative medicine.

2. Imaging techniques in the scaffold design and development

During the development of functional tissue constructs, four factors need to be controlled:

— **Cells.** Cell number, viability, growth, morphology, differentiation, cell–matrix interactions.

— **ECM proteins.** Composition, amount, fibre size and spatial orientation.

— **Scaffold.** Surface topography, inner structure, nanoparticle distribution in composites.

— **Post-implantation processes.** Tissue integration, biomaterial degradation and vascularization.

In order to develop custom-tailored and optimized functional tissue implants, a number of techniques are required to both monitor the biomaterial backbone and the cell and tissue behaviour before and after implantation [14].

Scaffold transparency is a major issue in the imaging of TE constructs [5]. Most scaffolds possess a high degree of opacity that could derive from high porosities (e.g. fabrication by particulate leaching or gas foaming), multiple internal surfaces (multi-layered or multi-surface architectures), incorporation of micro- and nanoparticles (nanocomposites) or highly diffracting components such as in biopolymer-based hydrogels. Some scaffolds become highly opaque as a result of increasing mineralization during maturation (bone TE constructs [15]). Light in the UV and visible range (300–700 nm) used for microscopy imaging penetrates such opaque materials only superficially [16] and seeded cells further enhance light diffraction. Because of this, traditional imaging methods relied on physical sectioning (histology) to enable images of the inner micro-architecture, thereby destroying the constructs. Some methodologies, however, overcome this procedure by enabling optical sectioning and three-dimensional reconstruction.

In this section, the most important two- and three-dimensional imaging modalities used in scaffold design and development are discussed. Each one of these methods has its strengths and limitations and allows imaging within certain spatio-temporal boundaries. The techniques differ largely not only in their invasiveness to the biological material, their imaging speed and ability to create three-dimensional views, their biological specificity, imaging depth and resolution, but also in terms of costs and time and effort (table 1).

2.1. Tomography methods: μCT, MRI and optical coherence tomography

Useful imaging methods derived from medical diagnostics are tomography-based techniques, the most prominent being computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and optical coherence tomography (OCT). These techniques have proved to be powerful in the validation of TE scaffolds [20]. Common to these methods is their ability to scan larger objects, to represent them in certain spatio-temporal boundaries. The techniques differ largely not only in their invasiveness to the biological material, their imaging speed and ability to create three-dimensional views, their biological specificity, imaging depth and resolution, but also in terms of costs and time and effort (table 1).
Table 1. Imaging methods used in TE. Physical principle and wavelength range of tissue imaging techniques are stated and methods are compared in terms of their tissue penetration (imaging depth), lateral and axial resolution and other important aspects with ratings from very low/bad (↓↓), low/bad (↓↓), medium (→→), high/good (↑↑) to very high/good (↑↑↑). Invasiveness means destructiveness to tissues and cells. Time and effort means time and work-consumption as well as costs (↑↑ means that the technique is good in this respect). Additional comments are given for each technique. WL, wavelength; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; µCT, micro-computed tomography; OCT, optical coherence tomography; LM, light microscopy; CFM, confocal fluorescence microscopy; NIDM, nonlinear optical microscopy; 1-/2-PF, 1-/2-photon fluorescence; SHG, second harmonic generation; CARS, coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering; EM, electron microscopy; ET, electron tomography; n.a. not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>method</th>
<th>physical principle</th>
<th>WL range</th>
<th>imaging depth</th>
<th>lateral resolution</th>
<th>axial resolution</th>
<th>three-dimensional imaging</th>
<th>acquisition speed</th>
<th>invasive-ness</th>
<th>specificity</th>
<th>time and effort</th>
<th>comments</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRI/µCT</td>
<td>NMR/X-ray diffraction</td>
<td>radio frequency/X-rays</td>
<td>whole body</td>
<td>approximately</td>
<td>100 µm</td>
<td>100 µm</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>low biological specificity</td>
<td>(17 – 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>low coherence interferometry</td>
<td>NIR (800 – 1300 nm)</td>
<td>&lt;2 mm</td>
<td>3 – 4 µm</td>
<td>&gt; 10 µm</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>↑↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑↓↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>owing to limited resolution only</td>
<td>(22 – 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>light diffraction and interference</td>
<td>Vis (380 – 760 nm)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>200 – 350 nm</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑↓↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>very easy, cheap and fast method, but low specificity (if used without staining)</td>
<td>(25, 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFM</td>
<td>fluorescence (1-PF)</td>
<td>UV/Vis (340 – 780 nm)</td>
<td>&lt;100 µm</td>
<td>200 – 500 nm</td>
<td>500 – 1000 nm</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>bleaching, low imaging depth and signal intensity, stainings required. InCREASE in resolution and speed possible with STED, 4Pi and spinning disc techniques</td>
<td>(25 – 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM/TEM/ET</td>
<td>electron diffraction</td>
<td>approximately</td>
<td>n.a./&lt;200 nm/100,000 x shorter than visible light</td>
<td>1 – 5 nm/&gt;0.2 nm/5 – 20 nm</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓↓↓↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>sample destruction, artifacts, time-consuming (histology)</td>
<td>(28 – 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDM</td>
<td>2-PF/SHG/CARS</td>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>usually</td>
<td>200 – 500 nm</td>
<td>approximately</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑↑↑↑</td>
<td>ET: three-dimensional imaging possible</td>
<td>(27, 31 – 38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SHG: limited to selected molecules.
much better lateral resolution (approx. 1 versus 100 μm) [17], and scan times and image generation are much faster, but it requires contrast agents and uses ionizing radiation. CT is, in general, stronger in hard-tissue imaging (bone, teeth or cartilage), but it can also be applied to study vascularization (figure 1a). Micro-CT (μCT), a small-scale CT application, is commonly used to study scaffold structures (figure 1b). The X-rays used show a high degree of scaffold penetration. Interesting aspects to be studied are, for example, porosity and pore interconnectivity, both of which are essential for cellular penetration, vascularization, tissue in-growth and waste removal and oxygen and nutrient delivery within a cell-seeded scaffold. The technique was further used for determining pore size distribution of PDLLA–Bioglass composites and other scaffolds and in studies of collagen, Bioglass and mineral phases in bone mimetic scaffolds [44–48].

