Extensive collection of femtolitre pad secretion droplets in the beetle
*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* allows nanolitre microrheology
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Pads of beetles are covered with long, deformable setae, each ending in a micrometric terminal plate coated with secretory fluid. It was recently shown that the layer of the pad secretion covering the terminal plates is responsible for the generation of strong attractive forces. However, less is known about the fluid itself because it is produced in an extremely small quantity. We present here the first experimental investigation of the rheological properties of the pad secretion in the Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae). Because the secretion is produced in an extremely small amount at the level of the terminal plate, we first developed a procedure based on capillary effects to collect the secretion for rheological experiments. In order to study the collected fluid (less than 1 nl) through passive microrheology, we managed to incorporate micrometric probes (melamine beads) that were initially in the form of a dry powder. Finally, the bead thermal motions were observed optically and recorded to determine the mechanical properties of the surrounding medium. We achieved this quantitative measurement with the collected volume, which is much smaller than the usual 1 ml sample volume required for this technique. Surprisingly, the beetle secretion was found to behave as a purely viscous liquid, of high viscosity (about 100 times that of water). This suggests that no specific complex fluid behaviour is needed by this adhesive system during beetle locomotion. We describe a scenario for the contact formation between the spatula at the setal tip and a smooth substrate, during the insect walk. We show that the attachment dynamics of the insect pad computed from the high measured viscosity is in good agreement with the observed insect pace. We finally discuss the consequences of the viscosity of the secretion on the insect adhesion.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Among few other animal groups, insects possess a fascinating ability to walk on smooth vertical surfaces and even on ceilings. Such ability is robust, fault tolerant and resistant to contamination. Insects can stick well to both hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces and detach in a very fast manner. The comparative data show that the evolution of attachment mechanisms in insects has developed along two distinctly different mechanisms: smooth flexible pads and hairy (setose, fibrillar) surfaces (Beutel & Gorb 2001, 2006; Gorb 2001). In cockroaches, grasshoppers and bugs, the pads are soft deformable structures with a relatively smooth surface, whereas in flies, beetles and earwigs they are covered with long deformable setae. Because of the flexibility of the material in both mechanisms—soft attachment pads or fine surface microstructures—the possible contact area with the wide range of substrate profiles is maximized despite the surface roughness of the substrates, and the high proximity between contacting surfaces ensures strong attachment forces between the pads and the substrata.

Hairy attachment systems are typical for evolutionarily younger and successful insect groups, such as flies and beetles, and have a huge diversity of forms. There
are several geometrical effects, such as multiple contact formation, high aspect ratio of single contact structures or peeling prevention using spatula-like tips of single contact elements, that are responsible for the generation of a strong pull-off force. These effects, which are found in attachment devices of insects, are an important source of information for further development of biomimetic patterned adhesives. One may speculate about the different physical mechanisms that are able to generate sufficient adhesion despite variation in the physico-chemical properties of the surface (hydrophobic, hydrophilic), surface profile (rough, smooth) and environmental condition (dry, wet). The theoretical background pertaining to these physical effects has been intensively theoretically discussed in several publications (Arzt et al. 2003; Persson 2003; Persson & Gorb 2003; Chung & Chaudhury 2005; Gao et al. 2005).

Even though hairy attachment systems have been under investigation for more than 300 years (Leeuwenhoek 1690), the attachment mechanism of animals walking on smooth walls or ceilings is still under debate. Different hypotheses for attachment, such as sticky fluid, microsuckers or the action of electrostatic forces, have been proposed (Dewitz 1884; Simmermacher 1884; Gillett & Wigglesworth 1932). It is now well known that hairy attachment pads of reduviid bugs (Edwards & Tarkanian 1970), flies (Bauchhenss 1979; Walker et al. 1985) and beetles (Ishii 1987; Kosaki & Yamaoka 1996; Eisner & Aneshansley 2000) secrete fluid into the contact area, whereas others, such as spiders or geckos, do not. Based on experimental data, adhesion has been recently attributed to molecular interactions and capillary attractive forces mediated by secretion (reduviid bugs, flies, beetles; Stork 1980) or van der Waals interactions (spiders, geckos; Autumn et al. 2000, 2002).

