The adhesion model considering capillarity for gecko attachment system
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Geckos make use of approximately a million microscale hairs (setae) that branch off into hundreds of nanoscale spatulae to cling to different smooth and rough surfaces and detach at will. This hierarchical surface construction gives the gecko the adaptability to create a large real area of contact with surfaces. It is known that van der Waals force is the primary mechanism used to adhere to surfaces, and capillary force is a secondary effect that can further increase adhesive force. To investigate the effects of capillarity on gecko adhesion, we considered the capillary force as well as the solid-to-solid interaction. The capillary force expressed in terms of elliptical integral is calculated by numerical method to cope with surfaces with a wide range of contact angles. The adhesion forces exerted by a single gecko spatula in contact with planes with different contact angles for various relative humidities are calculated, and the contributions of capillary force to total adhesion force are evaluated. The simulation results are compared with experimental data. Finally, using the three-level hierarchical model recently developed to simulate a gecko seta contacting with random rough surface, the effect of the relative humidity and the hydrophobicity of surface on the gecko adhesion is investigated.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Several creatures including insects, spiders and lizards have a unique ability to cling to and detach from ceilings and walls using their attachment systems. Although the foot morphology of these animals is different, in most cases there are small hairs that cover the surfaces of the pads of their feet, called setae. Using setae, animals develop intimate contact with a substrate that provides enough attachment and artificial surfaces and have reversible adhesion properties. They retain the ability to remove their feet from the attachment surface at will by peeling action. This universal attachment and detachment ability of animals is referred to as ‘smart adhesion’ (Bhushan et al. 2006), which is of both scientific and practical interests.

The most advanced attachment ability in lizards is found in the Tokay gecko or Gekko gecko (Hiller 1968; Irschick et al. 1996). Measurement of the adhesion force of a single seta was carried out by Autumn et al. (2000). The attachment pads of a T. gecko have total area of two feet of the order of 200 mm², which can produce a clinging ability of approximately 20 N (vertical force required to pull a lizard down from a nearly vertical (85°) surface; Irschick et al. 1996). In isolated gecko setae, a 2.5 µN preload yielded adhesion of 20–40 µN (Autumn et al. 2002) and thus an adhesion coefficient, which represents the strength of adhesion with respect to preload, of 8–16.

With regard to the natural living conditions of the animals, we can separate the mechanics of gecko attachment into two parts: the mechanics of adhesion of a single contact with a flat surface and an adaptation of a large number of spatulae to a natural rough surface. Modelling of the mechanics of adhesion of spatulae to a smooth surface was developed by Autumn et al. (2002), Jagota & Bennison (2002) and Arzt et al. (2003). In the model by Arzt et al. (2003), the adhesion force was calculated using a thermodynamical surface energy approach. The authors assumed that a spatula was a hemisphere with radius R. For the calculation of adhesion force F_ad, the JKR approach was used (Johnson et al. 1971; Bhushan 1999, 2003) with F_ad = −(3/2)πRE_ad, where E_ad is the work of adhesion per unit area. It can be seen that the adhesion force of multiple contacts F_ad can be increased by dividing the contact into a large number (N) of small contacts, while the nominal area of the contact remains the same, F_ad ∼ √N. However, this model only considers contact with a flat surface. On natural rough surfaces, the compliance and adaptability of setae are the primary sources of high adhesion. Intuitively, the hierarchical structure of gecko setae allows for a greater contact with a natural rough surface than a non-branched attachment system (Sitti & Fearing 2003). In the design of fibrillar structures, it is necessary to ensure...
that the fibrils are compliant enough to easily deform to the mating surface’s roughness profile, yet rigid enough not to collapse under their own weight. Spacing of the individual fibrils is also important. If the spacing is too small, adjacent fibrils can attract each other through intermolecular forces which will lead to bunching. In order to overcome these problems, a multi-level compliant system is being studied (Sitti 2003; Northen & Turner 2005). Recently, Bhushan et al. (2006) and Kim & Bhushan (2007) developed two- and three-level hierarchical models using the spring structure contacting with a random rough surface and showed the effect of hierarchical structure on adhesion enhancement. Kim & Bhushan (in press) showed the effect of stiffness of multi-level hierarchical attachment system on adhesion enhancement.