MRI has been used in radiology to visualize internal structures of the body in detail. It uses nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to differentially image the distribution of water molecules in various tissues by applying strong magnetic fields. This non-invasive technique is able to scan large volumes (organ up to whole-body scans), but it requires additional manual editing of images [20]. MRI provides very good contrast between the different soft tissues [21] which renders it especially useful in imaging brain, muscles, heart, vascularization or cancer. In TE, MRI has been applied for

Figure 1. MRI, μCT and OCT imaging. (a) MRI and μCT for the detection of neovascularization. (i) MRI angiography of an implantation site showing an isolation chamber (red arrow, height 1.2 cm) containing an arteriovenous loop, four weeks after implantation. (ii) Loop region from three spatial directions (red arrows, MIP: maximum intensity projection). Three-dimensional image of the loop (yellow) showing the blood vessels (green arrow). (iii) Three-dimensional display the explanted and perfusion-treated loop imaged with μCT. Number and total length of newly formed vessels can be determined [39]. (b) Use of μCT for scaffold characterization. (i) Detailed μCT three-dimensional image of a poly-(D,L)-lactate scaffold containing 10% Bioglass. (ii) Colour-coded scaffold micro-architecture: The intermeshing, overlapping colour circles, i.e. colour-coded pores (200 – 750 μm) indicate high pore interconnectivity and high porosity [40]. (c) OCT. (i) In vivo OCT scan of a human retina using 800 nm illumination light. The high axial resolution (3 μm) allows differentiation of the various cell layers and detection of structural aberrations (e.g. in multiple sclerosis) [41]. (ii) Three-dimensional OCT image demonstrating the migration of macrophages through Matrigel-coated porous membranes [42]. (iii) Comparison of an OCT image (i) with photomicrographs of H&E-stained histological sections (ii) of engineered skin based on de-epithelialized acellular dermis. Non-invasive OCT resolves the same amount of detail as histology at the given optical resolution. Arrows indicate the boundary between the dermal component and the epidermis [43].
studying bone, cartilage or adipose tissue [17], scaffold structures and implanted tissue grafts [49–51]. Boos et al. [39] have used both MRI and CT to image newly formed bone in an arteriovenous loop model in order to assess the volume of neovascularization (figure 1a(i–ii)). The major drawback of both CT and MRI is relatively low resolution (fail to resolve single cells), which limits their biological significance [20].

Another tomography method, OCT, is increasingly being used in imaging of engineered tissue [52]. OCT is an interferometry technique using broadband near-infrared (NIR) light beyond 800 nm. It enables non-invasive and label-free real-time three-dimensional imaging [22,53,54] and relatively deep penetration into tissues (up to 2000 μm) compared with other visible or NIR light techniques ([39]; table 1). In relation to MRI and μCT, penetration is low; however, what makes OCT strong is that it resolves structures almost at the cellular range. OCT has been applied in the detection of structural changes of the retina (figure 1c(I)) or skin cancer, for instance. Two techniques can be differentiated, structural and functional OCT. Structural OCT has been used to investigate how engineered tissue morphology and cell populations change over time, whereas functional OCT techniques were rather used to extract information related to tissue elasticity, fluorescence and spectroscopic properties [23]. Some studies applied OCT for three-dimensional characterization of polylactate and chitosan scaffolds. In other research work, cell behaviour, kinetics of cell invasion into collagen gels and three-dimensional cell models were studied with OCT (figure 1c(II)) [25,53,54]. By observing the calcification process in the gelatine gels, OCT proved useful to mimic bone regeneration [23,42,49,55–57]. OCT has also been used to study the cellular growth within TE constructs and three-dimensional tissue models, including skin models (figure 1c(III)) [25,43]. Optical coherence tomography is strong in terms of imaging speed (250 megavoxels s−1), axial resolution (less than 0.5 μm) and non-invasiveness, which is ideal for long-term studies [58,59]. Limitations of the technique are low lateral resolution (typically 5–10 μm), which makes it difficult to resolve individual cells. However, as the technique has great potential to be developed further (resolution, imaging speed, penetration depth), OCT will become a powerful complementary technology to MPM [23].

2.2. Transillumination and fluorescence microscopy
The most traditional tool to image cells and tissues is optical microscopy, with a large number of techniques available. Common to these techniques is their resolution window which is determined by the wavelength of the illumination light. Light microscopes use a transmitted white light spectrum for image generation. As a versatile, fast and affordable imaging tool, white light microscopy is frequently used in TE. Besides basic bright-field illumination [36,60], enhanced contrast methods such as dark-field illumination, phase-contrast and differential interference contrast techniques have been applied [61–64]. White light microscopy is primarily used for routine analysis (monitoring cell number and morphology after seeding of scaffolds) and for imaging stained tissue slices (histology [65]; various stains such as H&E, Von Kossa, trichrome, alcin blue and others are available [39,50,66–71]). A typical study that makes use of histology was carried out by Gerhardt et al. [40], who investigated scaffolds after explanation to observe their degradation, cellular infiltration and vascularization (figure 2a). The limitations of white light microscopy are low contrast and low specificity in unstained samples. Opaque scaffolds cannot be examined with transillumination microscopy.

In fluorescence microscopy (FM), a sample is illuminated by light in the near UV or lower visible spectrum to excite fluorophores within the sample. These are either extrinsically applied or intrinsically present as autofluorescent molecules. The fluorophores are detected on the basis of their fluorescence excitation and emission capabilities. The emission light has a larger wavelength (lower energy) than the excitation light (Stokes shift) and is separated and collected by appropriate beam splitters, dichroic mirrors and emission filters. Unspecific background owing to cellular autofluorescence is an important problem that needs to be controlled. A huge increase in image contrast is gained by the use of laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy (CFM) [25,26]. CFM uses point illumination and optical sectioning by applying an adjustable pinhole in the emission light path which lets only fluorescence from a defined z-plane pass through and excludes any out-of-focus light [81]. The reduced light intensity is overcome by sensitive photomultiplier detectors. CFM enables reconstruction of three-dimensional structures from the obtained image stacks [82,83]. The major concern about CFM is the issue of photobleaching and phototoxic effects to cells (invasiveness) owing to high laser intensities in the focal plane and ever present out-of-focus excitation (figure 3b,c) which complicates long-term imaging. The other major limitation is low tissue penetration of only around 100 μm [25,27,84]. Therefore, bright and photostable dyes (e.g. Alexa Fluor, Cy, MFP or Qdot series) are used in many TE studies [85–88]. CFM is used to stain both fixed and live cells with nuclear, cytoplasmic, membrane-bound dyes or—for protein-specific detection—antibody-coupled dyes [59,64,65,68,69,76] (immunohistochemistry: [39,89]). In principle, any cellular structure can nowadays be specifically visualized and combinations of dyes are possible (multicolour imaging, multiplexing) when spectral overlap is minimal between dyes. To overcome the artificial exogenous staining of tissues, genetically encoded fluorescent proteins (GFP variants) can be expressed [90,91]. FM and CFM are powerful tools that are used to get an overview of cell distribution and cell morphology (spreading, surface coverage) of scaffold-seeded cells (figure 2b) [44], to test for the presence of marker proteins or in cell viability testing with two-colour stains (calcine and EthD-III) to determine the percentage of dead cells. Another application where CFM is useful is imaging and modelling of collagen gel networks [82,92].