2. MOTIVATION

In hairy wet attachment systems, the role of the secretion still remains unclear. It has already been shown that the presence of the fluid is required for generating adhesion in insect adhesive pads. For example, as shown in 1970, attachment was impaired when hairy pads of the bug Rhodnius prolixus were treated with organic solvents (Edwards & Tarkanian 1970). In 1980, experiments with beetles on various substrates also strongly suggested that cohesive forces, surface tension and molecular adhesion, mediated by pad secretion, may be involved in the mechanism of attachment (Stork 1980). It was inferred from experiments (Wallentin et al. 1999; Gorb 2001) that the secretion induces a viscous resistance to detachment of the pad from the substrate and a capillary attraction that applies both before and during detachment (static and dynamic processes). Later, on the scale of individual seta terminal plates, experiments conducted on the fly Calliphora vicina using multiple local force–volume atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements indicated that the adhesion between the AFM tip and the seta (used to estimate the local seta adhesive properties) is approximately two times stronger in the centre of the terminal plate, where the thickness of the fluid is higher, than on its border (Langer et al. 2004): adhesion was shown to strongly decrease as the volume of the secretion decreases, indicating that a layer of pad secretion, covering the terminal plates, is crucial for generation of the strong attractive force.

However, by measuring the attachment forces on a smooth glass using a centrifuge technique, recent experiments demonstrated two apparently contradictory results (Dreschler & Federle 2006): (i) both friction and adhesion of insect pads on smooth surface are greater when less secretion is present and (ii) the adhesive force on rough substrates decreases when the pad secretion is depleted through multiple consecutive pull-off motions. From a physiological point of view, these results seem to indicate that the most important function of the secretion is to provide attachment on rough substrates. Indeed, most of the substrates encountered by insects are not smooth but rough. From a physical point of view, these results closely reproduce two complementary requirements well known for adhesive substances, whether natural or manufactured: they must (i) establish a good contact with the substrate even in the presence of surface roughness and (ii) resist separation, i.e. dissipate a significant amount of energy during separation (Gay & Leibler 1999).

The usual pressure-sensitive adhesive materials such as those included in adhesive tapes or stickers, which are solids, manage to establish a good contact (point (i)) as a result of their high deformability, as recognized by Dahlquist (1969) more than 40 years ago. A fortiori, a liquid secretion is suitable for establishing an intimate contact with a rough substrate and helps in maximizing the contact area.

Concerning the strong resistance during separation (point (ii)), it implies that the secretion is a very dissipative material, at least over the relevant time scale for the insect. But if the secretion deformation during separation dissipates energy, then it also does so during the reverse deformation, i.e. during contact formation. Thus, if dissipation is too high, the contact formation will not be completed within a time scale compatible with the insect walk. Hence, in the presence of a high dissipation, only indirect contact (mediated by the secretion fluid) will be achieved between the insect and the substrate: no good initial, solid contact will then be established and adhesion will tend to be weak. For this reason, it is crucial to determine the rheology of the secretory material to assess whether the insect can develop a good contact while walking. That issue is the central goal of the present work.

3. KNOWN RELEVANT SECRETION PROPERTIES

Secretion is known to contain a non-volatile, lipid-like substance in diverse insects, but in some other groups, such as flies and ants, it is a two-phase microemulsion presumably containing water-soluble and lipid-soluble fractions (Gorb 2001; Federle et al. 2002; Vötsch et al. 2002). Concerning its mechanical properties, up to
now, measurements were interpreted assuming that
secretion was purely viscous. The secretion dissipation
has been shown to be large, although a quantitative
evaluation of its rheological properties could not be con-
ducted because the exact geometries of the droplets,
capillary bridges and contact areas were unknown
(Wallentin et al. 1999; Langer et al. 2004). The dewet-
ting velocity of ants’ secretion droplets, observed
through interference reflection microscopy, was inter-
preted in terms of viscosity and provided an estimate
of 40–150 mPa s (Federle et al. 2002). In this exper-
iment, because the dewetting velocity was constant,
the mechanical properties of the material were probed
at a fixed frequency, and no inference can be made
about the response at other frequencies and hence
about the possibly viscoelastic properties.

4. OUR MECHANICAL MEASUREMENTS

In the present paper, we quantitatively evaluate the
rheological properties of the attachment pad secretion
in the beetle L. decemlineata for the first time and we
relate them to the attachment dynamics of the insect.

