Growth factor delivery-based tissue engineering: general approaches and a review of recent developments
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The identification and production of recombinant morphogens and growth factors that play key roles in tissue regeneration have generated much enthusiasm and numerous clinical trials, but the results of many of these trials have been largely disappointing. Interestingly, the trials that have shown benefit all contain a common denominator, the presence of a material carrier, suggesting strongly that spatio-temporal control over the location and bioactivity of factors after introduction into the body is crucial to achieve tangible therapeutic effect. Sophisticated materials systems that regulate the biological presentation of growth factors represent an attractive new generation of therapeutic agents for the treatment of a wide variety of diseases. This review provides an overview of growth factor delivery in tissue engineering. Certain fundamental issues and design strategies relevant to the material carriers that are being actively pursued to address specific technical objectives are discussed. Recent progress highlights the importance of materials science and engineering in growth factor delivery approaches to regenerative medicine.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Regenerative medicine, which is a term often used interchangeably with tissue engineering, merges the fields of life sciences and engineering and aims to orchestrate body regeneration by specifically controlling the biological environment [1]. Regeneration in the adult often represents a recapitulation of developmental processes, and strives to maintain and/or restore tissue integrity and functionality. Regenerative medicine provides alternatives to organ transplantation, which is limited in applicability owing to immune responses against allografts and the large disparity between the need for organs and tissues and the number available for transplantation. Inspiration for regenerative medicine strategies commonly derive from our increasing understanding of how cells and biological systems decipher cues, and aims to replicate biological concepts and instructions expressed during embryonic development, including signal transduction pathways, transcription factor instructions and protein regulation. Growth factors are critical signalling molecules that instruct cells during development, and one may achieve tissue regeneration in the adult by enabling control over growth factor delivery.
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Tissue-regeneration strategies are often broken down into three categories: (i) direct injection of bolus cells into the tissue of interest or the systematic circulation, (ii) implantation of cells after they have been combined to form a three-dimensional tissue structure, often within a bioreactor, and (iii) scaffold-based delivery of signalling molecules such as low-molecular-weight drugs, proteins and oligonucleotides that stimulate cell migration, growth and differentiation. These signalling molecules, which are the focus of this review, are broadly grouped into the overlapping categories of mitogens (stimulate cell division), growth factors (originally identified by their proliferation-inducing effects, but have multiple functions) and morphogens (control generation of tissue form). Precise control over the signalling of these factors in a local area may potentially allow control over a regenerative process. As is typical in this field, the term growth factor will be broadly used in this review for proteins, which affects cell migration, proliferation and cellular differentiation. In this review, we will discuss general approaches to the strategic use of these factors and specific applications in regenerative medicine. This review is focused on the materials science and chemistry aspects of this topic, not the polymer physics. In particular, we first will discuss the types of growth factors, their mechanisms of
action and variables affecting growth factor delivery. Section 2 summarizes strategies for biomaterial presentation of growth factors including: (i) chemical immobilization and (ii) physical encapsulation. The former will discuss not only covalent conjugation of growth factors, but also growth factor incorporation through secondary interactions between growth factors and the biomaterials. The latter section will cover a variety of physical encapsulation strategies aimed at pre-programmed release and diffusion of growth factors into surrounding tissues. Section 3 of this review covers release on demand of growth factors.

2. GROWTH FACTOR INTERACTIONS WITH NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC EXTRACELLULAR MATRICES

2.1. Types of growth factors and their mechanism of action

Growth factors are soluble-secreted signalling polypeptides capable of instructing specific cellular responses in a biological environment ([2]; table 1). The specific cellular response triggered by growth factor signalling can result in a very wide range of cell actions, including cell survival, and control over migration, differentiation or proliferation of a specific subset of cells. Prior to addressing strategic delivery of growth factors, understanding the biological functions and roles of these proteins in the extracellular matrix is first of all required because the extracellular matrix contain numerous components such as adhesive molecules, notch signalling molecules, traction-enabling adhesion molecules and proteoglycan molecules to bind and modulate the activity of a number of growth factors [3–5]. The signal transmission mechanism initiates with growth factor secretion by the producer cell. The growth factor instructs cell behaviour through binding to specific transmembrane receptors on the target cells (figure 1). The machinery that transduces the growth factor-binding signal to the cell nucleus involves a complex array of events involving cytoskeleton protein phosphorylation, ion fluxes, changes in metabolism, gene expression, protein synthesis and ultimately an integrated biological response [6].