2.3. Electron microscopy
It has been recognized that for the success of TE knowledge of nanostructures at the scaffold, cell and ECM level is required. For example, the critical factor of cell seeding onto a scaffold is—besides the selected biomaterial—the surface topography (e.g. roughness), pore size distribution and interconnectivity and architecture of included nanofibres and nanoparticles. Owing to its extremely high resolution, electron microscopy (EM) has the power to resolve such nanostructures in detail. In EM, electrons are accelerated within an ultra-high vacuum (to variable energy, determining resolution power) and focused onto the specimen that needs to be stained with electron diffraction atoms.

Two EM methods are distinguished, scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM). SEM uses
raster scanning which provides signals carrying information about the properties of the specimen surface and its three-dimensional shape. In order to get a conductive layer, the samples need to be treated with heavy atoms (gold, platinum or osmium). SEM has frequently been used to characterize the samples need to be treated with heavy atoms (gold, platinum or osmium). SEM has frequently been used to characterize the micro- and nanostructure of scaffolds. For example, Erol et al. [78] have produced sintered Bioglass scaffolds (suitable for bone TE) with an average pore size of 325 μm and also characterized the scaffolds surface (figure 2(i)). Likewise, efficiency of cellular seeding, cell distribution and orientation down to cell adhesion structures can be imaged in order to evaluate the scaffold quality (figure 2(ii,iii)). Further applications are the analysis of electrospun nanofibres of various organic polymers (PLLA/PLGA), ECM proteins such as collagen or fibrin or studies of fibroblasts seeded on GMMA polymers [93].

TEM offers the highest possible magnification and resolution (approx. 10 times higher than SEM; table 1). Owing to the very low tissue penetration of electrons, TEM requires ultra-thin sample sections (approx. 60–80 nm) to let electrons pass through the specimen to acquire transmission images. Samples need to be fixed, dehydrated and mounted to stabilize them mechanically in order to allow sectioning with an ultra-microtome. Sections of biological specimens require special staining with heavy atom salts (uranyl acetate, lead citrate) to achieve the required image contrast. Polini et al. [75] have used TEM for morphometric analyses of fibre diameter and HA nanoparticle size and distribution (figure 2(iv)). TEM was used to study critical tissue sites (such as barrier membranes) or subcellular morphology [94]. Kinikoglu et al. [67] analysed human oral mucosa equivalents and imaged the integrity of epithelial cell layers, lamina propria and basement membrane (figure 2(v)). TEM was further used in ultra-structural analysis of carbon nanotube binding to breast cancer cells [95], of bone (nanosized HA crystal structure and interaction with collagen fibres [96]) and in the immunogold applications [97].

However, there are clear disadvantages: a multitude of time-consuming and costly processing steps are required and the possibility of processing artefacts persistently remains. The technique involves the sacrifice of sample viability and for long-term observations large numbers of tissue slices are required. An exception to this is electron tomography (ET), a complex and highly advanced imaging technique. ET was used for
three-dimensional analysis of tissue–biomaterial interfaces and for resolving the ultrastructure of actomyosin linkages and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) stores within muscle cells [30,98,99].

### 3. Multiphoton imaging and its use in tissue engineering

An advanced optical microscopy technique, which largely overcomes many of the limitations of the aforementioned techniques is MPM (in the following, termed also as nonlinear optical microscopy, NLOM). Nonlinear effects are based on more than one photon interacting with a molecule within an extremely short time-period [27,37]. Signal magnitude does not increase linearly with the number of illuminating photons (such as normal 1-photon fluorescence, 1-PF—in CFM), but with its square (second-order processes, two-photon effect) or third power (third-order process, three-photon effect). NLOM requires very high photon densities of defined wavelength in the focal point of the microscope, whereas outside

![Diagram of multiphoton microscopy principles](https://example.com/multiphoton-diagram.png)

**Figure 3.** Multiphoton microscopy: principle, micrometre-scale excitation and tissue penetration. In (a), the principle of 2-PF and SHG is explained, and the Jablonski diagrams show the energy levels involved and the excitation and emission processes. With 2-PF, the excitation does not occur with one photon of 450 nm, but with two near-simultaneous photons of 900 nm (half the energy) each. Owing to certain quantum mechanical selection rules that apply for 2-PF other than 1-PF, in reality, excitation energies are not exactly one-half (emission is unchanged). In SHG, two photons (900 nm) simultaneously striking a highly ordered, non-centrosymmetric material may induce a virtual energy state transition that lasts only as long as the illuminating pulse (approx. 1 fs) and results in rapid relaxation to \(S_0\) and the release of a photon of exactly twice the energy of the incoming photons (450 nm). No photons are absorbed, and resonance enhancement of SHG can occur. (b) Comparison of two- and one-photon excitation by use of a fluorophore solution. Two-photon excitation generates fluorescence exclusively in the focal volume, whereas in one-photon excitation, fluorescence is generated all along the light path. (c) Only with multiphoton fluorescence microscopy, is it possible to excite a confined focal volume (red) within a tissue or even cell. (d) Illustration of deep-tissue imaging in the mouse neocortex. A maximum intensity side projection of a fluorescence image stack obtained in a transgenic mouse expressing a genetically encoded indicator is shown. Nearly the entire depth of the neocortex can be imaged [27].
the focal volume, photon density rapidly decreases [32]. This means that there is an intrinsic pinhole effect and pinhole size is largely determined by the numerical aperture (NA) of the objective by which photons are focused [100]. Excitation wavelengths used in MPM are two to threefold longer than in 1-PF with the consequence of deeper penetration of tissues with high opacity due to less diffraction. The benefit of confined excitation (only localized bleaching) and deeper tissue penetration applies to all nonlinear optical techniques (figure 3).

Examples for NLOM are applications based on different physical principles such as fluorescence (two- and three-photon excited fluorescence, 2-PF, 3-PF), second and third harmonic generation (SHG, THG) and coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS). These imaging techniques allow label-free, minimal- or non-invasive, deep-tissue imaging suitable for long-term investigations. The principal possibility of simultaneous excitation of two or more photons (nonlinear effects) was predicted by Göppert-Mayer [101]. Shortly after the invention of the first lasers, the two-photon effect was experimentally proved [102], but it lasted until pulsed subpicosecond mode-locked laser systems were developed that the effects could be applied to microscopy [103]. SHG was first used in microscopy to image collagen I without any kind of staining [104] and thus was one of the earliest forms of biological nonlinear microscopy demonstrated. Even earlier, CARS, one of the most complex forms of nonlinear imaging based on the three-photon effect, was developed [105].