The essential difficulty of such a rheological measure-
ment resides in the extremely tiny amount of secre-
ted fluid: it totally excludes the use of standard rheology
techniques, which require at least a few millilitres of
material. Microrheology, by contrast, typically requires
less than 1 μl of sample and is thus suitable for perform-
ing rheological measurements in situations where the
available volume of material is a limiting factor. This
issue is particularly significant for insect secretion: the
typical volume of a secretory droplet of the Colorado
potato beetle L. decemlineata, collected on a glass slide
and shown in figure 1, is 1 μm³, which corresponds to
10⁻⁹ μl. At first sight, in order to obtain the required
volume of 1 μl, it would be necessary to collect a discouragingly large number of droplets: typically 10⁹
droplets! In the present work, as we shall see, we achieve
a reliable microrheological measurement with a much
smaller sample volume, namely 10⁻⁴ μl (0.1 nl).

The microrheology technique involves using micro-
metric beads to measure the relation between stress
(probe force) and deformation (probe position) in
materials, at the microscopic scale. The driving force
applied on the probes is thermal, with an energy scale
corresponding to kT. Measurements of the particles’
mean-squared displacement ( MSD) give access to the
possibly viscoelastic properties of the fluid material.
Because the driving force is small, only the linear visco-
elastic response of the material is probed. From the
stress–deformation relation, the viscoelastic properties
of the surrounding medium can be derived (Mason &

In the following, we first propose a procedure to col-
lect the secretion, in a sufficiently large amount, by
using capillary effects taking place in a home-made
microneedle. We then describe how micrometric
probes were immersed in the collected volume, and
their Brownian motion recorded. We then validate our
measurements performed on the secretion (statistical
accuracy and geometry) with additional tests in a cali-
ibrated Newtonian oil. We finally suggest a scenario
for the contact formation between a single seta and the
substrate in such a fluid. Using this scenario, together
with the rheological data, we then estimate the attach-
ment dynamics of the setae, and therefore of the insect
pads.

5. COLLECTING THE SECRETION USING
CAPILLARITY

A home-made microneedle, with a tip size of a few
microns, was used to draw up the secretion droplets
(1–10 μm in diameter) spread on a glass slide
(figure 1). The procedure used to collect the secretion
from insects is described in appendix A. The micro-
needles were pulled from thin-wall borosilicate glass
capillaries with a 1 mm outer diameter and a 0.78 mm
inner diameter (Harvard Apparatus, France) with a
Narishige PB-7 double-stage puller (Narishige Instru-
mements, Tokyo, Japan). By adjusting the puller
settings, microneedles with a tip size of a few microns
were produced. The microneedle was then mounted on
a three-axis piezo micromanipulator (Burleigh), and
attached to an inverted microscope (Leica DMi3000).

Owing to capillary effects, the rise of the wetting
secretion takes place spontaneously in the tube as the
tip is maintained in contact with the support and the
droplet. The microneedle tip was moved onto the slide
surface in order to collect the largest possible amount
of secretions. Owing to its high flexibility, it could be
maintained in contact with the slide without breaking,
thus optimizing the collection of the secretion. The
microneedle tip was connected to a syringe, allowing
us to apply a positive or negative pressure to the micro-
needle. Spontaneous suction—due to surface tension—
could possibly be assisted by applying slight negative

Figure 1. Secretion droplets from adult beetles L. decemlineata
on a glass slide. The beetles’ legs are rubbed against the slide,
which results in a strong shear that is able to deposit the
secretion on the surface. The typical size of the droplets is
a few microns. A home-made microneedle, mounted on a
three-axis micromanipulator, was used to draw up the secretion
droplets spread on the glass slide. The tip was moved onto the
slide surface to collect the largest amount of secretion. Owing to
capillary effects, the rise of the liquid takes place spontaneously
inside the capillary tube. Scale bar, 10 μm.
pressure to the microneedle. After an entire day (approx. 8 h) collecting the secretions, the final volume of fluid represented a drop which was about 100 μm in diameter and 30 μm in height (figure 2).

