Growth, ageing and scaling laws of coronary arterial trees
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Despite the well-known design principles of vascular systems, it is unclear whether the vascular arterial tree obeys some scaling constraints during normal growth and ageing in a given species. Based on the micro-computed tomography measurements of coronary arterial trees in mice at different ages (one week to more than eight months), we show a constant exponent of 3/4, but age-dependent scaling coefficients in a length–volume scaling law ($L_c = K_{\text{length-volume}} \cdot V_c^{3/4}$; $L_c$ is the crown length, $V_c$ is the crown volume, $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ is the age-dependent scaling coefficient) during normal growth and ageing. The constant 3/4 exponent represents the self-similar fractal-like branching pattern (i.e. basic mechanism to regulate the development of vascular trees within a species), whereas the age-dependent scaling coefficients characterize the structural growth or resorption of vascular trees during normal growth or ageing, respectively. This study enhances the understanding of age-associated changes in vascular structure and function.

1. Introduction

The vascular system undergoes substantial changes during normal growth and ageing [1]. The relationship between a biologic variable and body weight (BW) has been characterized by a scaling power-law (i.e. interspecific scaling law), which is considered a universal principle that dictates allometry. The fourth-dimension allometric scaling law was found to relate BW to the shape, anatomy or physiological parameters among species [2,3]. Seiler et al. reported a power-law structure (i.e. intraspecific scaling law) of epicardial coronary arterial trees in the early 1990s [4,5]. In 1997, West et al., proposed a mathematical model referred to as the West, Brown and Enquist (WBE) model to explain the allometric 3/4 scaling law of metabolism [6]. This motivated a series of studies on the fourth-dimension of biological allometry between species (interspecific) [7–14]. Moreover, we deduced mathematical scaling models that dictate the design of coronary arterial trees within a given species (intraspecific) [15,16]. We also investigated intraspecific scaling laws in arterial/venous trees down to the capillaries within various organs of different species [17–20]. It is unknown, however, whether intraspecific laws hold during growth and ageing in the coronary arterial trees (table 1).

Here, the objective is to understand the scaling laws that dictate the age-related structural and functional changes in the coronary arterial trees. Based on the micro-computed tomography (µCT) measurements of coronary arterial trees in normal mice at different ages (one week to more than eight months), we show an age-independent 3/4 exponent in the length–volume scaling law. The scaling coefficient, $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ characterizes the structural adaptation (growth or resorption) of the coronary arterial tree during normal growth or ageing, respectively. The scaling constraint of the exponent...
Table 1. Nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>interspecific scaling law</th>
<th>a scaling power-law to show the allometric relationship between a biologic variable and body weight. A general format of the interspecific law is written as: $A = K \cdot B^{\gamma}$, where $A$ refers to the shape, anatomy, or physiological parameters among species, $B$ body weight, $K$ the scaling coefficient and $\gamma$ the scaling exponent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y = K_{\text{metabolism}} \cdot B^{\gamma/4}$</td>
<td>the allometric $3/4$ scaling law of metabolism (i.e. an interspecific scaling law), where $Y$ is the metabolic rate and $K_{\text{metabolism}}$ is the corresponding scaling coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the stem--crown units</td>
<td>a vessel in a tree is selected as a stem and the subtree distal to the stem down to the terminal vessels is defined as a crown. A tree structure consists of many stem--crown units, as shown in figure 1a. In a stem--crown unit, the crown volume, $V_c$, is the sum of the intravascular volume of each vessel from the stem to the terminal vessels and the crown length, $L_c$, is the sum of the length of each vessel from the stem to the terminal vessels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intraspecific scaling law</td>
<td>a scaling power-law to dictate the design of cardiovascular trees within a given species. A general format of the intraspecific law is written as: $A = K \cdot B^\gamma$, where $A$ and $B$ refer to morphometric or physiological parameters in an integrated system of stem--crown units, $K$ the scaling coefficient and $\gamma$ the scaling exponent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_c = K_{\text{length-volume}} \cdot V_c^{1/4}$</td>
<td>the length--volume scaling law (i.e. an intraspecific law), where $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ is the scaling coefficient of the length--volume scaling law.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

represents the self-similar fractal-like branching pattern under physical and biological constraints relevant to diffusion-limited aggregation [21–23]. Hence, although the scaling of morphology or pattern of the vascular tree is maintained throughout normal growth and ageing, the absolute size changes.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Theory

