Emergence of a prestressed eukaryotic nucleus during cellular differentiation and development
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Nuclear shape and size are emerging as mechanistic regulators of genome function. Yet, the coupling between chromatin assembly and various nuclear and cytoplasmic scaffolds is poorly understood. The present work explores the structural organization of a prestressed nucleus in a variety of cellular systems ranging from cells in culture to those in an organism. A combination of laser ablation and cellular perturbations was used to decipher the dynamic nature of the nucleo-cytoplasmic contacts. In primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts, ablation of heterochromatin nodes caused an anisotropic shrinkage of the nucleus. Depolymerization of actin and microtubules, and inhibition of myosin motors, resulted in the differential stresses that these cytoplasmic systems exert on the nucleus. The onset of nuclear prestress was then mapped in two contexts—first, in the differentiation of embryonic stem cells, where signatures of prestress appeared with differentiation; second, at an organism level, where nuclear or cytoplasmic laser ablations of cells in the early Drosophila embryo induced a collapse of the nucleus only after cellularization. We thus show that the interplay of physical connections bridging the nucleus with the cytoplasm governs the size and shape of a prestressed eukaryotic nucleus.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear shape and size are emerging as potential regulators of genome function, yet its mechanistic basis is poorly understood (Thomas et al. 2002; Lanctot et al. 2007; Misteli 2007). An inspection of shapes reveals disc-shaped and elliptical nuclei in several cell types (Schermelleh et al. 2008). This implies that the cytoskeleton applies forces to modulate nuclear shape and size, possibly through contacts spanning the nuclear envelope. Recently, a number of links have been delineated between the nuclear envelope and the cytoplasmic filaments (Padmakumar et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2005; Crisp et al. 2006; Haque et al. 2006; Tzur et al. 2006; Roux et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009). The SUN (Sad 1p, Une-84) domain proteins on the inner nuclear envelope connect to the KASH (Klarsicht, ANC-1, Syne Homology) domain proteins (like the nesprins) on the outer nuclear envelope, and thus span the approximately 60 nm lumenal space between the two bilayers of the nuclear envelope. The SUN domain proteins in turn interact with the nuclear components such as the chromatin and lamin, while the KASH domain proteins interact with the cytoskeleton (Padmakumar et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2005; Crisp et al. 2006; Haque et al. 2006; Tzur et al. 2006; Kracklauer et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009). A specialized nuclear-anchoring structure for cytoskeletal filaments, known as the LINC (linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex, that contains nesprins, sun and lamin proteins has recently been identified (Padmakumar et al. 2005; Crisp et al. 2006; Haque et al. 2006). Concomitant with these discoveries, new insights have been gained into the mechanistic maintenance of the cell nucleus (Lee et al. 2007; Stewart et al. 2007; Dahl et al. 2008; Hale et al. 2008; Roux et al. 2009). The nuclear lamin proteins are expressed at distinct stages during differentiation (Riemer et al. 1995; Constantinescu et al. 2006), and are thought to differentially regulate nuclear mechanics (Lammerding et al. 2006; Houben et al. 2007). Lamin B1 has been shown to be central for the proper development of a mouse embryo (Vergnes et al. 2004). Molecules associated with the maintenance of nuclear shape have also been shown to regulate Drosophila development (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006). Of particular interest is the suggestion of coupling of the heterochromatin assembly to a nuclear scaffold, which in turn connects it to the nuclear envelope (Nelson et al. 1986; Labrador & Corces 2002; Zhang et al. 2005). The highly compacted chromatin regions are organized along the nuclear periphery and are thought
to be anchored to the lamin network at distinct foci (Dahl et al. 2005). This anchorage may be mediated by the interactions of HP1 (heterochromatin protein 1) with Lamin A and LBR (Lamin B receptor, which in turn interacts with the B type lamins) in the nuclear membrane (Makatsori et al. 2004; Gruenbaum et al. 2005). In addition, our previous work had suggested heterochromatin nodes to be an integral component of the structural organization of the cell nucleus (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). But experiments are few that mechanistically test whether heterochromatin acts as nodes of nuclear architecture. There has been a recent suggestion that the organization of the heterochromatin is thought to be connected to that of the nuclear lamina (Raz et al. 2006). A recent finding further establishes centromeric heterochromatin to be a primary load-bearing for microtubule-based forces governing nuclear morphology in fission yeast (King et al. 2008). Hence, in addition to the histone proteins, an elaborate network of non-histone proteins governs morphology of the cell nucleus, and its position inside the cell and attachment of the cytoskeleton to the nucleus (Patterson et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2007; Hale et al. 2008). Despite this, the possibility of prestress on the cell nucleus whereby it is held under a balance of opposing forces has not been fully elucidated.

