Mechanical responsiveness of the endothelial cell of Schlemm’s canal: scope, variability and its potential role in controlling aqueous humour outflow
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Primary open-angle glaucoma is associated with elevated intraocular pressure, which in turn is believed to result from impaired outflow of aqueous humour. Aqueous humour outflow passes mainly through the trabecular meshwork (TM) and then through pores formed in the endothelium of Schlemm’s canal (SC), which experiences a basal-to-apical pressure gradient. This gradient dramatically deforms the SC endothelial cell and potentially contributes to the formation of those pores. However, mechanical properties of the SC cell are poorly defined. Using optical magnetic twisting cytometry and traction force microscopy, here we characterize the mechanical properties of primary cultures of the human SC cell, and for the first time, the scope of their changes in response to pharmacological agents that are known to modulate outflow resistance. Lysophosphatidic acid, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and thrombin caused an increase in cell stiffness by up to 200 per cent, whereas in most cell strains, exposure to latrunculin A, isoproterenol, dibutryl cyclic-AMP or Y-27632 caused a decrease in cell stiffness by up to 80 per cent, highlighting that SC cells possess a remarkably wide contractile scope. Drug responses were variable across donors. S1P, for example, caused 200 per cent stiffening in one donor strain but only 20 per cent stiffening in another. Isoproterenol caused dose-dependent softening in three donor strains but little or no response in two others, a finding mirrored by changes in traction forces and consistent with the level of expression of β2-adrenergic receptors. Despite donor variability, those drugs that typically increase outflow resistance systematically caused cell stiffness to increase, while in most cases, those drugs that typically decrease outflow resistance caused cell stiffness to decrease. These findings establish the endothelial cell of SC as a reactive but variable mechanical component of the aqueous humour outflow pathway. Although the mechanism and locus of increased outflow resistance remain unclear, these data suggest the SC endothelial cell to be a modulator of outflow resistance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is a leading cause of irreversible blindness and afflicts tens of millions worldwide. In POAG, the primary risk factor and only proven therapeutic target is elevated intraocular pressure (IOP). Elevated IOP results from an increased resistance to the outflow of aqueous humour from the eye through the conventional outflow pathway [1]. While the precise locus of outflow resistance in the normal eye, or elevated resistance in a glaucomatous eye, is unknown, this resistance is thought to reside in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the juxtacanalicular connective tissue (JCT),
the inner wall endothelium of Schlemm’s canal (SC) and/or its basement membrane [1,2].

The conventional pathway for aqueous humour outflow has a hydraulic conductivity of roughly $10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$, and thus sets a lower bound on the hydraulic conductivity attributable to the endothelial lining of SC. Remarkably, this value is two to five orders of magnitude greater than that of non-fenestrated endothelial barriers, the tightest of which is the endothelium of the brain [1]. To account for this high conductivity, it was suggested as early as in 1921 and subsequently confirmed that there exist micron-sized pores within the SC endothelium through which the aqueous humour passes [3–6]. The number density of these pores is decreased in POAG, moreover, and this finding thereby suggests the hypothesis that these pores and their changes might be implicated in the elevated IOP of POAG [2,7,8].

Although the mechanism is not understood, it has been suggested that pore formation is a mechanical response of the SC endothelial cell to the basal-to-apical pressure gradient to which it is exposed [1,9,10]. If so, it follows logically that mechanical properties of the SC cell and its cytoskeleton might play a role in pore formation, with fewer and/or smaller pores forming in stiffer cells. In the only prior study, Zeng et al. [11] used magnetic pulling cytometry to quantify the stiffness of SC cells cultured in vitro. In the SC cell, responsiveness of mechanics to pharmacological agents has not previously been studied, however, nor have differences in responsiveness across donors.

### Table 1. Donor information and baseline stiffness of SC cells tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SC strain</th>
<th>donor age</th>
<th>TEER$^a$</th>
<th>α6 test$^b$</th>
<th>v cad test$^c$</th>
<th>fibulin-2 test$^d$</th>
<th>tissuse source</th>
<th>cell stiffness$^e$ (Pa)$^f$</th>
<th>loss tangent$^f$</th>
<th>r.m.s. traction$^f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC51</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>corneal rim</td>
<td>226.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.285 ± 0.002</td>
<td>75.5 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.a.$^g$</td>
<td>corneal rim</td>
<td>228.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.284 ± 0.003</td>
<td>45.2 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>corneal rim</td>
<td>313.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.235 ± 0.002</td>
<td>49.2 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>corneal rim</td>
<td>172.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.241 ± 0.002</td>
<td>121.6 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC61</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>whole eye</td>
<td>340.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.283 ± 0.002</td>
<td>85.9 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) of a cell monolayer grown on a filter with filter resistance subtracted; units in Ω cm$^2$.