In the context of scaffold design and development, imaging methods are required to visualize, test and control the direction in which the scaffold develops, both before and after implantation into the body. NLOM unites a number of beneficial features that enable detailed views into regions deep inside scaffolds and engineered tissues [35]. In this chapter, the most common NLOM techniques will be explained and results from our laboratories and from current TE-related research articles will be presented and discussed.

### 3.1. Technical requirements

In principle, MPMs are specialized laser scanning microscopes. To generate nonlinear optical effects, multiple photons of identical wavelength need to be present at virtually the same time (10−20 s or 1 as) at the location of electronic excitation. This is only possible with pulsed NIR lasers that generate extremely high photon fluxes (1020–1030 photons cm−2 s−1) [27] during pulsing. The most widely used NIR lasers are mode-locked Ti:Sa lasers (700–1050 nm) with ultra-short pulses (approx. 150 fs) with extremely high energy intensity and high repetition rates of typically 80–100 MHz [32]. The average power of such lasers, however, is comparably low allowing non- or least-invasive biological imaging.

State-of-the-art MPMs use beam shapers to create tailored pulse shapes and wavefronts for optimized excitation. As mentioned, in MPM, excitation wavelength is roughly two to threefold longer than emission. This instance requires optical components with advanced properties. Components such as beam splitters, dichroic mirrors, lenses or objectives need to be widely transmittant in the NIR (excitation part) and eventually UV (emission part). For effective excitation, objectives with high NA are required to focus photons to a confined focal volume (approx. 1 fl or 1 μm3). MPM also requires highly sensitive non-descanned photomultiplier detectors to maximize photon counts. These detectors are, therefore, located close to the sample and separated by a minimum of optical components to maximize collection efficiency.

An expansion of excitation wavelength range further into the NIR (up to 1300 nm and beyond) is possible using an optical parametric oscillator (OPO), which uses the NIR laser for pumping. The resulting wavelengths allow the imaging of red dyes (2-PF), proteins (via tryptophane fluorescence) and certain UV-excited molecules (3-PF) and THG applications (§3.5). Multifocal MPM is another application that allows high-speed imaging with multiple foci at a time splitting up the primary beam into up to 64 beamlets [31,106,107].

### 3.2. Two-photon fluorescence microscopy

In contrast to CFM, in 2-PF microscopy, two near-simultaneous photons of half the energy (double the wavelength) are required for excitation which is confined other than present all along the light cone of the illuminating light (figure 3a,b). As shown in a cartoon in figure 3c, a single cell within a tissue (here a skeletal muscle myofibril) may be selectively excited using 2-PF. Bleaching and phototoxicity is a major problem in 1-PF, which uses high-energy visible light. In 2-PF and even more so in 3-PF, the energy of NIR photons is much lower causing much less photobleaching outside the focal volume. This less-invasive approach enables engineered tissues to be observed longer without major detriments to overall viability. The 2-PF excitation photons will penetrate tissues easier (around sixfold compared with CFM) due to less diffraction and cause fluorescence at depths of 1000 μm and deeper depending on tissue transparency. Ustione & Piston [27] visualized structures deep within the mouse neocortex with a side projection reconstructed from fluorescence image stacks (figure 3d).

#### 3.2.1. Autofluorescence imaging

Cells and tissues contain intrinsic fluorescent molecules excitable in the whole visible light spectrum (e.g. enzymatic co-factors such as NADH and flavins) generating autofluorescence (AF). Compared with fluorescent stains, these fluorophores are much less bright and stable and thus, rapidly bleach out with confocal microscopy producing phototoxicity-caused cell stress. With 2-PF, however, these endogenous molecules can be imaged with high contrast with overall low photobleaching. In figure 4a, the most important autofluorescent molecules are listed with large differences in their two-photon excitation efficiency with 700–800 nm being the most effective range for most molecules (i). The corresponding fluorescence shows that there is a large spectral overlap at 400–600 nm (ii). Figure 4b demonstrates the power of two-photon AF in tissue imaging [108]. The comparison of MPM images (i, 2-PF) and histology sections (ii) proves that in ovarian tissue, 2-PF delivers an endogenous contrast that is comparable to conventional histology staining. Furthermore, images (iii) and (iv) present some highly contrasted details of extracellular fibrous components. These findings demonstrate that 2-PF is a powerful tool for imaging native tissues.

In TE studies, 2-PF was applied for imaging cellular AF caused by NADH, FAD and other flavoproteins as well as lipofuscin [74,109]. Apart from structures surrounding the nucleus (e.g. mitochondria, lysosomes or ribosomes), very often, the ECM can be imaged as well, for example elastin
fibres [63]. In a study by Dittmar et al. [110], two-photon AF was used to assess cell viability which is an important parameter in TE to evaluate the effect of environmental conditions on cell behaviour. Live and dead cells could be distinguished spectrally, and viable cells showed predominantly blue fluorescence (peak emission around 470 nm), whereas dead cells appeared to mainly emit green fluorescent light (approx. 560 nm).

Cellular AF imaging using 2-PF is frequently used in TE studies. In our laboratories, we have extensively studied cells in alginate-based hydrogels which represent very promising biocompatible and degradable biomaterials, especially in bone TE (details of all materials and methods used can be found within the electronic supplementary material) [111–114]. An additional compound in hybrid (composite) scaffolds is bioactive glass, especially Bioglass 45S5, which supports bone formation and angiogenesis [115,116]. We have studied cellular autofluorescence in different biomaterial combinations and matrix architectures. Among these encapsulated cells (diameter: 300–600 μm), cells printed in three dimensions and cells grown on two-dimensional hydrogel films have been investigated. The AF images in figure 5i(i) show encapsulated cells in pure alginate that were roundish and did not interact with the biomaterial, whereas cells in alginate-RGD (20× image below) were adherent (through the RGD domain) with clearly visible cell edges. In alginate-RGD capsules containing nanosized Bioglass, calcification occurred and cells were induced to undergo osteogenesis (images ii, large non-transparency visible in the transmission image). These results demonstrate that 2-PF AF imaging has the power to resolve cellular details even within highly non-transparent and relatively large capsules. In another three-dimensional cultivation approach, we have produced cell-loaded hydrogel scaffolds of defined geometries with the aim to imitate tissue architectures with cell plotter technologies [117,118]. In our studies, the osteosarcoma cell line MG-63 was embedded in alginate–gelatin blend material and printed in a defined array of strains (diameter: 200 μm, spacing: 600 μm). The transmission image in figure 5b depicts a section of one of the scaffolds with cells grown to a large density. The AF images resolve the subcellular localizations of AF signals near the nucleus (likely the endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria and protein containing vesicles). Both encapsulated and printed cells are protected from environmental stress and can be cultured for extended time periods in non-invasive studies of three-dimensional tissue formation without staining and with almost no bleaching. Close to the pores, the cells have a strongly stretched morphology. Another culturing approach is shown in figure 5c. MG-63 cells were grown on alginate–gelatin hydrogel films for four weeks. We used this simplified two-dimensional system to image the formation of ECM fibres. Cellular AF imaging is very easily possible (40× image), but also fibrous structures could be detected above the cell layer (±10 μm) indicating the production of the ECM (40× image, arrows pointing to fibrous network).