In this work we studied the response of the cell nucleus to a number of physical and chemical stresses to investigate the structural maintenance of nuclear organization within living cells. The present work details the components of nuclear prestress, and traces its effects on processes of differentiation and development. To assess the relative contributions of the cytoskeletal systems on nuclear organization, we systematically measured nuclear size under chemical perturbations. Variations of nuclear size in primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (PMEF) cells indicate a compressive loading by microtubules and pulling forces owing to the actomyosin cytoskeleton. These forces act in addition to the intrinsic forces due to the entropic nature of the DNA polymer opposed by histone and other nuclear proteins (Mazumder et al. 2008). The net balance of such forces gives the cell nucleus its effective morphology. Laser ablations in combination with chemical perturbations established that the nucleus is held under tension by the actomyosin system. Next, we mapped the onset of nuclear prestress in differentiation and development. Previous work in the literature had shown that, in undifferentiated cells, nuclear components are plastic (Mesheror & Misteli 2006; Mesheror et al. 2006; Pajerowski et al. 2007). Here, we show that nuclear prestress due to the cytoskeleton is also largely absent in embryonic stem (ES) cells but develops with differentiation. A similar emergence of nuclear prestress is also demonstrated in the cellularization of the early Drosophila embryo. Thus, such organizing principles are not a peculiarity of nuclei in cells growing in monolayer cultures, but are more general and apply even to an organism. Across a variety of platforms nuclear prestress is established to be a mechanistic regulator of nuclear morphology.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Cell culture and drug treatments

All cell culture products were from Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA, unless otherwise mentioned. PMEF cells were cultured with DMEM-F12 supplemented with 5 per cent foetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin–streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5 per cent CO2 incubator. PMEF cells up to third passage were used in experiments. R1 ES cells were cultured on a layer of feeder cells (PMEF) with DMEM-F12 supplemented with 15 per cent FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, penicillin–streptomycin and 500 U ml⁻¹ leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (from Sigma–Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Both cell types were cultured on glass-bottom coverslip dishes for transfection with Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were imaged 24 h later. For differentiation, ES cells were taken off feeders and plated onto coverslip dishes in the presence of LIF. After 1 day, LIF was withdrawn and cells were left to differentiate in LIF-free medium for 4 more days. Transfections were carried out in the differentiated cells as before. All results pertaining to PMEF in this work refer to these cells transfected with H1e–enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP), unless otherwise stated. H1e–EGFP-transfected PMEF cells were treated with the respective drugs 24 h post-transfection. The drug concentrations used were as follows: for depolymerizing microtubules, nocodazole: 1 μg ml⁻¹, 16 h; for depolymerizing actin, cytochalasin D: 1 μM, 2.5 h; for inhibiting myosin II, blebbistatin: 5 μM, 2.5 h (all drugs were procured from Sigma–Aldrich).

#### 2.2. Drosophila embryo collection

For experiments using Drosophila embryos, a transgenic line in which one of the core histones, H2B, is tagged to EGFP (H2B–EGFP) was used. Flies were kept for 1 h on a sucrose–agar plate for egg laying. Collected embryos were washed and mounted on a no. 1 coverslip with the dorsal side facing downwards and covered with Halocarbon oil 700 (Sigma–Aldrich).