$^b$ Test for dexamethasone-induced myocilin expression.

$^c$ Positive expression of integrin α-6 subunit.

$^d$ Positive expression of VE-cadherin.

$^e$ Positive expression of fibulin-2.

$^f$ Data reported as median ± s.e.

$^g$n.a., not available.

---

**Figure 1.** SC cells exhibit power-law rheology and exert traction forces on a deformable substrate. (a) A typical micrograph showing 4.5 μm magnetic beads adhered to a monolayer of SC cells during an OMTC measurement. The green crosses indicate real-time tracking of bead centroids. Inset: close-up of two beads. (b) The representative cell strain SC51 exhibits power-law rheology behaviour across four orders of magnitude in frequency. See §5 for definitions of $G'$ and $G''$; each data point is median over 598 magnetic beads; the error bars for standard errors are smaller than data symbols. The straight lines represent fitting using a two-parameter power-law rheology model (see text for details). (c,d) A representative SC cell from cell strain SC51 exerts traction when plated on a deformable substrate. Phase-contrast image is shown in (c) and traction intensity map in (d).
Here we report mechanical properties of the primary human SC cell, their changes in response to pharmacological agents that are known to modulate aqueous humour outflow resistance and donor-to-donor variability of these measures. We show that those agents that typically increase outflow resistance (sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) \[12,13\], thrombin \[14\] and lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) \[13\]) cause SC cells to stiffen, while in most cases those that typically decrease outflow resistance (latrunculin A \[15\], Y-27632 \[14,16\], DBcAMP \[17–19\] and isoproterenol \[17,20–23\]) cause SC cells to soften. These findings raise the question of the extent to which mechanical properties of the SC cell, and their changes, might be a modulator of aqueous humour outflow resistance. Although we cannot resolve these questions here, observations described in §2 illuminate these questions and provide a framework for their further investigation.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Mechanical characterization of Schlemm’s canal cells

Primary cultures of human SC cells were isolated from five different eye donors (table 1). Each such culture underwent rigorous characterization using criteria that were established previously \[24\].

Using optical magnetic twisting cytometry (OMTC), we found that the SC cell exhibited power-law rheology with a good fit to the structural damping model (figure 1b). This behaviour is typical of all adherent eukaryotic cells \[25,26\]. At passage 2, the shear modulus in these donors at 0.77 Hz ranged from 172 to 340 Pa, and the loss tangent $\eta$ ranged from 0.23 to 0.28 (table 1). The shear modulus was comparable with that reported previously for this cell type in culture using magnetic pull.
Using traction force microscopy (TFM), we found that the SC cell generated considerable traction forces (figure 1c,d), with a root mean square (r.m.s.) traction ranging from 45.2 ± 6.7 Pa (SC56) to 121.6 ± 14.4 Pa (SC60; table 1). This level of r.m.s. traction is higher than that generated by A549 epithelial cells [28] but comparable with that generated by human airway smooth muscle cells [29,30] or by human umbilical vein endothelial cells (R. Krishnan 2011, unpublished data).

We evaluated the effects of cell passaging on SC cell stiffness, responses to serum (1% foetal bovine serum (FBS)) and responses to isoproterenol (100 μM). Although some changes were noted beyond passage 4, we found that baseline stiffness (figure 2b), contractile responses (figure 2a,c), and relaxing responses (figure 2a,d) were similar between passages 2 and 3. This finding was confirmed in three other cell strains where cell mechanical phenotype was shown to be stable between passages 2 and 3 (figure 2e,f). Thus, we limited all experiments to passages 2 and 3.