Growth factors differ from other oligo-/polypeptide molecules, such as insulin and hormones, in the mode of delivery and response elicited. Typically, growth factors do not act in an endocrine fashion; they exhibit short-range diffusion through the extracellular matrix and act locally owing to their short half-lives and slow diffusion. The ability of a growth factor to deliver a particular message to a distinct subpopulation of cells is not exclusively determined by the identity of the growth factor and its ability to diffuse through the extracellular matrices (ECMs); it is also determined by the target cell number, type of receptors and the intracellular signal transduction subsequent to factor binding. The same growth factor can convey different instructions depending on the receptor type to which it binds, and on the cell type to which it binds. Moreover, the same receptor can translate different messages depending on the intracellular transduction pathways, which can differ from one cell type to another. The ultimate response of a target cell to a particular soluble growth factor can also be governed by external factors, including the ability of the factors to
2.2. Variables affecting growth factor interaction with ECM

2.2.1. ECM-mimicking polymer carriers. The insoluble matrix cues presented by the ECM are also critical to govern tissue formation and regeneration in spite of the emphasis on growth factor signalling in mediating cellular fate. The ECM can regulate the spatial presentation of growth factors by controlling the extent of binding of these factors to the matrix. For example, the presence of heparin-binding domains in certain growth factor molecules is crucial to mediate specific interactions with the ECM. Growth factors that exhibit ECM-binding domains frequently are present in spatio-temporal gradients that provide essential cues to elicit specific cellular responses [8]. In contrast, growth factors lacking ECM binding capabilities are much more highly diffusible in tissues. The ECM, although not the focus of this review, also plays critical roles in mediating cellular migration and maintaining cells in a quiescent state, by the local presentation of physical and structural cues allowing the anchorage of the cellular motility machinery (e.g. actin fibres, integrins; [7]). For example, integrins, a large family of cell surface ECM receptors, are active in regulating angiogenic signalling and endothelial cell behaviour in physiological and pathological events [9,10].

Control over the overall growth factor regulatory system is critical to instruct specific cellular decisions. The response of cells to growth factors can be regulated by cell–cell signalling, and together these processes affect cell proliferation, differentiation and stem cell fate decisions. One recent report suggests that the local concentration of growth factors influences cadherin-mediated cell–cell contact, which suppresses cell proliferation only when a specific growth factor recedes below a threshold level [11]. Similarly, angiogenesis promoted via vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was effectively controlled by notch signalling, which is known to suppress the uptake of VEGF. Moreover, local notch inhibition by a small molecule (DAPT, N-[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl-l-alanyl)]-S-phenylglycine-t-butyl ester, γ-secretase inhibitor IX) assists the effective uptake of VEGF by endothelial cells, resulting in the promotion of regional angiogenesis [3].

Owing to the critical role of growth factors in controlling basic cellular functions, and their ability to directly elicit and orchestrate tissue regeneration, a wide range of growth factors has been tested for distinct therapeutic applications, including bone regeneration and neovascularization of ischaemic tissues. The ability of angiogenic factors to treat ischaemic diseases was first examined by intracoronary injections of fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and animal studies demonstrated...
improvement of cardiac systolic function and reduction in the infarcted area [12]. A number of growth factors have been tested in clinical trials using a similar delivery approach, including VEGF [13–16]. Phase I trials typically have reported promising results [17,18]. However, the results obtained in the larger phase II trials have not shown the expected benefit to patients [19]; table 2). These disappointing clinical results with angiogenic factors demonstrate the need for the development of alternative strategies capable of inducing a sustainable and physiologically meaningful neovascularization.

The relatively unsuccessful experience with the phase II angiogenesis clinical trials could be attributable to several causes, including the formulation of the growth factor used, the dose used, the route of administration and/or inappropriate clinical trial design (e.g. selection of patients). Although largely neglected by the clinical community, one particular limitation is probably the mode of delivery. Large doses of potent growth factors, formulated in solution form, were directly injected into the body. This administration of supraphysiological concentrations of growth factors may lead to severe side effects owing to the extremely high initial concentration, and conversely may not allow sufficient levels of the factors to be sensed by target tissue for the necessary time frame owing to their rapid degradation and cleaving. Degradation of growth factors in vivo can occur via several distinct pathways, including denaturation, oxidation or proteolysis [20,21]. For example, VEGF presents a biological half-life of less than 30 min when infused intravenously [22], resulting in the need for massive doses and multiple injections [19,23,24]. However, the use of large quantities of VEGF should be avoided because it could lead to catastrophic pathological vessel formation at non-target sites (e.g. dormant tumours). The classical delivery strategies that use infusions of factor cocktails intrinsically lack targeting of specific cell populations, and probably result in a transient and inadequate biological response.