6. BROWNIAN MOTION MEASUREMENTS

A dry powder of melamine beads (Acil, France) was deposited on a clean glass slide. The collected secretion volume was then ejected on the dry beads, which were 0.740 ± 0.005 μm in diameter, by applying a positive pressure to the microneedle (figure 2). During the ejection, the microneedle was slightly pulled away, in order to avoid the secretion rising along the outer side of the microneedle tube. After ejection, the microneedle tip was moved along the glass slide to unstick any beads from the surface. The secretory fluid was then drawn up again and ejected several times in order to mix the beads with the secretion. The final mixture was then ejected in a square chamber (10 × 10 mm$^2$) made of a microscope plate and a cover-slip separated by a thin adhesive spacer (100 μm thickness). The container was sealed to avoid contamination or possible evaporation of the secretion drop (figure 4).

The fluctuating motion of the tracer beads immersed in the secretory fluid was recorded with a fast charge-coupled device camera (Kodak) mounted on an inverted Leica microscope, with an oil immersion objective (63×). The fast camera was typically sampling at 125 Hz, for 8 s. For reliable analysis of the Brownian motion, particular attention was paid to recording the motion far from the rigid wall formed by the glass slide and the drop edges. Home-made image analysis software allowed us to track the beads’ positions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ close to the focus plane of the objective (see appendix A for more details). For each bead, the time-averaged MSD $\langle \Delta r^2 (t) \rangle_c = \langle [x(t + \tau) - x(t)]^2 + [y(t + \tau) - y(t)]^2 \rangle_c = 2\langle \Delta x^2 (t) \rangle_c$ was calculated, improving the statistical accuracy. To maintain reliable statistics, the data from the MSD were kept in the range below $t < 2$ s (Abou et al. 2008). The quantity $\langle \Delta r^2 (t) \rangle_c$ was then averaged over several beads (about 20), and identified as the ensemble-averaged MSD. The resolution on the bead position, determined with a sub-pixel accuracy in the image analysis detection, was about 0.3 pixel, corresponding to $\delta = 30$ nm. This resolution determined the lowest accessible MSD in these experiments, and corresponded to about $2 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$.

Figure 3 shows the ensemble-averaged MSD $\langle \Delta r^2 (t) \rangle_E$ (MSD) of the tracer beads, as a function of the lag time $t$. As can be seen, the diffusive behaviour...
of the tracers, characterized by a linear dependency of the MSD with time, \( \langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle = 4Dt \), is measured. This linear dependency implies that the beetles’ pad secretion simply behaves in a purely viscous manner in the investigated time window. The viscosity of the material was then directly deduced from the diffusion coefficient according to the Stokes–Einstein relation \( D = kT/6\pi R\eta \), where \( R \) is the bead radius and \( T \) the bath temperature (see appendix A.3). The secretory fluid viscosity corresponds to \( 110 \pm 5 \text{ mPa s} \) at a room temperature of \( T = 21 \pm 1^\circ \text{C} \). This value corresponds to approximately 100 times the viscosity of water.

In order to test the reliability of the Brownian motion measurements in the ‘drop’ geometry used for secretion, microrheological tests were also performed in a calibrated Newtonian fluid (100 BW, ZMK-Analytic-GmbH), in two different geometries. The first one—bulk geometry—corresponds to the usual geometry used in microrheology (10 mm \( \times \) 10 mm \( \times \) 100 \( \mu \text{m} \)), while the second one—drop geometry—corresponds to a drop approximately 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter and 30 \( \mu \text{m} \) in height spread on a glass slide (figure 4). In both experiments, the Brownian motion of the tracers was recorded, far from the glass slide walls and the drop edges. Also, in both experiments, the MSD was averaged on approximately the same number of beads, of the order of 20. The experimental results were found to be in good agreement in both geometries, in the time range investigated (7 \( \times \) 10\(^{-2}\) < \( t < 2.0 \) s) (figure 3). In both cases, the MSD increased linearly with time, indicating a purely viscous behaviour, characterized by a viscosity of the order of 100 mPa s, which is in excellent agreement with standard rheological measurements in the calibrated oil. The ‘drop geometry’ as well as the statistical accuracy were then confirmed to be reliable in our microrheological measurements.

The beads’ chemistry is known to be a relevant parameter in microrheological experiments. The choice of melamine beads was driven by the necessity to use dry bead powder, because of the small quantity of secretion. Tests that we performed in different oils, such as the BW oil glycerol, with the same melamine beads gave us very good agreement with bulk rheological measurements. Here, we believe that the beads’ chemistry is neutral to the surrounding fluid and does not influence the rheological measurements.