The stem--crown units are defined in figure 1a. A tree structure consists of many stem--crown units. Similar to a previous study [19], the branching ratio, diameter ratio and length ratio in a fractal-like idealized symmetric tree are defined as: $BR = n_i/n_{i-1}$, $DR = D_i/D_{i-1}$ and $LR = L_i/L_{i-1}$, where $n_i$, $D_i$ and $L_i$ are the number, diameter and length of vessels in level $i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{\text{total}}$, where the level of the tree is level 0, and the terminal vessels refer to level $N_{\text{total}}$. Based on the assumptions of $LR = BR^{-1/(d+\gamma)}$ and $DR = BR^{-1/(2+\epsilon)}$ (parameters $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$ are constants that vary among organs and species), we derive the intraspecific length--volume scaling law, i.e. $L_c = K_{\text{length-volume}} \cdot V_c^{1/4}$ (see the mathematical derivation in appendix A).

2.2. Experiments

2.2.1. Study design

To investigate the effects of growth and ageing on the scaling coefficient and exponent of the scaling law, coronary arterial trees of Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) mice were reconstructed from $\mu$CT images. The ICR mice were divided into six groups as: one-week group (six mice and 17 coronary arterial trees), three-weeks group (nine mice and 26 coronary arterial trees), six-weeks group (10 mice and 28 coronary arterial trees), 12-weeks group (six mice and 18 coronary arterial trees), five-to six-months group (nine mice and 27 coronary arterial trees) and more than eight-months group (10 mice and 28 coronary arterial trees).

2.2.2. Specimen preparation

The animal was anaesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (60 mg kg$^{-1}$) and heparinized with undiluted heparin (1 ml, 1000 USPU ml$^{-1}$). After midline incision for laparotomy, the animal was terminated by injecting an overdose of pentobarbital sodium through the inferior vena cava. Heparinized PBS (1 unit heparin ml$^{-1}$) at 37°C was injected into the thoracic aorta and drained from the sectioned inferior vena cava for 10 min. The heart was exposed, and heparinized PBS was used to maintain epicardial moisture. The thoracic aorta was then filled with acetic solution at a constant pressure of 100 mmHg. After 3 min, the thoracic aorta was perfused with the casting solution (7 g acrylonitrile butadiene styrene and 10 g lead tetroxide microspheres in 100 ml aceton solution) at a constant pressure of 100 mmHg. The flow of orange cast solution was observed to pass by the epicardial coronary arteries down to the intramyocardial vessels, but it was not found in the veins, because the lead tetroxide microspheres with diameter of 8–15 μm blocked the distal small arteries. The flow of cast solution was zero during the 90 min prior to hardening of the cast at a constant pressure of 100 mmHg. The animal was stored in 10% formalin in the refrigerator for 24 h. Reperfusion was then performed to prevent possible cast shrinkage at a constant pressure of 100 mmHg. The heart was dissected and stored in 10% formalin in the refrigerator until $\mu$CT scans.

After $\mu$CT scans, three hearts (i.e. a heart at three weeks, a heart at six weeks and a heart at more than eight months) were corroded with a 30% KOH for a week similar to previous studies [24,25]. After the tissue was washed away with soap and water, a solid cast of the vasculature was obtained from each heart. The trunks of coronary arterial trees were easily identified in a cast, as shown in figure 1b.