#### 2.3. Imaging and ablations

Experiments were performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta confocal microscope using a 40 ×, 1.3 N.A. objective (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Ablation experiments were performed using titanium–sapphire 80 MHz pulsed femtosecond lasers (Tsunami or Mai-Tai—Spectra Physics, Mountain View, CA, USA) mode-locked at 835 nm as described before (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). Subcellular perturbations of heterochromatin nodes or of the cell cytoplasm were carried out using an approximately 6.8 s scan of a 1.5 μm diameter region (approx. 75 mW at a fixed spot). The cells were then monitored for several minutes.
2.4. Image and statistical analyses

All quantification of fluorescence images captured on the confocal microscope was performed using LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), or Image J (public domain software developed at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). For calculating areas or volumes of nuclei, images were converted to binary-thresholded images. As explained before, nuclear area was used under conditions in which the $z$ spans of the nuclei were similar. Alternatively, nuclear volumes were evaluated (e.g. for isolated nuclei or in the Drosophila embryo). The same thresholds were uniformly used for all sets of images of different perturbations in any one experiment. A Student’s $t$-test was performed for all tests of significance, using Microcal Origin 6.0 (Originlab, Northampton, MA, USA). All graphs were also plotted using the Origin software.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Chemical disruption of cytoskeletal filaments alters nuclear morphology

Cellular prestress has been widely investigated in terms of cytoskeletal architecture (Ingber 2008; Wang et al. 2009), in which actin cytoskeleton has been implicated as the prime mediator of tension in cells (Padmakumar et al. 2005), whereas microtubules with their large persistence lengths apply compressive stresses (Elbaum et al. 1996). Based on this, we reasoned that chemical perturbations of such cytoplasmic components should affect nuclear size. PMEF cells were treated with various drugs, fixed, then DNA-stained with Hoechst dye and the nuclei were measured for the largest cross-sectional area from a collection of confocal images (figure 1a,b). Depolymerizing microtubules with nocodazole caused nuclei in cells to enlarge, while depolymerizing actin with cytochalasin D in contrast caused a drastic reduction in nuclear size. Motor proteins act in conjunction with filaments to apply stresses. When the major myosin isoform myosin II was inhibited with blebbistatin, interestingly, a significant reduction in nuclear size was observed, although nuclear shape was less perturbed than with actin depolymerization. With actin depolymerization, the nuclear shape was less perturbed than with actin depolymerization. This indicates a decoupling of the spatial architecture of nuclear prestress in PMEF cells. Taken together, these chemical perturbations of the cytoskeleton indicate that the actomyosin complex provides an outward pull on the nucleus, while the microtubule cytoskeleton applies pushing forces. Such a distribution of forces conforms to models of cellular tensegrity, which also predicts a prestressed state of the cell nucleus (Ingber 1993, 2008). To rule out nonspecific effects of these chemical inhibitors, we then tested the prestressed state of the nucleus with a direct physical perturbation using laser ablation.

3.2. Probing structural integrity of the cell nucleus using laser ablation

An earlier study had shown that laser perturbation of heterochromatin assembly, but not euchromatin, caused a rapid nuclear collapse over approximately 100 s in HeLa cells, suggesting a mechanical release of nuclear prestress (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). Further, heterochromatin ablation was accompanied by disintegration of the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons but not of intermediate filaments. To probe the spatial architecture of nuclear prestress in PMEF cells, which are primary cells with sharp heterochromatin nodes, we used subcellular laser perturbations of heterochromatin nodes. Live PMEF cells expressing EGFP-tagged histone proteins (H1e–EGFP or H2B–EGFP) as chromatin markers were used in these studies. Cell shape and size did not change as drastically under conditions of nuclear shrinkage owing to heterochromatin ablation (figure 2a), although there were indicators of loss of membrane tension owing to the perturbed actin cytoskeleton. This indicates a decoupling of the nucleus from the residual cytoskeleton. The shrinkage in the $x$–$y$ plane was accompanied by a slight expansion in the $z$ plane. The net result was an approximately 33 per cent decrease in nuclear volume. A further proof for reduction in nuclear volume comes from the rise in the intensity of confocal slices with a reduction in nuclear area (figure 2b,c). However, a redistribution of material without condensation of nuclear volume will not result in a rise in mean pixel intensity of H2B–EGFP. Such a rise in our experiments thus
indicates a reduction in nuclear volume (hence, an increase in the density of a chromatin-bound protein). Since the shrinkage was most pronounced in the $x$–$y$ direction for cells growing in monolayer cultures with a smaller increase in height in $z$, further experiments used reduction in nuclear area to quantify nuclear shrinkage.