The majority of experimental results point towards van der Waals forces as the dominant mechanism of adhesion (Autumn et al. 2000; Bergmann & Irschick 2005). Recent research suggests that capillary forces can be a contributing adhesive factor (Huber et al. 2005). Capillary forces created by humidity naturally present in the air can further increase the adhesive force generated by the spatulae. Orr et al. (1975) formulated the mean curvature of meniscus between sphere and plane in terms of elliptical integrals which is difficult to handle. Therefore, the approximated equation is used commonly in nano applications, i.e. AFM (Stifter et al. 2000; Bhushan 2003). Fortes (1982) and Carter (1988) reported an analytical calculation of the force–distance relationship of a liquid bridge between two solid parallel plates with arbitrary equal contact angles at both surfaces.

In this study, we investigate the effects of the capillarity on gecko adhesion. We consider the capillary force consisting of the Laplace force and the surface tension force as well as the DMT adhesion force. The capillary force expressed in terms of an elliptical integral is calculated by numerical method to cope with surfaces with wide range of contact angles. The adhesion forces exerted by a single gecko spatula in contact with planes with different contact angles for various relative humidity are calculated and compared with experimental data in the literature (Huber et al. 2005). Next, we performed the adhesion analysis for three-level hierarchical model for gecko setae using contact with rough surfaces with different values of root mean square (RMS) amplitude σ.

2. ANALYSIS

Gecko adhesion is caused by the capillary force consisting of Laplace force and the surface tension, as well as the solid-to-solid interaction. The capillary force depends on both the relative humidity and the hydrophobicity (contact angle) of both spatula and mating surface. For the single spatula contact, the capillary force expressed in terms of elliptical integral is calculated by numerical method, and the DMT adhesion force is also calculated.

The simulation of seta models in contact with random rough surfaces is carried out numerically. Hierarchical morphology of gecko setae is modelled as three-level elastic springs. The spring model is pressed against the rough surface, and the elastic force arising in the springs is calculated. During pull-off, the spring force changes to a negative value after some pull-off, due to adhesion. The elastic force and the adhesion force of individual spatulae contacts are calculated. When the elastic force is less than the adhesion force, individual contact breaks and the total number of contacts at a given time is calculated. Total elastic force of the springs still in contact as a function of spring position is calculated. From the spring force–distance curves, we can extract the adhesion force FC.

In this section, first, the adhesion forces of a single contact including the capillary force and the solid-to-solid interaction by DMT theory are presented. Next, we discuss the hierarchical morphology of gecko setae and its simplification for the simulation as a three-level spring model. Typical values used in the model are presented. Then, the numerical method for calculating the adhesion force for three-level hierarchical spring model in contact with rough surfaces is presented.

2.1. Adhesion force for single spatula contact

The tip of the spatula in a single contact is assumed as spherical. Total adhesion force between a spherical tip and a plane consists of the capillary force and the solid-to-solid interaction. The capillary force FC can be divided into two components: the Laplace force FL and the surface tension Fc:

\[ F_c = F_L + F_s. \]  

The Laplace force is caused by the pressure difference across the interface of a curved liquid surface (figure 1) and depends on pressure difference \( \Delta p \) and meniscus area, which can be expressed as (Orr et al. 1975)

\[ F_L = -\pi \kappa \gamma R^2 \sin^2 \phi, \]  

where \( \gamma \) is the surface tension of the liquid; \( R \) is the tip radius; \( \phi \) is the filling angle; and \( \kappa \) is the mean curvature of meniscus. From the Kelvin equation (Israelachvili 1992), which is the thermal equilibrium relation, the mean curvature of meniscus can be determined as

\[ \frac{\gamma T}{V \gamma} \ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right) = \kappa, \]  

where \( \gamma \) is the universal gas constant; \( T \) is the absolute temperature; \( V \) is the molecular volume; \( p_0 \) is the saturated vapour pressure of the liquid at \( T \); and \( p \) is the ambient pressure acting outside the curved surface (\( p/p_0 \) is the relative humidity).