**2.2. Response to agents that increase outflow resistance**

In response to S1P (0.1 μM), cell stiffness in all strains increased promptly, peaked after an average of 26 s and then slowly decayed over the course of several
2.3. Response to agents that decrease aqueous outflow resistance

2.3.1. Changes in cell stiffness

In response to the actin-depolymerization drug latrunculin A (0.1 μM), cell stiffness in all strains decreased in a time-dependent fashion (figure 3d). Interestingly, this decrease was the greatest for SC61, the most contractile cell, and it was the least for SC58, the least contractile cell. The stiffness decrease was monotonic and a plateau was not reached at 400 s. Thus, while the peak stiffening response can be readily attained with a short stimulation, the peak softening response may be attainable only after prolonged treatment. Accordingly, for Y-27632, DBcAMP and isoproterenol, which were previously shown to soften other human cells [31,32], we decided to quantify the plateau response after prolonged treatment.

In response to Y-27632, which is a specific inhibitor of Rho-associated kinases, cell stiffness decreased in a dose-dependent fashion (figure 4a). In cells from four of the five donors, the responses were comparable, whereas the stiffness of cells from one donor (SC58) increased at low concentrations before decreasing at higher concentrations. In the case of DBcAMP, which is a cell-permeable analogue of cAMP (cyclic adenosine monophosphate), strong and consistent softening responses were observed, with the exception of donor SC61, which was an outlier that relented only minimally and only at the highest concentrations of DBcAMP (figure 4b). At the highest drug concentrations tested, latrunculin, Y-27632 and DBcAMP all caused a significant decrease in cell stiffness in all cell strains. This was not the case with isoproterenol, however, where responses were more varied across donors. While cells from three SC strains showed the expected softening in response to isoproterenol, cells from donor SC56 failed to relax except at the highest concentration, and cells from donor SC61 failed to relax even at the highest concentration studied (figure 4c).

2.3.2. Expression levels of the β2-adrenergic receptor

Western blotting showed that donors SC56 and SC61 expressed diminished levels of β2-adrenergic receptor (β2AR; figure 5a). Across all donors, β2AR protein level positively correlated with the degree of relaxation triggered by isoproterenol (figure 5b). Because SC56 responded sensitively to DBcAMP but less so to isoproterenol, this pattern of response might be explained by low levels of the β2AR, whereas SC61 did not respond to DBcAMP, suggesting defective signalling downstream of cAMP.

2.3.3. Changes in cell traction

Treatment with 10 μM isoproterenol diminished traction forces generated by cells from donor SC58 (figure 6a, top), but did not alter that of cells from donor SC61 (figure 6a, bottom). Traction forces exerted by cells from the three other donors also decreased in response to isoproterenol, although the decrease for SC51 (p = 0.051) was not statistically significant (figure 6b). Overall, traction changes mirrored those of cell stiffness.

3. DISCUSSION

We report here the first measurements of changes of SC cell mechanical properties with pharmacological challenge. The principal findings of this report are (i) stiffness and contractile force of the SC cell exhibited strong responses to pharmacological modulation, (ii) drugs known to increase outflow resistance increased SC cell stiffness, and conversely, drugs known to decrease outflow resistance decreased SC cell stiffness, and (iii) these drug responses were variable across cell strains obtained from different donor eye tissues. In what follows, we discuss the limitations of our study and the implications of our findings.

3.1. Methodological limitations

3.1.1. Measurement of cell stiffness

The methodological limitations and validation of OMTC have been discussed in detail elsewhere [25].
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OMTC probes the cell through its apical surface, and thus it is possible that the findings do not apply to the whole cell. To address this potential limitation, we also probed the cell from the basal surface using TFM [33]. TFM and OMTC produced consistent results, thus lending support to the OMTC results. Furthermore, power-law responses observed here for the human SC cell (equation (5.1)) have been observed across all animal cells except for red blood cells [34]. Such power-law responses have been previously established across at least four orders of magnitude in frequency, and with experimental techniques including OMTC [25] as used here, atomic force microscopy [35], optical stretching [36], micropipette aspiration [37] and two-point microrheology [38].

3.1.2. Effects of cell passaging
Several key differences exist between SC cells in vivo versus those in vitro that might limit the physiological relevance of the current study. First, it is possible that passaging in vitro might cause the SC cell to dedifferentiate. While we did not have the opportunity to measure SC cell mechanics before passaging, our study on the effects of cell passaging suggests that this effect was perhaps modest up to passage 3.