7. INSECT PAD ATTACHMENT DYNAMICS

Let us now focus on the modelling of the attachment dynamics of the insect in the presence of secretion. The spatula at the setal tip is assumed to be initially covered by a thin film of secretion (thickness \( H \)), as shown in figure 5a. We will now estimate the duration of the attachment process of the spatula onto the substrate, and hence of the insect pads, on the basis of the above rheological measurements.

We here consider the spatula to be a portion of a hemisphere, with a radius of curvature \( R \) and a diameter \( 2A \). As it approaches the substrate, the contact region of radius \( a \) rapidly expands laterally. As a result, a capillary force \( F_{\text{capil}} \approx 4\pi R\gamma \) arises between the spatula at the setal tip and a smooth substrate, and promotes the contact (see figure 5d(i)). This is calculated in appendix A.4.

Without any active effort from the insect, this capillary force spontaneously drives the formation of the contact between the spatula and the solid substrate, as fast as allowed by the opposing viscous force (see figure 5d(ii)): \( F_{\text{capil}} \approx F_{\text{viscous}} \). Later, upon detachment, the viscous force now opposes detachment (see figure 5d(iii)) and the insect must exert a tensile force that exceeds the capillary force: \( F_{\text{insect}} = F_{\text{capil}} + F_{\text{viscous}} > F_{\text{capil}} \). For detachment to occur at the same rate as attachment, which seems reasonable, it is required that \( F_{\text{viscous}} \approx F_{\text{viscous}} \); hence, \( F_{\text{viscous}} \approx F_{\text{capil}} \). As a result, during detachment, the insect must exert a tensile force typically equal to twice the capillary force: \( F_{\text{insect}} = 2F_{\text{capil}} \).

Let us now focus on the attachment dynamics. The viscosity \( \eta \) extracted from the above Brownian motion measurements now allows us to derive a prediction for the duration of the attachment/detachment process of a spatula on a substrate. The flow in the meniscus dissipates energy and leads to the following estimate

\[
\eta \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)
\]

Figure 5. Geometry of the setal tip. The seta consists of a tip of diameter 2A and curvature 1/R located at the end of a long fibre (a). The tip of the seta is covered by a thin film of viscous secretion. When the seta contacts substrate, the contact region expands laterally (b). The meniscus (outer diameter 2(a + Δ)) still represents a small portion of the tip surface (a \( \ll \) A) (c). As the contact broadens (a > 0), because the liquid is within a sharp wedge with angle \( \theta \ll 1 \), the tip surface approaches the substrate at velocity \( \dot{a} \tan(\theta) \ll \dot{a} \). The viscous dissipation in the meniscus then determines the velocity \( \dot{a} > 0 \). This is calculated (see appendix A.5) by integration over the distance x from the edge of the solid contact and over the local thickness x tan(θ) \( \approx x\theta \) (d). Upon contact formation (d(i)), the driving force is the capillary force (at the edge of the meniscus) and is opposed by viscous dissipation in the corner. Upon separation (d(ii)), the motion is reversed; hence, the viscous force is reversed and the insect must overcome both the capillary force and the viscous dissipation.
(see appendix A.5) for the viscous force: \( F_{\text{viscous}} \approx \eta R^2 \dot{a}/a. \) Equating \( F_{\text{viscous}} \) and \( F_{\text{capil}} \), we find that the contact widens at velocity \( \dot{a} \approx a\eta/R \). The corresponding time needed to establish the equilibrium contact is
\[
T = \int_{a_{\text{in}}^{\text{det}}}^{a_{\text{in}}^{\text{fin}}} \frac{da}{\dot{a}} = \frac{\log(a_{\text{fin}}/a_{\text{in}})}{\eta R/\gamma}
\]
Considering that the final contact radius \( a_{\text{fin}} \) is not tremendously bigger than the initial radius \( a_{\text{in}} \), the quantity \( \log(a_{\text{fin}}/a_{\text{in}}) \) will be at most a few units; hence, a few measurements are estimated to scale as \( T \approx \eta R/\gamma \). Using the viscosity \( \eta = 10^{-1} \) Pa s extracted from the above Brownian motion measurements and assuming \( \gamma \approx 10^{-2} \) N m\(^{-1}\) and \( R \approx 1 \) \( \mu \)m, we obtain \( T \approx 10 \) ms.