Orr et al. (1975) formulated the mean curvature of meniscus between sphere and plane in terms of elliptical integrals when the contact angles on sphere and plane are different:

\[ \kappa = \frac{1}{D + R(1 + \cos \phi)} \left\{ (-\cos \theta_1 + \phi) - \cos \theta_2 + 2E(k_1) \right\} \]  

where \( D \) is the separation between sphere and plane; \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are contact angles on sphere and plane,
respectively; and \( E \) is the elliptic integral of the second kind. \( k_1, \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are defined as (Orr et al. 1975)

\[
k_1 = \sqrt{1 + c}, \quad \alpha_1 = \arcsin \left( \frac{1}{k_1} \sin \left( -\theta_1 + \phi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right), \quad \alpha_2 = \arcsin \left( \frac{1}{k_1} \sin \left( \theta_2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right),
\]

(2.5)–(2.8) using iteration method. Then, the Laplace equation (2.2).

The surface tension force can be calculated from equations (2.3)–(2.8) using iteration method. Then, the Laplace equation (2.2).

The surface tension force depends on radius. Therefore, division of the contacts as found in a gecko results in square root of \( N_c \) effect on the surface tension force upon division.

Hence, total capillary force on the sphere is

\[
F_c = \pi R \gamma \left\{ \sin \phi \sin (\theta_1 + \phi) - \kappa R \sin^2 \phi \right\}.
\]

(2.10)

For the adhesion force by the solid-to-solid interaction, two alternative models dominate the world of contact mechanics: the Johnson–Kendall–Roberts (JKR) theory (Johnson et al. 1971; Bhushan 1999, 2002, 2003) for compliant solids and the Derjaguin–Muller–Toporov (DMT) theory (Derjaguin et al. 1975; Bhushan 1999, 2002, 2003) for stiff solids. Gecko’s seta is composed of \( \beta \)-keratin which has high elastic modulus (Russell 1986; Bertram & Gosline 1987) and is close to DMT model. Therefore, we applied the DMT theory for the solids contact. The surfaces contacting with seta are assumed to be rigid.

Assuming that both tips of a spatula and the asperity summits of the rough surface are spherical (which have a constant radius), a single spatula adhering to a rough surface can be modelled as the interaction between two spherical tips. The DMT adhesion force between two round tips is calculated as

\[
F_{DMT} = 2\pi R \gamma E_{ad},
\]

(2.11)

where \( R_c \) is the reduced radius of contact, which is calculated as

\[
R_c = \left( \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right)^{-1},
\]

(2.12)

where \( H \) is the Hamaker constant that depends on the medium the two surfaces are in. Typical values of the Hamaker constant for polymers are \( H_{air} = 10^{-19} \) J in the air and \( H_{water} = 3.7 \times 10^{-20} \) J in the water (Israelachvili 1992). The work of adhesion of two surfaces in contact separated by an atomic distance \( D \approx 0.2 \) nm (Israelachvili 1992) is approximately equal to \(-66 \) mJ m\(^{-2}\) in the air and \(-44 \) mJ m\(^{-2}\) in the water. Assuming tip radius \( R \) is 50 nm, the DMT adhesion forces of a single contact in the air and the water are \( F_{DMT}^{air} = 11 \) nN and \( F_{DMT}^{water} = 7.3 \) nN, respectively. As the humidity increases from 0 to 100\%, the DMT adhesion force will take a value between \( F_{DMT}^{air} \) and \( F_{DMT}^{water} \). To calculate the DMT adhesion force for the intermediate humidity, an approximation method by Wan et al. (1992) is used. The work of adhesion \( E_{ad} \) for the intermediate humidity can be expressed as

\[
E_{ad} = \int_D^h \frac{H}{6 \pi h^3} dh = \int_D^{h_f} \frac{H_{water}}{6 \pi h^3} dh + \int_{h_f}^{\infty} \frac{H_{air}}{6 \pi h^3} dh,
\]

(2.13)

where \( h \) is the separation along the plane. \( h_f \) is the water film thickness at a filling angle \( \phi \), which can be calculated as

\[
h_f = D + R(1 - \cos \phi).
\]

(2.14)

Note that Wan et al.’s model has a limitation that the \( H_{air} \) in equation (2.13) may not be suitable since there will be always liquid contact between the tip and water layer. As discussed by Israelachvili (1992), when there is a water layer in between two solids, the Hamaker constant would be almost 10 times smaller, and van der Waals force values decrease.