Not only tissue but biomaterials can also be imaged and characterized using two-photon AF. In a study by Chen et al. [109], the selected chitosan scaffold material showed strong AF that could be applied for the study of cell–biomaterial
interactions (described in §3.3.1). Rice et al. [119] characterized silk fibroin (in the form of fibres, scaffolds, hydrogels and films) and studied the effect of hydration/dehydration, gelation time and biomechanical treatment (compression and stretching) on the autofluorescence (and SHG) signals. An interesting finding was that AF imaging of the silk scaffold morphology provided comparable information to SEM analysis, which is classically used to study scaffold structures. Other results demonstrated that 2-PF and SHG were highly sensitive to the hydration, overall β-sheet content and to the molecular orientation of the sample. These findings have implications for understanding and tracking the remodelling of degradable biomaterials under dynamic conditions both in vitro and in vivo. Sun et al. [36] imaged a number of scaffolding materials (PGA, open-cell PLA, nylon, Collagraft, collagen scaffold) and spectrally resolved and quantified AF signals in three wavelength-specific channels: a blue (435–485 nm), a green (500–550 nm) and a red (550–630 nm). The materials

Figure 5. Two-photon AF images of cells in different scaffold designs. MG-63 cells grown in different biomaterial combinations and architectures (excitation at 790 nm). (a) Hydrogel capsules. The scheme shows the process of droplet formation by pressure-driven extrusion of hydrogel solution from a specially designed syringe. The gelation of alginate occurs with droplet contact with the CaCl₂ solution. (i) Cells in alginate (Alg) capsules after two weeks: cells do not attach to alginate. Image (63×) of a rounded cell. The 20× image below shows a cell encapsulated in alginate-RGD (Alg-RGD). Cells spread out, cell edges can be identified. (ii) Cells encapsulated in alginate-RGD/nano-Bioglass after five weeks in culture. Capsules are almost non-transparent; however, 2-PF still allows AF imaging of cell distribution and morphology deep inside the capsule. (b) Prints of cells in alginate–gelatin blend hydrogels (80:20), cultivated for six weeks. The pores between the gel strands are partially closed due to gel fluidity. Spatial organization of cells with subcellular AF localization is visible (40× zoom). (c) Cells on alginate–gelatin blend films (80:20) after four weeks in culture. Adherent cells cover wide areas of the surface. Above the cell layer, regions with fibrous structure were detected (ECM fibres).
produced spectral patterns of signal intensity which were ratiometrically analysed. By this, it was possible to clearly differentiate the biomaterials based solely on their signal intensity distributions.

3.2.2. Imaging of labelled structures

Two-photon fluorescence is also frequently used to image labelled scaffolds and tissues at larger depth. Most dyes excitable with 1-PF can also be applied for 2-PF; however, two-photon cross sections and thus, excitation efficiency may vary strongly. Design of 2-PF dyes is therefore based on appropriate theoretical considerations [120]. Many conventional fluorescent dyes have a much broader and differently shaped excitation spectrum when excited with pulsed NIR lasers instead of visible lasers used in 1-PF excitation (figure 6a versus figure 6b). As a consequence, spectra of many dyes largely overlap (B). The reasons for these changes are represented in quantum mechanical selection rules that apply for 2-PF other than for 1-PF. While emission spectra mostly look the same between 1-PF and 2-PF, two-photon excitation spectra are shifted more or less towards shorter wavelengths. In figure 6c, this effect is demonstrated by a list of frequently used fluorophores.

Fluorescent dyes that have frequently been applied in TE studies are DNA stains (DAPI, Hoechst, propidium iodide, SYTO) [13,122], membrane stains (DiA, DiI, DiO, BODIPY) [38,123] or cytoplasmic stains such as calcein, CellTracker blue or CFDA [85,124,125], but also actin labelling with labelled phalloidin [61,85] as well as organelle markers (MitoTracker for mitochondria or LysoTracker for lysosomes) are widely being used [74]. An interesting approach is the use of fluorescent semiconductor nanocrystals (Qdots or Qtracker) with excellent optical and spectral properties [86]. Qtracker is taken up irrespective of the cell type, shows low photobleaching. NLOM is a promising integrated platform for imaging of cell–material interactions within the interior of polymeric biomaterials.

As the success of TE depends largely on the rapid and efficient formation of functional blood vessel architectures, some authors used 2-PF to image and analyse scaffold vascularization [131]. PLLA scaffolds (Matrigel-enriched with basement membrane proteins) were seeded with human endothelial and red fluorescent protein (RFP)-expressing smooth muscle cells and implanted subcutaneously into immune-deficient mice. In vivo, endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells assembled a patent microvasculature that anastomosed with the host circulation during the first week of implantation. After two weeks, animals were injected with FITC-labelled lectin to selectively label endothelial cells. Multiphoton fluorescence angiographic analysis of the intra-scaffold microcirculation showed a uniform, branched micro-vascular network. Three-dimensional image reconstruction analysis of smooth muscle cell distribution implants was non-random and displayed a preferentially perivascular localization. The results show that 2-PF can be applied for imaging scaffold vascularization in an in vivo TE context.

3.3. Second harmonic generation microscopy

SHG has many features in common with 2-PF (penetration depth); however, no energy is deposited in the sample (100% energy conversion; figure 3a), and no bleaching or heating effects should occur even with high laser powers or in long-term observations [132]. Nevertheless, the required high laser intensities may cause photodamaging effects derived from still-present AF. SHG is a label-free and highly specific technique. Its occurrence is connected to physical features of certain molecules and molecule assemblies. SHG-susceptible molecules lack a centro-symmetry [133,134]. Additionally, they fulfil the phase-matching condition, i.e. the incident and the resulting second harmonic wave travel with the same velocity. This is because nonlinear frequency conversion is only efficient in a coherent situation, when the SHG waves generated by different molecules are in phase and interfere constructively. This condition is only met by materials with a high degree of organization and orientation, such as anisotropic crystals or certain structural protein arrays, i.e. SHG has a very high specificity for these structures [132,135].