To improve unsatisfactory outcomes in classical delivery of growth factors, polymer matrices with relevant modifications for the presentation of growth factors could be good platforms as delivery substrates. Bioactive factors can be chemically immobilized or physically encapsulated into polymer matrices, preventing their denaturation, and their release can be controlled by the degradation rate of the polymer matrices, their diffusion through the polymer construct or external triggers [25,26]. During polymer/growth factor complex preparation, features such as chemical modification of polymer matrices (e.g. adhesion cues) and physical encapsulation of growth factors with secondary polymer carriers may be critical to increase the therapeutic efficiency. Elaborate engineering of delivery matrices composed of polymers showing distinct physical properties can also provide a dramatic enhancement of therapeutic efficiency. The degradation kinetics of polymer-based delivery systems enable one to control the release profile of growth factors, resulting in optimized concentrations of growth factors, which is a main goal of these systems.

The design and control of extracellular matrix-mimicking scaffolds for effective growth factor-relating treatments have attracted wide attention in tissue engineering. From a biophysical perspective, polymer matrices used for growth factor delivery substrate must also be considered to be a biological compartment in tissue development because the matrices will affect not only the factor’s efficiency but also cell fate. In addition to designing polymer structures to control chemo-tactic responses of cells with growth factor delivery, the physical properties of the scaffolds may influence subsequent cellular growth factor secretion and related cell signalling [27]. There are many reports that physical parameters, such as shape, elasticity, hardness, stiffness, pore size, elastic reversibility and degradation rate of matrices, can alter cellular processes [28,29]. For example, short filaments showed higher persistence in vivo than spherical counterparts, and cellular uptake was one order of magnitude higher than longer filaments [30]. A further example is the finding that increasing the degree of cross-linking of three-dimensional polymer networks incorporating bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) used in the healing of critical-sized defects in rat calvaria caused a decrease in the cell migration rate [31–33].

### Table 2. Results of some clinical studies using different growth factor strategies for therapeutic applications. n.a., not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>study</th>
<th>no. of patients</th>
<th>factor</th>
<th>administration</th>
<th>clinical target</th>
<th>result</th>
<th>commercially available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIVA [23]</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>VEGF&lt;sub&gt;165&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>infusions (intravenous and intracoronary)</td>
<td>cardiovascular</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRST</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>FGF-2</td>
<td>infusions (intracoronary)</td>
<td>cardiovascular</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Polymer’</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>FGF-2</td>
<td>alginate microcapsules</td>
<td>cardiovascular</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESTT</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>BMP-2</td>
<td>collagen sponge</td>
<td>bone fractures</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>yes (<a href="https://www.infusebonegraft.com">https://www.infusebonegraft.com</a>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2. Spatio-temporal control over the multiple growth factors. In addition to localized delivery of a single
component or factor, simultaneous or sequential delivery of multiple growth factors has also been exploited to enhance the therapeutic efficiency. The complex processes of cell migration, differentiation and proliferation are typically dependent on both the presence of specific growth factors, and their time-dependent and spatial distributions. Composite polymer systems can be designed to induce the spatio-temporal delivery of multiple growth factors. Complex polymer systems showing distinct release kinetics for growth factors may be critical to control biological processes. For example, the first step of angiogenesis requires VEGF, FGF and angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2) to disrupt the structure of pre-existing blood vessels, and to promote the proliferation and migration of new cells to form new immature vessels. Next, angiopoietin-1 and PDGF-BB stabilize these newly formed blood vessels [34–36]. Sequential and simultaneous delivery of these growth factors has been shown to enhance vascularization ([27,37,38]; figure 2). Degradable alginate hydrogel-based delivery systems have also provided the simultaneous delivery of an osteogenic growth factor and other morphogens and showed enhanced effects [39,40]. In a similar manner, sequential delivery of BMP-2 and BMP-7 encapsulated in (poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLG) acid-based nanoparticles through chitosan-based three-dimensional fibre scaffolds was also recently reported [41–43]. Different combinations of sequential delivery with BMP-2 and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) from a layered structure, BMP-2 and transforming growth factor-β3 (TGF-β3) from alginate hydrogels and VEGF and BMP-2 from gelatin microparticles have recently shown enhanced healing effects compared with single growth factor delivery [40,44,45]. Also, sequential delivery of three factors from alginate scaffolds, VEGF, PDGF-BB and TGF-β1, showed superior vascularization to only basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF). Each protein has a different association with alginate, and the difference in affinity governs the release rate [46,47].

3. STRATEGIES FOR BIOMATERIAL PRESENTATION OF GROWTH FACTORS

Two distinct strategies for biomaterial presentation of growth factors in tissue engineering have been pursued: (i) chemical immobilization of the growth factor into or onto the matrix and (ii) physical encapsulation of growth factors in the delivery system (figure 3). The former approach typically involves chemical binding or affinity interaction between the growth factor-containing polymer substrate and a cell or a tissue. The latter approach is achieved by the encapsulation, diffusion and pre-programmed release of growth factor from substrate into the surrounding tissue. The efficacy of factor delivery can be significantly enhanced by three-dimensional patterning of the growth factors on scaffolds [48–50]. Both general concepts and recent advances in these two strategies will be discussed in this section. In addition, growth factor release on demand by external/internal triggering provides another level of control and will be discussed in the diffusion-based growth factor release section.