Using equations (2.11), (2.13) and (2.14), the DMT adhesion force for the intermediate humidity is given as

\[
F_{DMT} = F_{DMT}^{water} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{(1 + R(1 - \cos \phi)/D)^2} \right\} + F_{DMT}^{air} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{(1 + R(1 - \cos \phi)/D)^2} \right\}.
\]

(2.15)
Finally, total adhesion force is calculated as the sum of equations (2.10) and (2.15)
\[ F_{\text{at}} = F_c + F_{\text{DMT}}. \]  
(2.16)

Total adhesion force is used as a critical force in the spring model. In the spring model for gecko seta, if the force applied upon spring deformation is greater than the adhesion force, the spring is regarded as having been detached.

2.2. Simplification in the hierarchical morphology of setae

The seta manifests a hierarchical structure—thicker parts branched into thinner ones. In this study, we focus on the T. gecko—the most extensively studied species. The attachment pads of T. gecko feet consist of an intricate hierarchy of structures beginning with lamellae, soft ridges that are approximately 1–2 mm in length, located on the attachment pads (toes) as shown schematically in figure 2a. Tiny curved hairs known as setae extend from the lamellae. These setae are typically 30–130 \( \mu \text{m} \) in length and 5–10 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter. The setae of a gecko have several branches. Each seta branches into several hundred substructures (Ruibal & Ernst 1965; Hiller 1968; Russell 1975; Williams & Peterson 1982) called spatulae. A branched seta looks like a broom and has a length of approximately 20–30 \( \mu \text{m} \) and a diameter of approximately 1–2 \( \mu \text{m} \) (Ruibal & Ernst 1965). The tips of the spatulae have a typical size of the order of 500 \( \mu \text{m} \) in length, 200–300 \( \mu \text{m} \) in width and approximately 10 \( \mu \text{m} \) in thickness (Ruibal & Ernst 1965; Williams & Peterson 1982; Persson & Gorb 2003). Spatulae are oriented at an angle with respect to the contacting surface to facilitate peeling. Setae are composed of \( \beta \)-keratin with an elastic modulus in the range 1–20 GPa (Russell 1986; Bertram & Gosline 1987).

We approximate a gecko seta with a hierarchical spring model (figure 2b). Each level of springs in the model corresponds to a level of seta hierarchy. The upper level of springs corresponds to the thicker part of gecko seta, the middle part to the branches and the lower part to the spatulae. The upper level is the thinnest branch of a seta. It is 75 \( \mu \text{m} \) in length and 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter. The middle level, referred to as branch, has a length of 25 \( \mu \text{m} \) and diameter of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \). The lower level, called a spatula, is the thinnest branch with a length of 2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) and a diameter of approximately 0.1 \( \mu \text{m} \). Autumn et al. (2000) showed that the optimal attachment angle, \( \phi \), between the substrate and a gecko seta is 30° in the single seta pull-off experiment. This finding is supported by the adhesion models of setae as cantilever beams (Sitti & Fearing 2003; Gao et al. 2005). Therefore, in this study, \( \phi \) is fixed at 30°.

2.3. The multi-level hierarchical spring analysis

The adhesion simulation of three-level hierarchy for gecko seta in contact with random surface is carried out numerically. The springs on every level of hierarchy have the same stiffness as the bending stiffness of the corresponding branches of seta. If the beam is oriented at an angle \( \phi \) to the substrate and the contact load \( F \) is

\[ k_m = \frac{\pi R_m^2 E}{l_m \sin^2 \phi \left[ 1 + \frac{H_m \cot^2 \phi}{3 l_m} \right]}, \]  
(2.17)

where \( l_m \) and \( R_m \) are the length and the radius of seta branches, respectively, and \( m \) is the level number. The three-level model considered here has springs with length \( l_1 = 2.5 \text{ mm} \), \( l_2 = 25 \text{ mm} \) and \( l_3 = 75 \text{ mm} \) for levels I, II and III, respectively. For an assumed elastic modulus \( E \) of seta material of 10 GPa with a load applied at an angle of 60° to spatulae long axis, the stiffness of every level of seta is calculated as \( k_1 = 0.0126 \text{ N m}^{-1} \), \( k_2 = 0.126 \text{ N m}^{-1} \) and \( k_3 = 2.908 \text{ N m}^{-1} \), respectively.