3.1.3. Effects of culture substrate
Another noteworthy limitation is that these cells were grown on a plastic substrate that is functionally rigid, whereas cells in vivo grow on an ECM that is highly compliant; it has been shown in other cell types that matrix compliance can modulate cell phenotype [39–41] and that substrate compliance differs between normal versus glaucomatous eyes [42]. Nonetheless, the SC cell from different donors in this study exhibited different patterns of responsiveness, suggesting that certain key aspects of the phenotype persisted in vitro.

3.2. Donor-to-donor variability in baseline stiffness and responsiveness
In mesothelial cells from pleural effusions from seven donors, Cross et al. [43] showed that cellular shear moduli ranged from 583 to 700 Pa, but otherwise little is known about variability in cell mechanics across human donors. Similarly, we find here in SC cells a range of shear moduli from 172 to 340 Pa. In both instances, donor-to-donor variability in baseline cell stiffness did not exceed twofold. Responsiveness was more variable from donor-to-donor. For example, contractile agonists-induced stiffness increases varied from 20 per cent in SC58 to 200 per cent in SC61; isoproterenol-induced stiffness decreases varied from −20 per cent in SC61 to 49 per cent in SC51. Although defining molecular mechanisms underlying the donor differences is beyond the scope of the current study, results indicate that SC56 and SC61 had diminished expression of β2AR compared with other donors. This low level of β2AR expression coincided with the lack of isoproterenol response for SC61 at all doses, and with the lack of isoproterenol response for SC56 at doses below 10 μM. Our data thus suggest that the donor variability in mechanical phenotype results from that at the molecular level.

Finally, IOP and outflow resistance also varies widely in humans [44,45]. Our study raises the question of the extent to which this variability in humans is associated with that of stiffness and/or responsiveness of SC cells.

3.3. Association between outflow resistance and cell stiffness
Aqueous humour outflow resistance can be increased by up to about 40 per cent by contractile agonists such as LPA and SIP [12,13], or decreased by up to about 80 per cent by relaxing agents such as Y-27632 [14]. If outflow facility is indeed controlled by SC cell stiffness, one would expect SC cell stiffness to possess similar scope of modulation by those agents. Indeed, our study revealed a dramatic contractile scope; cell stiffness increased by up to 200 per cent and decreased by up to 80 per cent (figure 7), which exceeds the scope of responsiveness of professional contractile cells such as the airway smooth muscle cell stimulated with histamine or relaxed with isoproterenol [46].

While these data do not prove that SC cell stiffness modulates outflow facility, they suggest that it has the
for cell relaxation/contraction, Rao et al. proposed that cell contraction is associated with increased outflow resistance, while cell relaxation is associated with decreased outflow resistance. However, these previous studies generally focused on the TM cells, on which quantitative mechanical/pharmacological measurement at the cellular level remains to be performed. The relative contribution to the modulation of outflow resistance by TM cells versus SC cells remains unknown.

How might SC cell stiffness modulate outflow resistance? It has been proposed that pore formation in the inner wall endothelium is driven by cell deformation induced by the transcellular pressure gradient across the cell [1,9,10]. Such a physical picture is fully consistent with the observation of giant-vacuole formation in the cultured SC cell perfused under physiological pressures [78]. Because a stiffer SC cell will tend to deform less under a pressure gradient, higher stiffness might act to reduce the size and number of giant vacuoles and pores. Previous studies [1,79] indicate that inner wall pores generate little flow resistance in and of themselves. However, if the pores cause a funnelling of flow through the adjacent JCT, as suggested by computational models [80,81], then these pathways could be the limiting factor in aqueous humour outflow resistance. If so, then stiffness of the SC cell could modulate outflow resistance through the process of pore formation. Further support is found in studies of Ethier et al. [82] who showed that latrunculin-B, an agent that decreases outflow resistance [15] and reduces cell stiffness in non-ocular cells [31], causes an increase in the density of pores in the inner wall SC endothelium.

4. CONCLUSION

Current literature emphasizes the role of the JCT in generating the bulk of outflow resistance [2,83,84], but the role of the endothelial lining of the inner wall of SC remains unclear. Here we have investigated the potential role of this endothelium by direct mechanical measurements at the cellular level. Specifically, we have shown that the SC cell is highly contractile and responsive to a range of pharmacological interventions but that responses are highly variable across donors. Moreover, drugs known to increase outflow resistance increased SC cell stiffness, and conversely, drugs known to decrease outflow resistance decreased SC cell stiffness. These findings, taken together, support the hypothesis that mechanical properties of the SC endothelium may contribute to aqueous humour outflow resistance, presumably through their effects upon modulation of pore formation [1,9,10,81].