3.1. Chemical conjugation of growth factors or morphogens to scaffold materials

A variety of techniques to conjugate growth factors to natural or synthetic biomaterials and chemicals have been developed. These immobilized factors will be
available to cells that come in contact with the matrix, providing a highly localized signal to control cell fate (e.g. stem cell differentiation; [51,52]). Growth factors may be active in the bound state or be activated by cleavage from the matrix. Site-specific tethering of growth factors and other biological molecules enables one to control multiple functions of growth factors and their delivery. There are two main strategies for direct presentation of growth factors on extracellular matrices: (i) physical adsorption owing to protein–protein hydrogen bonding or hydrophobic interactions with excipient molecules often acting as a molecular chaperone (non-covalent approaches) and (ii) direct covalent immobilization of the growth factor or growth factor-mimicking molecules to the matrix (covalent approach). Regardless of which technique is used to immobilize growth factors, making the scaffolds also adhesive to cells will aid in bringing the cells into close contact. The potential benefit of this concept has been further demonstrated by the finding that the effects of growth factors such as VEGF-A and bFGF (or FGF-2) are influenced by extracellular matrix receptors as integrin binding can increase the activation of growth factor receptors [53–56]. Based on these findings, a ligand for αvβ3-integrin has been used to increase growth factor receptor expression and cellular response [57].

3.1.1. Non-covalent incorporation. Absorption of growth factors typically exploits direct charge–charge or other secondary interactions between growth factors and matrices, or indirect interaction via intermediate proteins or other biological molecules [58–60]. Proteins such as heparin, fibronectin, gelatin and small oligopeptides mimicking large proteins can be chemically or physically coated to provide specific biological sites to immobilize the growth factors or morphogens. Small molecules mimicking key fragments and functions of these large proteins can also be used in their place to immobilize growth factors.

3.1.1.1. Biopolymeric gels for immobilization of growth factors. Biopolymeric gels containing fibronectin, laminin, collagen, elastin or the glycosaminoglycans heparin sulphate, chondroitin sulphate, hyaluronic acid or a variety of synthetic hydrogels have been used as extracellular matrix-mimicking materials to immobilize
growth factor-inducing moieties or growth factors directly [61,62]. For example, fibrin, which plays an important role in wound healing, has been used to link and deliver peptides or growth factors into the area of interest. Bioactive molecules have been covalently coupled to fibrin using enzymes [63]. The β-nerve growth factor (NGF) fusion protein immobilized to fibrin in this manner is released by means of the proteolytic activity of plasmin, and this mode of delivery showed enhanced nerve regeneration [64]. Release of similarly coupled BMP-2 from fibrin gels via cell-activated plasmin induced bone defect healing [65]. Similarly, an engineered variant of VEGF bound to a fibrin network was developed for induction of local angiogenesis [48,50,66]. However, growth factor coupling and release can show deleterious effects, suggesting that delivery must be optimized for each situation [67].

For example, the surface of extracellular matrix-mimicking substrates can be chemically or physically modified to immobilize heparin, in order to bind growth factors via their affinity to the grafted heparin. Heparin-based growth factor-delivery systems have demonstrated the ability to provide sustained release of growth factors [68]. The kinetics of release is dependent not only on the constant association between the electrostatically bound molecules, but also on the environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, acidity and hydrophobicity). There are several recent reports showing affinity and release results of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), basic FGF, VEGF, TGF-β and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) in heparin or other materials-coated ECMs [58,69–75].

3.1.1.2. Small oligopeptides mimicking proteins. The coupling of small oligopeptides mimicking the adhesion properties of large matrix molecules to a delivery vehicle can aid in obtaining effective responses to delivered growth factors [76–80]. For example, arginine–glycine–aspartic acid (RGD)-presenting macroporous scaffolds have been used to transplant myoblasts to muscle laceration sites as well as to provide a sustained delivery of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2). Compared with blank scaffold or delivery of only cells or growth factors, the combined system enhanced the survival and migration of the transplanted myoblasts, and overall muscle regeneration [81]. Similarly, macroporous alginate scaffolds releasing VEGF and containing covalently linked cell-adhesion peptides dramatically increased the survival rate of endothelial progenitor cells delivered into the body, and the return of blood perfusion to ischaemic tissue [82]. This approach also provides a platform for immune-modulating function. One recent example involves the immobilization of a peptide (YCWS-QYLNCY) to a hydrogel, in order to mimic the tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) recognition loop to enhance the survival and function of encapsulated cells [83]. The use of peptide amphiphile molecules to form self-assembled factor delivery vehicles has also been reported [84]. This strategy exploits self-assembling oligopeptides composed of alternating hydrophilic and hydrophobic amino acids (RARADARARADADA) as building blocks. The conjugation of biotin molecules at the end of the peptides allows incorporation of tetravalent streptavidin molecules, and subsequent tethering of a biotinylated IGF-1 has been used for prolonged factor delivery and activity.