The base of the springs and the connecting plate between the levels are assumed to be rigid. The distance \( s \) between neighbouring structures of level I is 0.35 \( \mu \text{m} \), obtained from the average value of measured spatula density, \( 8 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^{-2} \), obtained by multiplying 14 000 spatulae \text{mm}^{-2} \) by an average of 550 spatulae per seta (Schleich & Kästle 1986). Assuming a 1 : 10 proportion of the number of springs in the upper level to that in the lower level, one spring at level III is connected to 10 springs on level II and each spring on level II also has 10 springs on level I. The number of springs, \( N_i \), considered here is calculated by dividing the scan length (2000 \( \mu \text{m} \) selected here) with the distance \( s_i \) (0.35 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and corresponds to 5700.

The deflection of spring \( \Delta l \) was calculated as

\[ \Delta l = h - l_0 - z, \]  
(2.18)

where \( h \) is the position of the spring base relative to the mean line of surface; \( l_0 \) is the total length of a spring structure which is \( l_0 = l_i + l_0 + l_{0i} \); and \( z \) is the profile height of the rough surface. The elastic force \( F_{\text{el}} \) arisen in the springs at a distance \( h \) from the surface was calculated for the three-level model as

\[ F_{\text{el}} = -\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{ij}(\Delta l_{ij} - \Delta l_{ij} - \Delta l_k) u_{ij}, \]  
(2.19)

where \( p, q \) and \( r \) are the number of springs in the levels I, II and III of the model, respectively. When springs approach the rough surface, the spring force is calculated using equation (2.19). During pull-off, the same equations are used to calculate the spring force. However, when the applied load is equal to zero, the springs do not detach due to adhesion attraction given by equation (2.16). Springs are pulled apart until the net force (pull-off force minus attractive adhesion force) at the interface is equal to zero. The adhesion force is the lowest value of elastic force \( F_{\text{el}} \) when the seta has detached from the contacting surface.

The random rough surfaces used for simulation were generated by a computer program (Bhushan 1999, 2002). The roughness parameters are scale dependent and, therefore, adhesion values also are expected to be scale dependent. Increase in the scan length led to increase in both RMS amplitude and correlation length.
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For the modelling of contact of attachment system with random rough surfaces, the range of values of $s$ from 0.01 to 10 $\mu$m and a fixed value of $b/C = 200$ $\mu$m were taken. The chosen range covers values of roughnesses for relatively smooth artificial surfaces to natural rough surfaces. A typical scan length of 2000 $\mu$m was also chosen, which is comparable to a lamella length of a gecko.

Figure 2. (a) Schematic drawings of a *T. gecko* including the overall body, one foot, a cross-sectional view of the lamellae and an individual seta with three levels of branches: seta level; middle level; and spatula level. $\rho$ shown in the figure is the seta density per unit area. (b) Three-level spring models for the simulation effect of hierarchical morphology on the interaction of a seta with a rough surface. In this figure, $l_I$, $l_{II}$ and $l_{III}$ are lengths of structures; $s_I$ is space between spatulae; $k_I$, $k_{II}$ and $k_{III}$ are stiffnesses of structures; I, II and III are level indexes; $R$ is the radius of tip; and $h$ is the distance between upper spring base of each model and mean line of the rough profile.

Bhushan et al. (2006). For the modelling of contact of attachment system with random rough surfaces, the range of values of $\sigma$ from 0.01 to 10 $\mu$m and a fixed value of $\beta^* = 200$ $\mu$m were taken. The chosen range covers values of roughnesses for relatively smooth artificial surfaces to natural rough surfaces. A typical scan length of 2000 $\mu$m was also chosen, which is comparable to a lamella length of a gecko.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to investigate the effects of capillarity on gecko adhesion, we considered the capillary force consisting of the Laplace force and the surface tension force as well as the solid-to-solid interaction by DMT theory. First, the adhesion forces exerted by a single gecko spatula in contact with planes with different contact angles for various relative humidity are calculated and compared with experimental data. Next, we performed the adhesion analysis for three-level hierarchical model for gecko seta in contact with rough surfaces with different $\sigma$ values.
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Figure 3. The variation of the Laplace force, the surface tension force, total capillary force, the DMT adhesion force and total adhesion force with relative humidity for three different contact angles of substrate. $R=50$ nm, $\gamma=73$ mJ m$^{-2}$, $V=0.03$ nm$^3$, $\theta_1=128^\circ$. (a) $\theta_2=10^\circ$, (b) $\theta_2=58^\circ$ and (c) $\theta_2=110^\circ$.
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Figure 4. (a) Total adhesion force as a function of relative humidity for a single spatula in contact with surfaces with different contact angles. (b) Comparison of the simulation results with the measured data for a single spatula in contact with the hydrophilic and the hydrophobic surfaces (Huber et al. 2005). $R=50$ nm, $\gamma=73$ mJ m$^{-2}$, $V=0.03$ nm$^3$, $\theta_1=128^\circ$.