This therefore predicts, on the basis of the measured viscosity, a total duration \( T \) of the contact (attachment and detachment) and thus a pace rate, as well as a detachment force \( F_{\text{detach}} \approx 2 F_{\text{viscous}} \). It turns out that the estimate \( T \approx 10 \) ms obtained for the contact duration is compatible with the duration observed in video recordings of walking beetles (S. Gorb 2010, personal observation).

With regard to detachment, the insect must provide active effort. Hence, low or moderate viscous dissipation is preferable to high dissipation, although perhaps this is not crucial: we speculate that beetles, like geckoes (Autumn et al. 2000) and flies (Niederegger & Gorb 2003), adopt a leg motion suitable for easing detachment significantly. This may imply bending or rolling of the spatulae in such a way that the local curvature becomes stronger at the contact with the substrate.

### 8. Discussion and conclusion

Our passive microrheology nanolitre procedure is innovative in two respects: (i) the material under investigation is initially available as an assembly of 1 femtolitre droplets deposited on a glass slide and (ii) the 0.1 nl collected volume used to perform the microrheological measurement was significantly less than the usual 1 \( \mu \)l.

These measurements show that the secretion behaves as a purely viscous fluid of high viscosity over the range of frequencies investigated. This is the first experimental indication that beetle locomotion might not need a specifically viscoelastic behaviour. Let us emphasize, however, that, owing to the resolution (30 nm) of our particle tracking set-up, we could not explore frequencies above 15 Hz, which is slightly below the beetle pace rate (around 100 Hz). It is therefore not to be excluded that the secretion response could depart from a purely viscous behaviour at higher frequencies. In future studies, we intend to extend our measurements to the secretions of other animals over a wider range of frequencies.

Our model provides the expression \( T \approx \eta R/\gamma \) for the duration of the pad/substrate contact formation. This implies that the secretion viscosity \( \eta \) sets an upper bound of the order of \( 1/T \) for the insect’s pace rate. This formula is only an estimate since (i) the exact spatula geometry and dimensions are not precisely known and vary within one single animal (Voigt et al. 2008) and (ii) numerical prefactors were omitted. Nevertheless, using this expression with the measured viscosity provides a time scale for attachment which is compatible with live observations. The high secretion viscosity should therefore not prevent the insect from forming good contacts.

One might wonder, however, why the secretion viscosity is so high (100 times the viscosity of water). A lower viscosity would ease the insect’s locomotion and speed up its pace. It is not unreasonable to suggest that high viscosity, and correspondingly high molecular weight, ensures slow evaporation—a crucial issue at such small length scales. Additionally, high viscosity ensures that the adhesion is robust under unexpected and rapidly varying conditions. It is interesting to note that some insect adhesive pads use emulsions with non-Newtonian properties, providing a mechanism that prevents insects from slipping on smooth substrates (Dirks et al. 2009). This non-slip property probably results from the existence of a yield stress for such an emulsion: if the emulsion consists of small enough droplets, the weight of the insect is too weak to trigger shear. This indicates that secretions may serve various insect strategies.
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### Appendix A

#### A.1. Insects and preparation of the footprints

Adult *L. decemlineata* (Chrysomelidae) beetles were collected from various species of annual plants from the family Solanaceae in the Botanical Garden of Dresden University of Technology, Germany. The tarsi were cut off the body using small scissors and used to prepare footprints on the substrate by pressing the ventral side of the tarsi against a clean glass slide. Pressure was applied on the foot in order to have strong contact with the glass slide and to force the secretion out through the pore channels. At the same time, the leg was rubbed against the slide, which resulted in a strong shear that deposited the secretion on the surface. This produced a collection of small droplets of various sizes, from a few microns to 10 microns for the largest ones (figure 1). In all cases, the droplets were spread with their height never exceeding a few microns. Interestingly, evaporation of the secretions was not observed within the experiment time.