5. METHODS

5.1. Cell isolation and culture

SC cells were isolated from one enucleated eye that was obtained from NDRI and from four post-surgical neoscleral remnants obtained from local cornea surgeons (Tucson, AZ, USA). Eye tissues were free of any known ocular disease (including glaucoma) and stored in a moist chamber or Optisol at 4°C until the
time of dissection. Human SC cells were isolated using a cannulation technique as described in detail elsewhere [24]. Cells were characterized and distinguished from potential contaminants using previously established criteria. Thus, SC cells displayed characteristic ‘railroad track’ morphology and were contact-inhibited upon reaching confluence. Unlike their close neighbours—TM cells—expression of myocilin in SC cells was not induced upon treatment with corticosteroids (table 1).

Moreover, SC cells after confluence for one week generated net transelectrical resistance between 9 and 18 Ω cm²; by comparison confluent TM cells attained 2–5 Ω cm² [85]. Some cell strains (as were available) were also tested with more recent established protein markers for SC including integrin α6, fibulin-2 and VE-cadherin [86–88]; SC cell strains from five donors were used in the present study that satisfied all or the majority of these criteria (table 1).

As described below, cells were expanded and studied in passages 2–3. In one cell strain, cells were studied from passages 2 through 6 to examine the effect of passages 2–3. In one cell strain, cells were studied in passages 2–5.

Table 2. Relationship between cell stiffness, junctional permeability and aqueous humour outflow resistance. Red arrows are measurements made on outflow pathway cells/tissues; blue arrows are measurements on vascular endothelial cells; black arrows are measurement on other cell types. Dash indicates no effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>agent</th>
<th>outflow resistance</th>
<th>stiffness</th>
<th>junctional resistance</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>colchicine</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>[47–49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochalasin D</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>[31,47,50–53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dibutyryl-cAMP</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[17–19,32,50,54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forskolin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[19,32,54–57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isoproterenol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[17,20–23,32,50,57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>latrunculin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>[15,31,58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-27632</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>[14,16,31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butanedione monoxide</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>[59,60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bradykinin</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[32,61–63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histamine</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[32,52,61,63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thrombin</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[14,54,61,64,65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lysophosphatidic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[13,52,66–68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphingosine 1-phosphate</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>[12,13,69,70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dexamethasone</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>71–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triamcinolone acetone</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>71,75,76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Outflow resistance is the inverse of outflow facility.

†Junctional resistance as determined from electrical resistance, hydraulic conductivity or permeability to solutes.

‡Response to corticosteroid.

§Measurements on SC cells from this study.

5.2. Optical magnetic twisting cytometry

Detailed descriptions and validations of OMTC have been given elsewhere [25,89]. Briefly, to probe the rheology of the cytoskeleton, ferrimagnetic beads (4.5 μm diameter) were coated with poly-L-lysine (PLL) and allowed to adhere randomly to the apical cell surface. PLL was used by our group instead of RGD used in previous studies [25], as the latter has been shown to induce integrin clustering and focal adhesion formation that could possibly alter cell stiffness [90]. We have shown previously that cellular stiffness measured with beads coated with PLL is comparable to that measured with RGD [91–93]; however, such a stiffness is an order of magnitude higher than that probed through the lipid bilayer [94], suggesting that PLL is a suitable probe for cytoskeletal stiffness. To probe the rheology of the SC cell in preliminary studies, we twisted each bead at frequencies (f) ranging from 0.1 to 1000 Hz. Studies of drug effects were then done at an arbitrarily chosen frequency of 0.77 Hz that we have employed in our studies of other cell types [25,91].

OMTC measures the apparent stiffness of hundreds of cells individually but simultaneously (figure 2a). The complex ratio of the applied torque to the resulting complex bead displacement defines a complex apparent stiffness of the cell [25], y*(f) with units of Pa nm⁻¹.
With increasing frequency, the SC cell exhibited power-law rheology (figure 2b), which fits well to the structural damping model [25,37;]

\[
g''(f) = g' + ig'' = A \omega^n.
\] (5.1)

Here, \(\omega^2 = -1, g''(f)\) is the complex shear modulus, \(g'\) is the stiffness modulus and \(g''\) is the loss modulus. There are only two free parameters in the structural damping model, the prefactor \(A\) and the power-law exponent \(\alpha\). The loss tangent or hysteresivity (\(\eta = g''/g'\)) is related to \(\alpha\) by the equation \(\eta = \tan(\alpha \pi/2)\) [25].