3.3.1. Imaging cellular proteins

Only a limited number of biological structures show strong SHG susceptibilities. By far, the most important ones are assembled collagen I fibres [135], myosin II (actomyosin in muscle cells) and tubulin (basic unit of microtubules, which are part of the cytoskeleton). By far, the most important structure used in TE research is represented by collagen [136–138]. The methodology is sensitive to changes that occur in
Figure 6. Two-photon excitation and imaging of selected fluorescent dyes. (a) Normalized one-photon excitation spectra of antibody-conjugated Alexa Fluor 350, 488 and 568 dyes are shown (unconjugated dyes almost identical). Spectra are narrow and well separated. Data from SPECTRA VIEWER software (Invitrogen). (b) Two-photon excitation spectra of Alexa Fluor 350, 488, 568 and 594 dyes. The y-axis unit Goeppert–Mayer (product of fluorescence quantum yield $\Phi_F$ and two-photon absorption cross section $\sigma_2$) is a measure of excitation efficiency. These spectra are shaped differently (less well defined and much broader) compared with (A, e.g. Alexa Fluor 488) and maxima are blue-shifted [100,108]. (c) Excitation and emission of prominent dyes using one- and two-photon excitation. The table lists a number of commonly used fluorophores (sorted by increasing excitation wavelength). Two-photon excitation maxima are shifted markedly to shorter wavelengths compared with double the wavelengths of one-photon excitation. Emission spectra, however, are identical. Sources: Zeiss publication ‘suitable dyes for multiphoton’, Xu et al. [121], 1-PF values from SPECTRA VIEWER (Invitrogen). Asterisk denotes own observations. Alternatives to Cy and Alexa Fluor dye series with optimized photophysical behaviour are Atto, Chromeo and DyLight dyes. (d) Fluorescence-labelled encapsulated MG-63 cells imaged with 2-PF. (i) Cells in alginate-RGD capsules cultured for four weeks were DAPI-stained for nuclei and imaged at 700 nm. The red arrow points to the capsule border (10× image). Nuclear staining even resolved subnuclear segmentation (63×). A three-dimensional reconstruction image generated from image stacks allows quantitative analyses of cell number and distribution. (ii) Calcein-stained cells in alginate cross-linked with gelatin (50 : 50) after six weeks (900 nm). Capsules show a rough and porous surface and are partially non-transparent. Cells migrate through the border to the outer surface of the capsule (red arrows). The cellular calcein distribution allows determination of cell morphology (zoom image). 2-PF allows imaging deep within widely non-transparent capsules.
diseases such as scleroderma or in skin or other epithelial cancer types [139–141]. Other application examples are the investigation of heart valves and cardiac [63] or corneal tissue [142,143]. To image collagen I with SHG, excitation wavelengths from 720 to 960 nm [62] can be used, and for detection, a bandpass filter with centre wavelength at half the excitation wavelength is applied (e.g. a 420/20 filter when excitation occurs at 840 nm).

Figure 7. SHG imaging of native tissue and various biomaterials. (a) SHG signals from muscle fibres and surrounding collagen in an entire murine extensor digitorum longus muscle. Collagen I with its characteristic fibrous form is imaged in (I, red arrow). The muscle-derived signals originate from the regularly ordered myosin filaments visible in (I, blue arrow) and more pronounced in (ii) [147]. (b) SHG images of collagen I hydrogels with or without micrometre-sized Bioglass (mBG, mean particle size, 5 μm) after freeze-drying (I, 800 nm, 20× images). Strong SHG signals from collagen (red arrows) allow the determination of scaffold structure and mBG does not significantly alter this structure. (ii) SHG imaging of various TE scaffolding materials. Open-cell poly-lactic acid (OPLA), poly-glycolic acid (PGA) and nylon are shown [36]. (c) SHG imaging of hydrogels from composites of collagen I and micrometre-sized Bioglass (800 nm). (i) Collagen fibres (blue arrows) as well as mBG are clearly visible (white arrows). Collagen arranges both in thin fibres and thick fibre bundles. In some less bright regions where no fibres appear (green arrow), the collagen may not be polymerized completely. (ii) Image stack from (i) giving an impression of the three-dimensional structure of the hydrogel. (iii) Red arrows pointing to the typical wavy fibres formed by collagen I from skin. In (iv), fibre bundles (blue arrows) and single fibres (red arrow) are shown in detail. Magnification: (a,d), 20×; (b,c), 63×.

3.3.2. Biomaterial imaging
For TE purposes, collagen I is often used as a scaffolding material to provide seeded cells with an artificial ECM. By using self-assembled hydrogels, collagen I networks and their properties can be studied in detail with and without cells. Here, interesting parameters are collagen assembly, fibre length and thickness, pore size distribution and mechanical and rheological properties of the hydrogels [82,137,148]. Some authors have studied the aspect of cell
behaviour in collagen I hydrogels. For example, Lee et al. [149] have revealed that invading endothelial cells anisotropically alter three-dimensional collagen matrices by a combined approach of SHG and 2-PF imaging. Yannas et al. [150] review the processing and characterization of collagen-based scaffolds in detail.

In SHG imaging experiments, we have used collagen I—micrometre-sized Bioglass 45S5 composite hydrogels that represent a promising biomaterial combination in bone TE [15]. In figure 7c(i–iv), both collagen I and Bioglass were resolved with micrometre resolution. Collagen I was present either as thin fibres and fibre bundles of varying thickness (i,iv). Images (iii) and (iv) give an impression of the collagen network and the typically wavy fibre form known from collagen in skin (iii). By stacking multiple images (ii), typical fibre lengths could be determined (by three-dimensional reconstruction). Fibre length is an important parameter of hydrogel rheological properties and for optimizing cell growth in hydrogels. We have also produced and imaged freeze-dried scaffolds (sponges, foams) from collagen I with and without micrometre-sized Bioglass, which can be cross-linked and seeded with target cells [151–153]. We obtained