2.2.3. Micro-computed tomography imaging acquisition and three-dimensional reconstruction of coronary arterial trees

$\mu$CT scanning was performed using the ZKKS-MCT-Sharp $\mu$CT scanner (Guangzhou, China). Images were collected in a 360° scan with 0.72° increments (500 rotation steps). The X-ray energy power and voltage were 35 W and 60 kV, respectively. Data matrices were 400 x 400 x 400 with an isotropic voxel size of 20 μm. Total scan time for each heart was 30–50 min. The morphometric data of coronary arterial trees were extracted from $\mu$CT images using a grey-scale threshold method in the MIMICS software (Materialise, NV, Belgium). Briefly, a low CT-threshold of 100 was selected to include the small vessel segments. A centreline was formed by a series of centre points which were located in the centre on the cross-sectional views of the contour of the three-dimensional vessel. Subsequently, the best-fit diameter, $D_{\text{fit}}$, was calculated as twice the average
radius between the point on the centreline and the contour forming the three-dimensional vessel. Similar to previous studies [26–28], the blurring of small vessel edges was corrected to yield \( D_{\text{correct}} \) by fitting a Gaussian distribution function (i.e. the modulation transfer function of the \( \mu \)CT scanner) to the line profiles followed by computation of the input square wave. To reduce the sampling error of the finite discrete grid, the coronary arterial trees with vessel diameter greater than or equal to 40 \( \mu \)m (twice the voxel size) were used for testing the validity of the intraspecific length–volume scaling law.

2.2.4. Measurements of the cast of coronary arterial trees
The arterial casts were dissected and viewed under a stereo-dissecting microscope (80 \( \times \) magnification, 3.6 \( \mu \)m optical resolution) similar to previous studies [24,25]. The cast images were taken from different angles by the stereo-dissecting microscope. The trunk of each coronary artery was sketched, and the centreline and the best-fit diameters of its vessels were measured by the SIGMA SCAN PRO v. 5 software for comparison with the \( \mu \)CT measurements. The subtrees arising from the trunk were labelled, pruned, placed in separate jars, further pruned, sketched and measured. This process was continued until the cast of the entire tree was sketched and its morphometric measurements were made. The coronary arterial trees were made of vessels with diameters greater than or equal to 40 \( \mu \)m in correspondence with the \( \mu \)CT measurements.

2.2.5. Generation of stem–crown units
Because a vessel (a segment between two nodes of bifurcation) included 10–80 centre points, the length and intravascular volume of a vessel were defined as: vessel length = \( \sum \) the length between consecutive centre points and intravascular volume of a vessel = \( \sum \) the length between consecutive centre points \( \cdot (\pi/4 \cdot D_{\text{correct}}^2) \). The cross-sectional area (CSA) of a vessel equalled the intravascular volume divided by the length. Similar to previous studies [18,19,29,30], the stem–crown units were defined for the coronary arterial trees of mice. The crown length and crown volume were determined for each stem–
The sum of vessel length and intravascular volume of an entire coronary arterial tree down to the terminal vessels (vessel diameter greater than or equal to 40 \( \mu \text{m} \)) were defined as \( L_{\text{cmax}} \) and \( V_{\text{cmax}} \), respectively. The CSA averaged over all vessels of an entire coronary arterial tree was defined as \( A_{\text{smean}} \):

Through the Hagen–Poiseuille law, the resistance of the steady laminar flow in a vessel can be written as:

\[
R = \frac{128 \mu \text{L}}{\pi D^4},
\]

where \( \mu \) (4 cp) is the fluid viscosity [20]. The blood flow in a coronary arterial tree follows the conservation of mass at each junction, \( Q_{\text{mother}} = \sum Q_{\text{daughters}} \), and the continuous pressure at each junction, \( P(L)_{\text{mother}} = P(0)_{\text{daughters}} \), where \( P(0) \) and \( P(L) \) are the pressures at the entrance and exit of vessels, respectively. Similar to previous studies [31,32], the resistance of a coronary arterial tree, \( R_{\text{total}} \), is calculated backward from the terminal vessels to the inlet, based on \( 1/R(L)_{\text{mother}} = \sum 1/R(0)_{\text{daughters}} \) and \( R(0) = (128 \mu \text{L}/\pi D^4) + R(L) \), where \( R(0) \) and \( R(L) \) are the resistance at the entrance and exit of a vessel, respectively.

**2.3. Statistical analysis**

Similar to a previous study [29], we determined the following parameters: (i) both scaling coefficient \( K^0 \) and exponent \( a \) in a power-law relation: \( A = K^0 \cdot B^a \) (defined as the two-parameter model) and (ii) scaling coefficient \( K \) with exponent \( a \) equal to the theoretical value (i.e. 3/4 in the length–volume scaling law) in a power-law relation: \( A = K \cdot B^{3/4} \) (defined by the one-parameter model) by a least-square fit of all stem–crown units in a coronary arterial tree to the length–volume scaling law. The mean and standard deviation (mean \( \pm \) s.d.) were computed by averaging over all coronary arterial trees (or mice) in each.