Laser perturbation of heterochromatin nodes was then combined with chemical cytoplasmic perturbations in PMEF cells (figure 2d). The fractional change in area at the end of approximately 7 min for ablations under conditions of various cytoplasmic chemical perturbations was compared with untreated cells. Microtubules showed negligible effects on shrinkage dynamics. However, when myosin II was inhibited, and most markedly when actin was depolymerized, the fractional decrease in area was significantly less than that for control cells, suggesting that the outward tension had already been considerably relieved in these cells. These laser ablation studies of nuclear size thus confirmed that it is the actomyosin system which actively exerts an outward pull on the cell nucleus, as also suggested by previous studies (Sims et al. 1992).

3.3. Anisotropy in the distribution of prestress around an elliptical nucleus

In many experiments, ablation at the heterochromatin in PMEF cells resulted in an anisotropic shrinkage of the cell nucleus with a drastic reduction of the short axis, and marginal effects on the long axis (figure 3a). That the major contraction should occur along the short axis in response to heterochromatin ablation indicates an anisotropic distribution of nucleo-cytoplasmic contacts where the prime concentration of such anchors is likely to be at the ends of the elliptical nucleus. This was further confirmed by cytoplasmic ablations at these ends, which caused nuclear shrinkage with no increase in nuclear shape anisotropy (figure 3a–c). This was quantified by measuring the circularity of the nuclei, defined as $C = 4\pi \times \text{area}/\text{perimeter}^2$. $C = 1$ for a perfect circle, and decreases as the nuclei tend to become more elliptical. Thus heterochromatin ablation caused a large negative change in circularity, while cytoplasmic ablations left it predominantly unchanged. The large error bars indicate the heterogeneity in shape change responses. In cells with anisotropic nuclei, the long axis of the nucleus was oriented along the first principal
axis of the cell, i.e. in the direction of the actin stress fibres (figure 3c). Thus, the predominant direction of tension owing to the actomyosin complex is along the long axis of a cell nucleus in this cell type. Earlier experiments had also suggested a mechanical coupling of the nucleus to the cytoskeleton and such a non-uniform distribution of contacts around the envelope (Maniotis et al. 1997).

### 3.4. Mapping the role of cytoskeletal and nuclear filaments in maintaining nuclear prestress

Previous fixed and live cell studies showed a disruption of actin and microtubule cytoskeletons accompanying heterochromatin ablation and a collapse of the nucleus (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). In PMEF cells, direct laser ablation of the cytoplasmic elements resulted in nuclear shrinkage, and the effects were most pronounced when actin stress fibres were targeted (figure 4a,b). This further established the role of the actin filaments in applying tension on the cell nucleus.

The nucleoskeleton constituted from the type V intermediate filament lamins and other proteins mediates contacts between the cytoskeleton and the nuclear interior. In PMEF cells cotransfected with EGFP–Lamin B1 and H1e–monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP), the nuclear lamina exhibited indentations parallel to the long axis (figure 4c). Our earlier work in HeLa cells had shown that the nuclear lamina as marked by EGFP–Lamin B1 undergoes a concomitant shrinkage with nuclear collapse upon heterochromatin ablation (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). Indeed, an siRNA-mediated knockdown of lamin B1 in such HeLa cells produces a marginal reduction in the shrinkage response (figure 4d). As discussed before, a number of studies have suggested the coupling of dense nodes of chromatin to the nuclear lamins (Labrador & Corces 2002; Makatsori et al. 2004; Dahl et al. 2005; Gruenbaum et al. 2005; Raz et al. 2006). Thus, it is perhaps a coupling of the chromatin and the lamin scaffold which makes heterochromatin assemblies such vital nodes of nuclear architecture.