To simulate the capillarity contributions to adhesion force for gecko spatula, the contact angle on gecko spatula tip $\theta_1$ is set as a value of $128^\circ$ in the subsequent analysis (Huber et al. 2005). It is assumed that the spatula tip radius $R=50$ nm, the ambient temperature $T=25^\circ$C, the surface tension $\gamma=73$ mJ m$^{-2}$ and molecular volume of water $V=0.03$ nm$^3$ (Israelachvili 1992). At a given environment, we can calculate the filling angle $\phi$ by equations (2.3) and (2.4). Then, capillary force together with the contribution of the Laplace force and the surface tension force can be calculated using equation (2.10).

Figure 3 shows the variation of the capillary force, the DMT adhesion force and total adhesion force with relative humidity for three different contact angles of the substrate. The contact angles $\theta_2=10^\circ$ and $110^\circ$ used here correspond to hydrophilic Si wafer- and hydrophobic Si wafer-covered octadecyltrichlorosilane, respectively (Huber et al. 2005). For the hydrophilic surface of $\theta_2=10^\circ$, the Laplace force increases to a somewhat saturated value at intermediate humidity, and then decreases rapidly at a value of the relative humidity of more than 80%. The contribution of the...
surface tension increases slowly, but rises rapidly at a value of the relative humidity of more than 80%. The total capillary force increases to 22 nN at 95% relative humidity and decreases at the higher relative humidity. Owing to the mutual compensation of the contributions of the Laplace force and the surface tension force, the decrease of total capillary force is not drastic. The DMT adhesion force decreases with an increase in relative humidity. The contribution of the DMT adhesion force occupies a large portion in total adhesion force.

The surface tension force and the DMT adhesion force for the surface of $\theta_2=58^\circ$ are similar to those for the surface of $\theta_2=10^\circ$, but the Laplace force, contrary to the case of $\theta_2=10^\circ$, has slightly negative values through all the relative humidity. It is shown that surface tension force occupies a larger portion in the capillary force in this case. Total adhesion force increases slowly to 12 nN at a value of relative humidity of 80% and rapidly at the higher relative humidity due to the compensation of the capillary force and the DMT adhesion force. The Laplace force for the hydrophobic surface of $\theta_2=110^\circ$ decreases at the values of the relative humidity less than 80% with negative values and then increases. Owing to the larger contribution of the Laplace force, both the capillary and total adhesion forces have a similar tendency with the Laplace force.

From figure 3, it is shown that the Laplace force as well as the DMT adhesion force gives the larger effect on total adhesion force. For a given spatula tip that is a hydrophobic surface, as the contact angle of the substrate increases, a mean curvature of meniscus formed between two surfaces changes from negative to positive values and the pressure inside the meniscus becomes higher than outside, which results in a decrease of capillary force.

Figure 4a shows the total adhesion force as a function of relative humidity for a single spatula in contact with surfaces with different contact angles. Total adhesion force decreases with an increase in the contact angle on the substrate, and the difference of total adhesion force among contact angles is larger in the intermediate humidity regime. As the relative humidity increases, total adhesion force for the surfaces with contact angle less than 60° has a higher value than the DMT adhesion force not considering wet contact, whereas above a value of 60°, total adhesion force has lower values at most relative humidities. The simulation results of this analysis are compared with the experiment data by Huber et al. (2005) in figure 4b. They measured the pull-off force of a single spatula in contact with four different types of Si wafer and glass at the ambient temperature 25°C and the relative humidity 52%. According to their description, wafer families ‘N’ and ‘T’ in figure 4b differ by the thickness of the top amorphous Si oxide layer. The ‘Phil’ type is cleaned Si oxide surfaces, which is hydrophilic with a water contact angle of approximately 10°, whereas the ‘Phob’ type is Si wafer-covered hydrophobic monolayer causing water contact angle to be greater than 100°. The glass has water contact angle of 58°. They showed that the adhesion force of a gecko spatula rises significantly for substrates with increasing hydrophilicity. In figure 4b, the simulation results are in good agreement with their experimental results.