Figure 8. Timeline and SHG imaging of collagen I production. (a) Multiphoton images from human MSCs cultured in a chitosan scaffold that were induced to undergo chondrogenesis (TGF-β3) for up to seven weeks [109]. Images were acquired at a depth of 15 μm. Two-photon AF is represented in green (scaffold boundaries and cells) and SHG in blue (produced collagen I). The cells massively produce ECM after two weeks. The lack of contact between the induced collagen fibres and the chitosan scaffold is shown by the white dashed arrows, and the linkages formed by the collagen from adjacent chitosan domains are shown by the yellow arrows. The red arrows show the lacunae-like structures that have been formed within collagen clusters, with autofluorescent cells inside. (b) Collagen fibre orientation analysis. NLOM images of constructs from two to eight weeks of culture. Pseudo-coloured images of PGA polymer and smooth muscle cells (AF, red (i)) and collagen fibres (SHG, green (ii)). Fibres of PGA scaffold were clearly visible at two and four weeks, with collagen deposition running parallel to PGA fibres. From four to eight weeks, local collagen alignment with PGA fibres decreased as the PGA degraded, as revealed by an alignment index (AI) computed for the collagen fibres at weeks four to eight. Depth of image acquisition is shown in micrometres. The scale bar (20 μm) applies to all images. The histogram (bottom left) shows a projection of all collagen fibre angles from the eight week specimen [136].
SHG images from these scaffolds (figure 7b(i)) and by using three-dimensional stacks and reconstructions, it was possible to characterize the scaffolds porous structure (not shown). Similar findings were obtained by Sun et al. [36], who imaged comparable scaffolds (collagen I and Collagraft bone matrix strip) and additional TE-relevant scaffolding materials (e.g. PGA, open-cell PLA, nylon; figure 7b(ii)) with SHG. The authors state that the nonlinear optical properties of the scaffolds will enable us to spectrally and morphologically distinguish the different types of scaffold materials investigated. The SHG results demonstrate that a number of artificial organic polymers are susceptible to SHG. Further, very interesting and promising SHG-susceptible biomaterials that are frequently being used in TE research are cellulose [12,76,141,154], silk [119], gelatin/collagen gels [13,137] or PHB/PHBHHx [155]. A review by Dempsey et al. [132] identifies small particles that are widely used in TE to enhance scaffold mechanical stability or to track cells (e.g. Bioglass, nanodiamonds, Qdots) are susceptible to SHG as well.

3.4. Multi-modal and quantitative analysis
A large number of studies have used multiple imaging modalities (2-PF, SHG, CARS) to simultaneously image cells, ECM and scaffold. Cell arrangement on and within the scaffolds, cell–scaffold interactions as well as production and arrangement of ECM proteins, cell differentiation and tissue morphogenesis are of common interest in most studies, and a large number of different biomaterials and cells (primary cells, cell lines and stem cells) from bone, cartilage, cardiovascular, connective and adipose tissue were used for this purpose. A large number of studies used NLOM for quantitative analysis of ECM fibre distribution, effects of biomechanical treatment or cell metabolic state. This underlines that NLOM techniques are very powerful and flexible tools beyond simple structure visualization.

In a study by Brackmann et al. [76] simultaneous SHG/CARS imaging was applied for visualizing osteoprogenitor cell three-dimensional arrangement in a microporous cellulose scaffold (cells and scaffold: CARS). Unexpectedly, cells produce collagen I (marker for osteogenesis, SHG) already during the first days of growth, which demonstrates that cellulose is a potential scaffold material for bone TE. The authors state that the combined in situ monitoring with CARS/SHG is suitable for TE studies. In a comparable study by Filova et al. [13], a 2-PF/SHG approach was chosen for analysing production and spatial arrangement of collagen (SHG) by isolated chondrocytes (labelled with Cy3-coupled antibody, 2-PF) and spatial cell arrangement (nuclear staining, 2-PF) in a gelatin–PCL scaffold at 860 nm excitation wavelength. This novel approach represents a powerful tool for the analysis of collagen-containing scaffolds with applications in cartilage TE. Mouras et al. [73] have followed the process of MSC differentiation with a combination of two-photon AF (cells), SHG (collagen I) and CARS imaging (lipids at 1064 nm, HA crystals at 867 nm). The authors report that they were able to monitor and quantify the differentiation into osteoblasts (verified by collagen I and HA formation) and adipocytes (lipid production).

Taking advantage of differential two-photon AF emission of NADH/NAD and FAD, Quinn et al. [68] developed cell metabolic readouts using ratiometric measurements of these metabolic cofactors. The authors state that it was possible to reliably determine the metabolic status of cells by their two-photon AF characteristics. The same principle has been used for online monitoring of tissue maturation. TE largely depends on the control of culture conditions and tissue maturation, and methods for monitoring the effects of stimuli and induced tissue changes are of key interest. For tracking stem cell osteogenic differentiation, Rice et al. [74] have used both two-photon AF (NADH, flavoprotein and lipofuscin concentrations) and SHG (collagen I). Redox ratio imaging over time (calculated from the contributions of NADH and flavins) changes in cell morphology (AF) and simultaneous SHG provided information on the status of the osteogenic process. In addition, lipofuscin was found to be a potential biomarker for oxidative stress. The authors’ conclusion was that it is possible to monitor engineered tissues and optimize culture conditions in a near real-time manner.

Another field for analysis is found in the quantitation of ECM fibre production and orientation analysis, for example, in biomechanical studies. In order to improve collagen architecture and mechanical properties, engineered tissues have to be mechanically stimulated. In a study by Rubbens et al. [125], the influence of biomechanical stimulation on growing myofibroblasts has been analysed using dual-colour CellTracker blue and fluorescently labelled collagen I markers (2-PF). The authors quantified collagen fibre organization in response to varied mechanical stimulation (normal culture versus an intermittent stretching condition) mimicking the native biomechanical behaviour, which is a major goal in cardiovascular TE. Mechanical loading induced collagen alignment, which was improved by applying intermittent strain. Comparable studies have been carried out by Hu et al. [156], who characterized tissue development under biaxial stretch using fibroblast-seeded or cell-free collagen gels. The collagen I fibre orientations (SHG) revealed contributions of applied stretches, of cell-mediated tractions and matrix remodelling on the measured fibre distributions. The authors concluded that the integration of intravitral NLOM with novel bioreactors enables imaging of dynamic tissue properties in culture. Niklason et al. [136] developed mathematical models of growth and remodelling of engineered tissues cultured on polymeric scaffolds and applied NLOM for their verification (figure 8b). Collagen fibre distributions were quantitatively analysed in two depths within the tissue over eight weeks of culture. A cumulative plot of fibre orientations (histogram) revealed four predominant collagen fibre families that were centred approximately at 0°, ±45° and 90° and are consistent with prior TEM imaging observations and model predictions.