### 3.5. Emergence of nuclear prestress in cellular differentiation

Next, we mapped the onset of nuclear prestress in processes of cellular differentiation and development. Previous observation has shown that the nuclear architecture in human ES cells is highly plastic, where micropipette aspiration experiments revealed a sixfold rise in nuclear stiffness with differentiation (Pajerowski et al. 2007). Recent work also suggests that the softer
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Figure 4. Effects of laser ablation on structural filaments. (a) PMEF cells were cotransfected with H1e–mRFP and either one of actin–EGFP or Tau–EGFP. Forty-eight hours post-transfection ablation experiments were performed (80 mW, 3.4 s) to sever actin stress fibres or microtubules locally. Images of representative cells reconstructed by z projection from confocal stacks are shown. Note the large shrinkage in nuclear size when actin is perturbed. (b) Ratio of the largest cross-sectional areas after and before ablation are plotted for perturbation of the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons (n = 8 and 6). The relative shrinkage is greater for perturbation of the actin stress fibres. (c) EGFP–Lamin B1 and H1e–mRFP cotransfected PMEF cells were ablated at the heterochromatin. Time course in a merged image is presented. Note the indentations in the lamin scaffold. The time points are indicated above the panel. Scale bar, 5 μm. (d) HeLa cells expressing H2B–EGFP were cotransfected with an siRNA against Lamin B1, and a plasmid-expressing mRFP. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, such cells showed a lesser response to heterochromatin ablation (120 mW, 3.4 s) than control cells transfected just with the mRFP plasmid (n = 8 each). mRFP-expressing cells were considered in both cases to identify transfected cells. The error bars shown are standard deviations. Student’s t-test: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001. The error bars are standard deviations.

ES cells are more sensitive to forces than differentiated cells (Chowdhury et al. 2010). Thus, nuclear prestress could possibly emerge during cellular differentiation. In addition, previous studies have suggested a plastic state of the chromatin in terms of enhanced histone dynamics, and larger fluctuations of the nuclear envelope in undifferentiated murine R1 ES cells (Meshorer & Misteli 2006; Bhattacharya et al. 2009).

Hence, we further explored nuclear volumes in nuclei isolated from different cell types, to gain further insight with regards to prestress in a cellular context, as suggested in figure 1b. Reversible swelling of isolated nuclei under conditions of low salt has been demonstrated before (Dahl et al. 2005; Mazumder et al. 2008). Nuclei were isolated from murine R1 ES cells and PMEF cells according to methods described previously (Mazumder et al. 2008). The isolated nuclei were mounted on poly-d-lysine-coated coverslips, stained with Hoechst 33342 and imaged in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). When PBS is replaced with water, the nuclei undergo a swelling in size. The process is reversible and, once the salt conditions are brought back to those of a physiological buffer PBS, the initial configurations of the nuclei are restored with a high fidelity. We quantified the nuclear volume under these conditions, and compared it with that inside cells (figure 5a). Interestingly, nuclei isolated from PMEF cells showed a significant decrease in volume upon isolation, unlike ES cell nuclei. However, once the nuclei are isolated, nuclear volumes in PBS are comparable for both cell types, indicating that this is primarily governed by the genome size and nuclear architecture. As would then be expected, nuclei from both of these cell types show similar levels of swelling under conditions of low salt. The water-swollen limit probably indicates the maximum extent to which these nuclei can be stretched. Note that, in a cellular context, PMEF nuclei are indeed stretched nearly to this level but not so for ES cell nuclei. This provides an indication of a difference in nuclear prestress in a cytoplasmic context in PMEF cells as opposed to undifferentiated ES cells, which we confirmed by heterochromatin ablation experiments. With differentiation of R1 ES cells, nuclear morphology starts to resemble terminally differentiated primary cells such as PMEF cells in having a smoother nuclear contour and distinct heterochromatin foci (figure 5b). Heterochromatin ablation was carried out in ES cells and under conditions of differentiation, both transfected with H2B–EGFP. ES cells exhibit marginal nuclear collapse, while the differentiated cells respond similarly to PMEF cells upon ablation (figure 5b,c), suggesting a fluidic state of nuclear prestress in ES cells. A lower nuclear prestress in the undifferentiated stage, as
Figure 5. Emergence of nuclear prestress in differentiation. (a) Isolated nuclei from both R1 ES cells and PMEF cells show reversible swelling under conditions of low salt. Nuclear volumes are plotted for nuclei inside ES or PMEF cells (hatched bars), or nuclei isolated from such cells in PBS (black bars) or the same nuclei in water (open bars) (n = 7 each). Note that the relative size of the nucleus is larger in a cellular context for PMEF cells, while the level of water-induced swelling is similar and probably represents an upper bound for nuclear swelling. (b) Representative images of R1 ES cells and differentiated R1 ES cells upon heterochromatin ablation. Time in seconds is indicated on top of the panel. Scale bar, 5 μm. (c) Fractional change in area upon heterochromatin ablation for indicated cell types (n = 17 each). Student’s t-test: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001. The error bars are standard deviations.

indicated in figure 5a, is confirmed by these experiments. With cellular differentiation along with the stiffening of the nucleus, there is a concomitant emergence of nuclear prestress.