3.1.2. Covalent incorporation. Covalent bonding of growth factors to material carriers can provide more prolonged release than that achieved by physical immobilization. There are other potential advantages in presenting growth factors as matrix-tethered molecules for applications in tissue engineering, as well. The factors remain competent to bind and activate the growth factor receptors, but are more slowly degraded and internalized. Factors can be conjugated to the polymers via functional groups, which are incorporated by copolymerization or chemical or physical treatment [85]. For example, epidermal growth factor (EGF) which was covalently coupled to amino-silane glass via star poly(ethyleneoxide) (PEO), which allows the ligand to retain better mobility, is more effective in promoting cell-growth responses in primary rat hepatocytes than physically adsorbed EGF [86]. Similarly, TGF-β1 conjugated covalently to poly(ethylene glycol) PEG hydrogels leads to increased matrix production, and can counteract the effect of adhesive RGD ligands bound to the same PEG, resulting in enhanced matrix production [87]. However, there are some limitations to this approach, as the specificity of the coupling site on the conjugated protein can be difficult to assign selectively. Also, proteins may lose their bioactivity during immobilization owing to screening of the active pocket of the protein or damage to bioactive functional groups.

In summary, chemical immobilization strategies for factor delivery allow for localized and strong interactions between growth factors delivered into tissues, and the resident cells. Challenges in this approach include finding the correct balance between the factor dose and physical and chemical properties of the scaffold, which can regulate cell behaviours.

3.2. Physical encapsulation of growth factors with pre-programmed release, and diffusion into surrounding tissues

3.2.1. Polymeric scaffold for physical encapsulation of growth factors. Physical encapsulation of growth factors for the purpose of their controlled release is a prominent strategy for local growth factor delivery in tissue engineering, and its simplicity can provide an appealing alternative to chemical conjugation. Similar to chemical conjugation methods, though, factor delivery can be combined with other variables of scaffolds to provide favourable tissue interactions and elicit a desirable cellular response. Delivery materials that are injectable or transplantable, with relevant mechanical strength, porosity and degradation rates, can be readily fabricated. Fabrication methods actively used for physical encapsulation of growth factors include solvent casting and particulate leaching, freeze drying, phase separation, melt moulding, phase emulsion, in situ
polymerization and gas foaming [1]. A key issue is minimizing exposure of factors to harsh conditions during processing in order to protect the activity of the biomolecules. For example, gas-foaming processes have been developed to avoid the exposure of growth factors to hazardous solvents that is common in many other techniques (both probably lead to protein denaturation; [88–90]). CO₂, when present at high pressure, will dissolve in an appropriate polymer, but, when the pressure is decreased, nucleation and CO₂ pore formation will result in expansion of the polymer matrix leading to factor encapsulation. Combining gas foaming with a particle-leaching technique can lead to open-pore networks, and has been used for the delivery of growth factors in vivo in a bioactive form, resulting in sustainable release of intact growth factors that can lead to new tissue formation [91]. The various scaffold fabrication techniques used for physical incorporation of growth factors into scaffold materials have advantages and disadvantages (table 3).

Combining methods is also often pursued to bypass limitations. For example, a high initial burst release of growth factor results from several techniques, but growth factors can first be encapsulated in the bulk of a polymer using a technique such as solvent casting, and subsequently incorporated into a scaffold using gas foaming, leading to a sustained release of the growth factor. The surface area of the material system will typically influence growth factor release with physical encapsulation as release is controlled by diffusion. For example, nanospheres (1–100 nm) or microparticles (1–100 μm) as a carrier of individual or multiple growth factors will lead to varying delivery times as the size of the carrier particles controls the surface-to-volume ratio. Further, the rate of intracellular uptake is influenced by particle size [92,93]. Further control over release with encapsulating strategies can be achieved by altering various physical and chemical parameters, including the polymer molecular weight distribution, hydrophobicity and porosity.