3.5. Three-photon-based nonlinear optical microscopy techniques
In three-photon excitation, three photons provide one-third of the energy required to reach the excited state. Wavelengths beyond 1200 nm must be used, which requires an OPO. The longer wavelengths penetrate tissues even deeper and are less damaging. However, the higher order of nonlinearity means even weaker signals [157]. Because this consequently requires higher photon fluxes, three-photon-based technique has not been used as extensively as the two-photon-based ones. Relevant techniques for tissue imaging are CARS, THG and 3-PF microscopy.
Table 2. NLOM methods: pros and cons. The techniques are widely complementary and may be combined in multi-modal NLOM applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-PF/3-PF</th>
<th>SHG/THG</th>
<th>CARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>autofluorescent molecules can be used for imaging</td>
<td>high-contrast method without staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>very large number of fluorophores may be imaged including Qdots (almost like 1-PF)</td>
<td>completely non-invasive technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excitation spectra broader than in 1-PF: more flexibility, easier multicolour applications</td>
<td>no photobleaching, no energy left behind in tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by using antibodies in principle all molecules may be visualized</td>
<td>large excitation range possible (flexibility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-PF: additional spectrum of molecules</td>
<td>SHG: biomaterials can be imaged applicable inside the body (endoscopy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excitable in the UV accessible; higher tissue penetration (longer excitation wavelengths)</td>
<td>THG: imaging of interfaces possible, higher tissue penetration (longer wavelengths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>photobleaching and thermal damage</td>
<td>SHG: limited to a low number of molecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stainings are often required</td>
<td>SHG: to image collagen I, the molecule needs to be highly assembled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARS imaging derives its optical contrast directly from Raman-active vibrational characteristics of certain molecules (label-free) and is capable of chemically selective, highly sensitive and high-speed imaging without photobleaching. CARS microscopy uses two laser beams, a pump beam at frequency $\omega_p$ and a Stokes beam at frequency $\omega_S$. When the beat frequency ($\omega_p - \omega_S$) matches the frequency of a particular Raman-active molecular vibration ($\omega_{\text{vib}}$), the resonant oscillators are coherently driven, resulting in a strong anti-Stokes signal at $\omega_{\text{SS}} = 2\omega_p - \omega_S$ [158]. The technique was applied to study osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation [73], scaffolds and hydrogels [12,76,159,160], vascular and neurodegenerative diseases [38,161] and drug delivery and subcellular distribution (reviewed in Strachan et al. [162]).

CARS microscopy has proved useful for mapping lipid compartments in various tissues and cells [158,163], protein clusters [164] and water distributions [161] in cell tissue cultures and has been used with great success for imaging of many types of lipids (C–H vibration) and phosphates [165]. State-of-the-art CARS microscopes have high enough sensitivity to detect single lipid bilayers [163].

THG imaging is another relevant technology. One advantage of THG over SHG is that the requirement for non-inversion symmetry of the molecules to be excited is revoked [27]. This makes THG sensitive for interfaces and heterogeneities and for the detection of membranes and membrane-based organelles, blood vessels and other biological structures [31,162,166]. Another application is imaging of lipid bodies which are ubiquitous structures present in many cells and tissues with many different functions. Disorders of lipid regulation occur in common diseases such as atherosclerosis, diabetes and steatosis. As lipid bodies are generally non-fluorescent, their direct imaging with THG offers interesting perspectives. Debarre et al. [167] have used THG to quantify lipid metabolism in isolated hepatocytes, but this approach is relevant to adipocytes and also liver and intestine cells. THG can further be used to study drug release systems (visualize uptake mechanisms of pharmaceuticals) and distribution of nanosized particles (e.g. in skin) and many other applications [162]. The main benefit of 3-PF is the excitation of molecules that absorb in the UV (e.g. the amino acid tryptophane at 266 nm), which allows label-free imaging of intrinsic protein fluorescence [168]. Other authors have used 3-PF for label-free imaging of neurotransmitters such as serotonin and dopamine, which has implications for neuronal TE [157,169].

3.6. Nonlinear optical microscopy techniques: advantages and disadvantages

NLOM techniques have multiple advantages over one-photon excitation-based CFM. These include the issues of photobleaching, phototoxicity, significantly enhanced imaging depth in opaque scaffolds, staining requirement and signal intensity. However, none of the discussed NLOM techniques is perfect, and all methods have their specific strengths and limitations when compared with each other. Table 2 gives an overview of all the benefits and problems encountered with the different multiphoton techniques explained in this review. Summarized shortly, 2-PF always produces a certain amount of photobleaching or requires additional staining, but on the other side, in principle, any kind of molecule can be addressed and thus, visualized by using antibody labelling. SHG and CARS, on the other hand, are basically non-invasive, but altogether quite specialized techniques and therefore, limited to a rather low number of possible applications. The techniques also require...
sophisticated and extensive optical equipment and are thus, not readily available.

4. Summary and outlook
The classical methods for visualizing tissues, biomaterials and scaffolds such as μCT/MRI/OCT and histology in combination with optical and electron microscopy have a number of limitations concerning invasiveness and artefact production, resolution, tissue penetration and requirement for labelling. Quite often, only the combined use of complementary methods leads to strong and clear results. NLOM overcomes many of these problems and enables deep-tissue imaging with high resolution without destructive labelling procedures, because intrinsic sources of contrast are used. NLOM methods are further suitable to track and thereby to control the development of engineered tissues online in long-term experiments. NLOM imaging in TE encompasses a number of techniques for the study of scaffolds (surface and inner structure), cells (distribution, morphology, metabolic state, vascularization) and ECM. Multiphoton fluorescence is used to visualize cells by their natural autofluorescence and to image (immune-)labelled structures. Label-free and highly specific imaging techniques are SHG and CARS. SHG is commonly used to image ECM (collagen I), cytoskeleton (microtubules), myosin II (actomyosin) in muscle cells and a number of biomaterials, whereas CARS is the method of choice for visualizing membranes and lipid distributions within cells and tissues. Combinations of NLOM methods have been successfully applied to study cell differentiation (osteogenesis, adipogenesis) and tissue maturation (by abundance and arrangement of collagen I fibres). Quantification of collagen fibre orientation has been used as a powerful tool to study the response of engineered tissues to mechanical loading.

Recent advances in detection schemes (faster and higher-sensitivity detectors, multifocal imaging), more powerful laser sources, better optical components, a deeper understanding of contrast mechanisms and more sophisticated analysis tools will further increase the performance of NLOM imaging. Especially, THG and CARS, but also spectroscopic techniques such as fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) or fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) will become more important. Progress in the field of biomaterials and processing techniques (e.g. tissue and organ printing) will deliver better scaffolds of higher and more directed functionality (drug delivery, controlled growth factor release, degradation and vascularization, cell attraction in vivo that will more closely resemble native tissue). NLOM imaging will promote TE with its high degree of experimental flexibility. It can be used for intravital imaging of tissue development in bio-reactors, in vivo (multiphoton endoscopy) and with tissue models (e.g. skin, liver) and models of cancer or atherosclerosis. This way, NLOM will improve the study of healthy as well as malfunctioning tissue. Emerging applications, such as metabolite and drug imaging or tumour identification, raise many exciting new possibilities for medicine and biology.
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