#### A.2. Particle tracking algorithm

Two-dimensional analysis of the particle motion was performed using a home-made algorithm, implemented as an ImageJ plugin (Rasband 1997–2007). A classical cross-correlation method was used to determine the bead displacements. In order to achieve sub-pixel spatial resolution, the computed correlation was interpolated...
using a paraboloid approximation. With this algorithm, several beads could be tracked at the same time.

A.3. MSD analysis

Passive, or thermally driven, microrheology is based upon the generalized Einstein relation (GER), which is valid in a viscoelastic stationary medium in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T$. It relates the frequency-dependent MSD of the diffusing particle to its frequency-dependent mobility, according to the relation $s^2(D^2(s)) = 2kT\mu(s)$, where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $s$ is the frequency in the Laplace domain (Mason & Weitz 2005; Waigh 2005; Abou et al. 2008).

As can be seen, measuring the particles’ MSD at equilibrium leads to the indirect measurement of the particle mobility. The bulk frequency-dependent viscosity of the medium leads to the indirect measurement of the particle mobility. The bulk frequency-dependent viscosity of the fluid can then be deduced assuming that the Stokes relation $\mu(s) = 1/6\pi R\eta(s)$ remains valid in the viscoelastic material. In the particular case of a purely viscous fluid, the MSD increases linearly with time and the GER can be written as $(\Delta r^2(t)) = 4Dt$, where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient. The viscosity of the material is directly deduced from the diffusion coefficient according to the Stokes–Einstein relation $D = kT/(6\pi R\eta_h)$, where $R$ is the bead radius and $T$ the bath temperature.

A.4. Capillary attachment force

We shall now estimate the capillary force between the spatula at the setal tip and a smooth substrate in the case of partial contact ($a < A$). Immediately outside the contact region of radius $a$, the surface of the spatula is expected to depart from the substrate with a weak slope $\theta$, with $\theta \approx a/R$ (figure 5b). Considering that there is very little secretion at the tip, the meniscus width $\Delta$ is much smaller than $a$. The meniscus height (which is also twice its radius of curvature, i.e. $h = 2\gamma$) is then typically equal to $h \approx \theta a$. Integrating the capillary (Laplace) pressure $\gamma/r$ over the contact surface area between the meniscus and the spatula, which is approximately $2\pi R\Delta$, provides the capillary force $F^{\text{cap}} = 4\pi R\gamma$. Interestingly, this capillary force turns out to be independent of both the radius $a$ of the contact and the amount of liquid in the meniscus. Note that, depending on the curvature and rigidity of the spatula, the surface tension of the secretion and the force applied by the insect, the contact–of final radius $a_{\text{fin}}$—remains either partial ($a_{\text{fin}} < A$) or total ($a_{\text{fin}} = A$). In both cases, the dynamics of the attachment/detachment process remains identical and is described in the next section.

A.5. Dissipation in the secretion meniscus

As the spatula approaches the substrate at velocity $v_z$, the contact radius widens at velocity $a > 0$ (where $v_z \approx da/dt \approx a\theta/R$, as shown in figure 5c, and the fluid in the meniscus moves outwards. The zero-velocity condition at the substrate and the spatula surfaces implies a dissipation of order $\eta a/4h(x)^2$ per unit volume, where the local gap is $h(x) = \theta x$, with $x$ the horizontal position along the meniscus width. The total power dissipated in the meniscus is thus approximately $P_{\text{viscous}} \approx \eta a^2(\partial a/\partial t)^2$ and the GER can be written as $F_{\text{viscous}} = P_{\text{viscous}}/v_z \approx \eta a R^2/4a$. Note that the above calculation of the dissipation in the meniscus overlooks the detailed shape of the spatula as deformed dynamically by the meniscus (to the best of our knowledge, such a calculation is not currently available). It is likely that the spatula surface does not locally make a sharp (although small) angle with the substrate, and that the true dissipated power is in fact larger than the above estimate.

Besides the dissipation in the meniscus, some dissipation also occurs within the spatula, if it is viscoelastic, particularly in the vicinity of the interface with the solid substrate. In other words, during detachment, the opening of an interfacial crack between the spatula and the substrate requires some energy by itself. Here, we assume that the spatula is almost purely elastic and that such viscoelastic dissipations are negligible. Besides, the thermodynamic energy required to break the contact with the substrate (balance of surface tensions) is presumably comparable to or weaker than the capillary interaction, and is also neglected here.
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