### 3.2.2. Types of biomaterials for physical encapsulation

#### 3.2.2.1. Synthetic polymers

The selection of the specific biomaterial used for encapsulation is a key variable in the design and development of encapsulation systems. A multiplicity of synthetic polymers, including poly(α-hydroxy acids), poly (orthoesters), poly(alkydrides), poly(esteramides), dextrin, poly(γ-caprolactone) (PLA), poly(lactide) (PLA) and their copolymers (PLG acid) have been used for growth-factor encapsulation [43,90,94–99]. These types of implantable materials or nano-/microparticles may be particularly advantageous for applications that require a very well-defined physical space over time. For example, PLG-based nano- or microparticles may be particularly advantageous with encapsulation systems that require the sequential release of VEGF followed by residual solvents and porogen materials; limited mechanical properties; limited pore sizes (15–35 μm) and limited pore sizes, residual solvents (1–10 μm) high temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>technique</th>
<th>advantages</th>
<th>potential disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solvent casting/particulate leaching [118,187]</td>
<td>control over porosity, pore sizes and crystallinity; high porosity</td>
<td>residual solvents and porogen materials; limited mechanical properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeze drying [188,189]</td>
<td>high porosity and interconnectivity</td>
<td>limited pore sizes range (15–35 μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phase separation [190,191]</td>
<td>high porosity</td>
<td>limited pore sizes, residual solvents (1–10 μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>melt moulding [192]</td>
<td>control over macrogeometry, porosity and pore size; free of harsh organic solvents</td>
<td>high temperatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high internal-phase emulsion [193]</td>
<td>control over porosity, pore size and interconnectivity</td>
<td>limited polymer types and mechanical properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in situ polymerization [194,195]</td>
<td>injectable; control over mechanical properties</td>
<td>residual monomers and cross-linking agents, limited porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas foaming [88,89]</td>
<td>free of organic solvents; control over porosity</td>
<td>pore interconnectivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Popular scaffold preparation techniques used for physical association of growth factors.
PDGF, and this led both to a significant increase in the local blood vessel density and to maturation of the newly formed vessels [27]. The two growth factors were incorporated together into the same scaffolds by mixing polymer microspheres containing pre-encapsulated PDGF with lyophilized VEGF before processing into scaffolds. Simultaneous delivery of the two factors led to little or no revascularization. The systematic integration of quantitative biological measurements and mathematical modelling provided a design principle for the delivery vehicles through understanding of the growth factor-driven process of angiogenesis. In addition, quantitative information about spatio-temporal control of VEGF presentation on microvascular endothelial cells can be obtained by FRET or radio-labelling assays [38,107]. Similarly, PEG-based hyrogel has also been used to incorporate multiple neurotrophin family members, and to release them at different rates [109].

Growth factors encapsulated in synthetic nanoporous/macroporous materials can be exploited not only to deliver factors to cells in the surrounding tissue, but also to actively rearrange and recruit the cells and regulate their proliferation and differentiation [110]. For example, a recent report demonstrates how infection-mimicking materials can be used to programme dendritic cells via delivery of cytokines from scaffolds; the system recruits, activates and homes the cells to the lymph nodes [111]. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM–CSF) released from the scaffolds first recruits the dendritic cells. The recruited cells are activated by danger signals immobilized on the scaffold and subsequently home to lymph nodes to activate T-cells. These biomaterials can potentially be exploited as a cancer vaccine or immune-modulating therapy.

3.2.2.2. Natural polymers. Naturally occurring materials such as silk, keratin, collagen, gelatin, fibrinogen, elastin, chitosan, hyaluronic acid, starch, carrageenan, cellulose and alginate have also gained wide attention as drug carriers [112–115]. The natural origin of these materials allows one to design and engineer biomaterial systems that function at the molecular level, and often minimizes chronic inflammation. They are often soluble in water, allowing mild fabrication conditions that are relatively harmless to the bioactivity of the growth factors. Promoting cross-linking when the growth factor is dispersed in the polymer solution represents one of the most popular methods for encapsulation of factors in these materials [93,116,117]. Gelatin microspheres and combinations of glycidyl methacrylated dextran with gelatin are examples that have successfully been used to deliver distinct growth factors, including BMP-2 and IGF-1 [94]. These polymers can be formulated into a variety of physical structures relevant to drug-delivery using several processes [89,90,118], but a common crucial issue is maintenance of the bioactivity of the encapsulated growth factors [91].

Factor release from degradable gels can be tuned by controlling either factor diffusion or gel degradation. Fast degradation will lead to rapid release of growth factors, while slowing degradation will retard factor release [119]. A potential problem in the use of natural polymers as delivery vehicles is that some physical properties, such as the degradation rate, can be challenging to control. Chemical modifications of the polymer are often performed to control the degradation rate. For example, typical alginate hydrogels present a slow and unpredictable degradation in vivo. Recent studies have addressed this limitation by partially oxidizing the polymer chains with sodium periodate to enable hydrolytic degradation [120] and modifying the polymer molecular weight distribution [121]. Similarly, injectable hydrogels prepared by a blend of chitosan, phopholipid and lauric aldehyde, and lauric chloride show tunable degradation rates and biocompatibility for factor delivery [122]. Blends of hyaluranan and methylcellulose containing NGF, FGF-2 and EGF or erythropoietin (EPO) have also been reported to provide local gradients of neurotrophic factors to injured nervous tissue [123–127]. Biodegradable hydrogels containing hydrophobic subunits such as cholesterol provide new types of stable and monodispere platforms of gelation for incorporation of growth factors, and have been used in clinical trials [128–132].