To convert the apparent stiffness, \(g''/C\), to a shear modulus, \(G''/C\), we multiply by a length scale of 350 nm based upon previous finite-element simulations [95] together with a measured half immersion angle of 84° as determined for RGD-coated beads adherent to SC cells [11]. Then, if we denote \(G''\) at 0.77 Hz as \(G\), using equation (5.1), we find \(G = A \times 350\). We note that shear modulus of a cell is one-third of its Young’s modulus, assuming the cell to be incompressible.

### 5.3. Protocols for measuring drug response

We added beads to cells and incubated for 30 min. Because the availability of human SC cells is quite limited, we used two protocols to optimize the utilization of this precious resource. In protocol A (time-response studies), baseline stiffness was measured by OMTC as described above at 0.77 Hz, a drug of interest was then added at a defined concentration, and the time course of resulting stiffness changes was recorded. In this protocol, each cell was used as its own control and we report the fractional change in cell stiffness relative to baseline. In protocol B (dose-response studies), we measured cell stiffness at 0.77 Hz and its responses to a drug of interest in a dose-response fashion, but related those changes to responses in a different cell that was treated with vehicle only and used as a time-control. Except where noted, reported values correspond to plateau responses that were typically recorded at 30–60 min following the addition of each drug. The degree of relaxation (% relaxation) was calculated as \(1 - G/G_0\), where \(G\) is the cell stiffness with drug treatment and \(G_0\) is that without. All tests were carried out at 37°C.

### 5.4. Traction force microscopy

The details of TFM technique have been described elsewhere [30,33,96]. Briefly, 250 μl of a mixture consisting of 5 per cent acrylamide, 0.1 per cent bis-acrylamide (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 0.6 per cent fluorescent bead suspension (0.2 μm, yellow-green, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA) and ultrapure water were induced to polymerize on a pre-treated glass-bottom dish by mixing with 0.5 per cent of ammonia persulphate and 0.05 per cent TEMED (Bio-Rad). These gels substrates have a Young’s modulus of 4 kPa. Cells were sparsely plated for 4–6 h on these substrates in the serum-free media described above. Using an inverted epifluorescence Leica microscope, the bead positions were recorded at the pre-treatment baseline, at several times points following drug treatment and after detachment of the cells by trypsinization at the end of the experiment. From these bead images, we computed the displacement field. From the displacement field, the substrate stiffness and knowledge of the cell’s contour, we computed the constrained traction field [33]. From the constrained traction field, we extracted a scalar measure of cell contractility called the r.m.s. traction [29,33].

### 5.5. Drug preparations

For Protocol A, we used latrunculin A (0.1 μM with dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) as its vehicle), LPA (0.1 μM with its vehicle being phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)), S1P (0.1 μM with its vehicle being PBS with 1% BSA) and thrombin (0.1 μ-NH2 unit ml⁻¹ with water as its vehicle). For Protocol B, we used Y-27632 (10–200 μM with water as vehicle), dibutyryl-cAMP (DBcAMP, 1–10 mM freshly prepared in serum-free media) and isoproterenol (0.01–100 μM with water as its vehicle). All drugs were from Sigma–Aldrich unless otherwise stated. The maximum concentrations for the vehicles were 0.01 per cent DMSO, 0.01 per cent PBS plus 0.0001 per cent BSA or 1 per cent water; these vehicles had negligible influence on cell stiffness.

### 5.6. Further characterizations

In the special case of isoproterenol, we also quantified expression of the β2AR as well as traction forces. To measure the former, we used Western blotting described below and to measure the latter, we used TFM described above.

#### 5.6.1. Western blotting

Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were resolved on a NuPage 4–12 per cent Bis–Tris gel (Invitrogen), transversed onto a Hybond nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham) and probed with anti-β2AR (H20; Santa Cruz Biotech) or anti-β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotech) antibodies.

### 5.7. Statistical analysis

For traction changes after isoproterenol, we used a paired t-test with a significance level of 0.05. Data are reported as median ± s.e.
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