3.6. Emergence of nuclear prestress in the context of development

The architecture of a prestressed nucleus emerging from our study of cells in culture was then extended to a three-dimensional organismal context, where cell–cell contacts become important. During Drosophila embryogenesis, nuclei migrate to the periphery of the embryo at the eighth mitotic cycle, and, in the hour after the thirteenth mitotic cycle, cellularization of the blastoderm occurs. Notably, all the nuclei are spherical at interphase in the precellular blastoderm. Asymmetric nuclear shapes emerge upon cellularization, and some of the molecules in nuclear morphogenesis have been identified (Brandt et al. 2006; Pilot et al. 2006). The evolution of the signatures of nuclear prestress was monitored in a transgenic fly constitutively expressing histone H2B tagged to EGFP (Bhattacharya et al. 2006, 2009). In the early Drosophila embryo, the decrease in circularity in nuclear shape with the progression of cellularization indicated the onset of prestress, and highly anisotropic nuclear shapes emerged with germ band extension (figure 6a). Ablation experiments were then carried out in two distinct developmental stages of the embryo: one just before cellularization and the other after the onset of germ band extension. In the former, ablation resulted in mitotic defects (data not shown), and absence of nuclear prestress in the precellular embryo. In striking contrast, cytoplasmic or heterochromatin perturbations in the anisotropic nuclei of the germ band and amnioserosa cells caused a dramatic nuclear collapse (figure 6b,c). Thus, this indicates that the conditions of nuclear architectural integrity emerging from these studies are not a peculiarity of cells growing on monolayer cultures, but are true even in the context of a local three-dimensional microenvironment within an organism.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present work investigates nuclear prestress by analysis of nuclear size under different physical and chemical stresses. An outward pull on the nuclear envelope, because of the actomyosin system and compressive loading by microtubules, was identified by measuring the size of nuclei in PMEF cells under conditions of chemical perturbations of such cytoskeletons. Our previous studies had shown the heterochromatin nodes to be vital load-bearing elements in maintaining nuclear structure (Mazumder & Shivashankar 2007). A recent finding further established the link between the cytoplasm and the nucleus, and suggests centro-meric heterochromatin to be a primary load-bearer for microtubule-based forces governing nuclear morphology in fission yeast (King et al. 2008). The tension force on the nucleus was further verified in laser ablation studies, where heterochromatin ablation causes a nuclear collapse with actual condensation of nuclear volume. Both actin and microtubule cytoskeletons were affected during this process; however, cell shape was not as affected as nuclear morphology, indicating a decoupling of the nucleus from the cytoskeleton. In many instances, PMEF nuclei underwent anisotropic collapses, indicating that the nucleo-cytoplasmic contacts are not uniformly distributed around the nuclear envelope. As would be expected cytoplasmic ablations did not cause such anisotropic collapses.

We then mapped the onset of nuclear prestress in processes of cellular differentiation. Previous studies had reported a plastic state of nuclei in undifferentiated ES cells in terms of enhanced nuclear protein dynamics.
(Meshorer et al. 2006) and lesser nuclear stiffness (Pajerowski et al. 2007). Work from our own laboratory had shown a more fluidic state of the lamin scaffold in such cells (Bhattacharya et al. 2009). In good conformation with these studies, signatures of nuclear prestress again were lower in such cells. We further mapped the onset of nuclear prestress in a developing Drosophila embryo, thus showing the principles of nuclear organization emerging from our studies to be generally applicable even to the context of an organism. The nuclei in the early syncytial blastoderm were uniform in size and largely spherical, but a wide range of nuclear shapes emerged with cellularization of the embryo. Cytoplasmic ablations in highly anisotropic nuclei in this system also led to nuclear collapse. Mechanical stresses are found to alter cellular differentiation in culture (Engler et al. 2006), and developmental programmes in organisms (Farge 2003), suggesting a strong link between cellular architecture and information control within living cells. Thus, to address almost any nuclear function it becomes essential to elucidate the physical nature of the forces that maintain the eukaryotic nucleus. An interesting possibility that we are currently investigating is to verify whether nuclear prestress, as described in this work, may provide a substrate for organization of the genome, and thus of gene expression.
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