3.3. Growth factor release on demand

Matrix degradation and subsequent diffusion-based delivery systems with pre-programmed kinetics are appealing for growth factor/morphogen delivery, as they can provide sustained release for tunable times [8,91,112,133]. However, in many situations there is a need for delivery systems that respond to local environmental signals or externally applied cues in order to control release, so-called ‘release on demand’. Release on demand by external triggering can be offered by the introduction of stimuli-responsive components into delivery systems [134]. The most commonly used triggering mechanisms involve changes in the local environment, including (i) pH or temperature, (ii) proteins such as enzymes that cleave a cross-linker used to immobilize a growth factor, or (iii) drugs or ions that trigger cleavage of an engineered substrate, resulting in the release of encapsulated growth factors. Externally applied light or electric or magnetic fields, and ultrasound can also modify the vehicle structure or factor immobilization and regulate release.

3.3.1. pH and/or temperature-triggered release. One of the most common triggers to activate release on demand is local pH. This concept is based on the varying pH found in different tissues or targets of drug delivery (e.g. low pH in tumours). Hydrogels are composed of a highly cross-linked polymer network immersed in a solvent, and moieties that exhibit large reversible volume transitions upon pH changes are often used to provide control over release. Stimuli-sensitive hydrogels or polymeric micelles triggered by pH have been investigated for use in the gastrointestinal tract and in cancer targeting [135–139]. These systems show good stability at physiological pH, but protonation of their functional groups triggered by acidification reduces their stability, leading to release of the drug. Also, the swelling ratio and swelling/de-swelling kinetics are strongly dependent on
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environment signals such as pH or temperature, which produce another means to regulate drug release. Popular pH-sensitive materials include those containing pH-sensitive moieties, such as sulphamethazine oligomers (SMOs), sulphonamide and methacrylic acid, and are often based on PEG [140,141], poly(ε-caprolactone)-based copolymers [139,140,142], poly(l-lactic acid) (PLLA; [143]), polyHis [144–146], poly(glutamic acid) [147] and their derivatives. Recently, pH-sensitive poly(β amino ester)-containing hydrogels were also developed for controlled DNA [148–150] or insulin delivery [148]. An interesting recent report is based on hydrogels that show pH sensitivity and degradation in the presence of a specific bacterial (Pseudomonas cepacia) lipase [151]. In addition, other types of polymers that respond to pH change can be used to initiate release on demand. pH-sensitive liposome-mediated drug-delivery systems in vivo have been developed to regulate the endocytotic transportation of drugs or protein [152]. Also, vinyl-type macro-molecule-based hydrogels containing acid-degradable units can be incorporated to deliver and release protein-based vaccines upon pH change [153]. Recently cross-linked methacrylatated dextran-based hydrogels showing pH stimulus behaviour and colon-specific degradation were reported for the potential treatment of pathological conditions such as inflammatory disease, infection and carcinoma [154].

A change in temperature is also often used to trigger the release of drugs from polymeric carriers [155]. The most commonly exploited materials include poly[N-isopropylacrylamide] (PNIPAAm), as it exhibits LCST behaviours, or di-/triblock copolymer-based hydrogels made of PLA/PEG showing sol-to-gel transition under physiological conditions (37°C, pH 7.4; [139,156,157]). Exploration of PNIPAAm moieties for targeted delivery offers the advantages of good sensitivity, reversible transitions and low cytotoxicity when compared with many cationic polymers. Drug release can be readily tuned to vary with pH and temperature [158,159]. The degradation of these polymers can be altered by changing the hydrophilicity through alteration of the chemical structure of the PNIPAAm. In addition, most pH-sensitive materials mentioned above, including poly(ethylene glycol) [160], poly(propylene fumarate) [161], poly(organophosphazenes) [162] and their derivatives, also show temperature-dependent gelation behaviours.