We performed the adhesion analysis for three-level hierarchical model for gecko sets. Figure 5 shows the adhesion coefficient and number of contacts per unit length for three-level hierarchical models in contact with rough surfaces with different values of RMS amplitude $\sigma$ ranging from 0.01 to 30 μm for different
relative humidity and contact angles of surface. The applied load of 1.6 μN used here is derived from the gecko’s weight (Kim & Bhushan 2007). Adhesion coefficient is the ratio of applied preload to pull-off force, which represents the strength of adhesion as a function of the preload. For the surface with contact angle \( \theta_2 = 10^\circ \) and relative humidity of 0%, the maximum adhesion coefficient is approximately 36 at value of \( \sigma \) smaller than 0.01 μm. It means gecko feet can generate enough adhesion force to support 36 times the gecko’s weight. However, the adhesion coefficient decreases with an increase in \( \sigma \) value. By increasing \( \sigma \) up to 1 μm, the adhesion coefficient is reduced rapidly to approximately 5, and then for the surface with \( \sigma \) more than 10 μm decreases to a value less than 1, which cannot support the gecko weight. It is shown that the trends in the number of contacts are similar to that of the adhesion force. Here, the number of contacts means the number of springs in level 1 when the maximum adhesion force occurs. For the surface with contact angle of \( \theta_2 = 10^\circ \), the adhesion coefficient increases with an increase of relative humidity: the adhesion coefficient for relative humidity of 90% has two times higher value than that for relative humidity of 0% at a value of \( \sigma \) smaller than 0.01 μm. Even on the surface with \( \sigma \) of 10 μm, the adhesion force is more than three times the gecko weight. However, the adhesion enhancement by the increase of relative humidity is reduced in the surface with larger contact angle. In the surface with contact angle of \( \theta_2 = 58^\circ \), a little increment of the adhesion coefficient is observed, and in the surface with contact angle of \( \theta_2 = 110^\circ \); on the contrary, the adhesion coefficient significantly decreases with an increase of the relative humidity. Therefore, we can say that hydrophilic surface is beneficial to gecko adhesion enhancement.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated the effects of capillarity on gecko adhesion. We considered the capillary force consisting of the Laplace force and the surface tension force as well as the DMT adhesion force.

The adhesion forces exerted by a single gecko spatula in contact with planes with different contact angles for various relative humidity are calculated. The surface tension force always decreases with increase in relative humidity regardless of the hydrophobicity of the surface, whereas the Laplace force shows different behaviour according to hydrophilic or hydrophobic surface. The Laplace force for the hydrophilic surface increases at intermediate humidity and then decreases rapidly at a value of relative humidity more than 80%, but for the hydrophobic surface, decreases at values of relative humidity less than 80% with negative values and then increases. The DMT adhesion force decreases with an increase in relative humidity. The contribution of the DMT adhesion force occupies a large portion in total adhesion force and the capillary force is comparable with DMT force. Total adhesion force decreases with an increase in the contact angle on the substrate, and the difference of total adhesion force among contact angles is larger in the intermediate humidity regime. In addition, we showed that the simulation results are in good agreement with the experimental results for a single spatula in contact with the hydrophilic and the hydrophobic surfaces.

The adhesion analysis for three-level hierarchical model for gecko seta in contact with rough surfaces with different \( \sigma \) values has been carried out. It is shown that hydrophilic surface is beneficial to gecko adhesion enhancement. For the surface with contact angle of \( \theta_2 = 10^\circ \), the adhesion coefficient increases with an increase of relative humidity. However, the adhesion enhancement by an increase of the relative humidity decreases in the surface with larger contact angle.
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