3.3.2. Protease-triggered release. Protease action can also be used as a triggering mechanism to initiate release on demand. Most popular systems are based on catalytic matrix metallopeptinase (MMP) interactions [163]. A number of MMP inhibitor-containing systems have been developed for cytostatic and anti-angiogenic agents. Also, small oligopeptide (GPLGVRG) molecules cleaved by MMP (specifically MMP-2), a protease upregulated in angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis, were developed and furthermore conjugated to polymers for in vivo cancer imaging [164]. Synthetic materials have also been used for the coupling and local delivery of BMP-2. PEG-based hydrogels containing pendant integrin-binding oligopeptide molecules (arginine–glycine–aspartic acid–serine–proline; RGD) can be formed using amine-functionalized MMP ligands as a cross-linker. Close proximity of cells to the gels was mediated by the pendant RGD peptides, and a recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) bound to the matrix was delivered to the site of bone defects [163]. The biodegradability of the synthetic matrix and its biofunctional characteristics can be tuned by chemical modification of the hydrogel network, and modulation of proteases to increase the efficiency of growth-factor delivery [33,49]. With a similar concept, VEGF-conjugated, biofunctionalized PEG-peptide hydrogels that release VEGF only upon local cellular demand were formed for local, controlled induction of angiogenesis [155]. Recently, iron oxide nanoparticles containing both cell-internalizing oligopeptides and PEG polymers tethered by an MMP-2-cleavable peptide substrate have been reported [165]. Once activated upon cleavage by MMP-2, the unveiled peptide domain associates with cell membranes, resulting in the penetration of nanoparticles into cells. Similarly, proteolytically degradable PEG hydrogel networks can also be used to incorporate and release growth factor proteins [49]. It has been recently demonstrated that a series of enzyme-cleavable linker-containing hydrogel beads can specifically respond to target enzymes for the controlled release of growth factors [166]. Hydrogel formation can also be triggered by enzyme-catalysed reactions. DNA hydrogels, formed by ligase-mediated cross-linking, can be easily moulded into desired shapes and sizes [167]. It was also reported that similar hydrogels can be constructed using DNA stem-loop structures [168].

3.3.3. Control over release with drugs, ions, light, external magnetic or electric field. Exciting progress has recently been made in release-on-demand drug-delivery systems in which cleavage can be initiated by small drugs, antigens [169] and antibiotics [165,170]. For example, antibiotic-detecting hydrogels have been developed for the inducible release and spatio-temporal control of entrapped human growth factors [170]. Hydrogels formed by the interaction between bacterial gyrase subunit B coupled to a polymer chain, and a coumarin-cyclo-cross-linking unit changed to a sol state upon adding novobiocin, which interrupts their interaction, resulting in the release of VEGF [121]. Similarly, addition of calcium to hydrogels containing ion-binding proteins also can initiate a sol-to-gel transition, and change binding affinities for proteins [171].

There are elegant approaches for smart materials in which triggering can be induced by changes in ion concentration [171], light [172–174], electric fields [135,175–177], magnetic fields [178] and polysaccharides [169] that can be potentially applicable to growth factor-delivery systems. PEG-based hydrogels can be photodegradable, and channels formed by polymer degradation triggered by light can be used for the release of growth factors or migration of cells [179]. However, the majority of these systems to date are only prototypes, because commonly applied wavelength
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ranges or electric fields used for triggering are not suitable for in vivo use. Finally, the dynamic mechanical environment of the delivery site can also affect the release rate of growth factors [180]. This concept can be potentially used to design a triggering system to deliver bioactive molecules to locations under mechanical stress conditions (e.g. the heart).

In summary, these stimuli-sensitive delivery systems hold great promise as smart materials for factor release. The appropriate choice of triggering systems may enable on–off switches with fast and precise responses in tightly defined local areas. Applications of these systems will be enhanced by developing triggering in conditions applicable to in vivo use.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This review illustrates the utility of using material systems to obtain control over growth factor delivery, and the importance that spatio-temporal control has over these factors in tissue formation and regeneration. Synthetic ECM supporting cells transplanted or recruited to a target must provide an environment for cell development to be regulated appropriately and this function can be delicately tuned or engineered through suitable delivery of growth factors/morphogens. The polymer systems reviewed here tend to be quite versatile, and could easily be used in the future for more complex applications. For example, systems that can recruit cells into a scaffold, programme the cells appropriately and stimulate cells to leave the scaffolds may allow one to bypass the ex vivo culture and manipulation common to current cell therapies (figure 4). The polymer systems reviewed here also provide novel models to study and manipulate a multiplicity of physiological events that rely on the signalling of multiple growth factors.

Major advances have been made over recent years in the construction of polymer-based growth factor-delivery systems that allow the controlled release of growth factors, but there remain a number of challenges that will need to be addressed in the future. These include enhancing the stability of encapsulated proteins to allow release for extended times (e.g. weeks to months), the difficulty in scaling up certain approaches, determining the appropriate structure/compartmentalization of delivery materials to allow multiple factors to be released with distinct kinetics and the release of certain factors owing to their hydrophobic nature or strong charge–charge interactions between the polymers and the growth factors. Also, a major challenge is the adaptation of these approaches to clinical use. The majority of work carried out to date has been done with animal models and it is unclear how well much of this work will translate to the use of human recombinant growth factors in human patients. In a broader perspective, further advances in the field will rely on multi-disciplinary approaches that combine medicine, chemistry, engineering and pathology to develop effective strategies to treat complex wounds and pathologies.
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