![Figure 2. Coronary arterial trees (from dorsal view) of mice at ages of one week, three weeks, six weeks, 12 weeks, five to six months and more than eight months reconstructed from \( \mu \)CT images. (Online version in colour.)](http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/Downloaded from http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/ on September 29, 2017)
Table 2. Morphometric data (mean ± s.d.) reconstructed from μCT images. $L_{cmax}$, sum of vessel length of the entire coronary arterial trees (down to the terminal vessels reconstructed from μCT images) in a mouse; $V_{cmax}$, sum of intravascular volume of the entire coronary arterial trees in a mouse; $A_{smean}$, CSA averaged over all vessels of an entire coronary arterial tree; BW, body weight; stem–crown number, the total number of stem–crown units in an entire coronary arterial tree; mean ± s.d., the $L_{cmax}$, $V_{cmax}$ and BW were averaged over mice in each age group; the $A_{smean}$ and stem–crown number were averaged over all coronary arterial trees in each age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>morphometry of coronary arteries</th>
<th>$L_{cmax}$ (cm)</th>
<th>$V_{cmax} \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^3$</th>
<th>$A_{smean} \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$</th>
<th>BW (g)</th>
<th>stem–crown number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>one week</td>
<td>3.32 ± 1.20</td>
<td>5.31 ± 3.08</td>
<td>7.35 ± 1.56</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three weeks</td>
<td>7.06 ± 3.74</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.57</td>
<td>8.02 ± 1.78</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>23 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six weeks</td>
<td>16.8 ± 6.13</td>
<td>18.1 ± 5.31</td>
<td>6.05 ± 1.02</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>69 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>17.5 ± 5.12</td>
<td>29.3 ± 6.24</td>
<td>7.81 ± 1.24</td>
<td>37.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>61 ± 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five to six months</td>
<td>14.4 ± 5.80</td>
<td>23.6 ± 6.55</td>
<td>7.19 ± 1.59</td>
<td>36.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>44 ± 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more than eight months</td>
<td>9.96 ± 3.96</td>
<td>11.2 ± 5.19</td>
<td>6.22 ± 1.11</td>
<td>38.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>36 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>statistical difference ($p &lt; 0.05$)</td>
<td>1 w versus 6 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 6 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 6 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 6 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 6 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>3 w versus 6 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>1 w versus 12 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>1 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>1 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 8 m</td>
<td>1 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>1 w versus 5–6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>3 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>1 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>1 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 6 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 w versus 6 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>6 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>3 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>3 w versus 12 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>6 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>12 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>3 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>3 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>5–6 m versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>3 w versus 5–6 m</td>
<td>6 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>12 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;6 m</td>
<td>4 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus 12 w</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>5–6 m versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>4 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
<td>6 w versus &gt;8 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
group. Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to compare parameters among those groups, where a p-value less than 0.05 represented a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows coronary arterial trees of mice at different ages (50 mice from one week to more than eight months) reconstructed from μCT images. The three-dimensional μCT reconstruction revealed three major coronary arterial trees in the majority of mice. The corresponding morphometric data of these mice are listed in table 2, where the mean ± s.d. are computed by averaging over all coronary arterial trees (or mice) in each group. The body weight (BW) showed a classical sigmoidal curve during growth (from one to 12 weeks) and ageing (from 12 weeks to more than eight months) in figure 3. The length–volume scaling law have a similar trend to those of the vascular resistance (Klength–volume) and the vascular resistance (Rtotal) remained unchanged from one to three weeks, increased from three weeks to six weeks, but decreased from six weeks to 12 weeks. These parameters increased with ageing (five to six months and more than eight months).

4. Discussion

The key finding is that the exponent in the intraspecific length–volume scaling law of coronary arterial trees (with terminal diameter ≥ 40 μm) has a constant value approximately equal to 3/4 = 0.75 throughout the ages considered, whereas the scaling coefficient is significantly affected by growth and ageing. The measurements support the 3/4 intraspecific scaling law derived in appendix A. In the derivation of scaling law, we used only the assumption of the self-similar fractal-like branching pattern [33] given cardiovascular trees have fractal-like features [34–38]. The self-similar fractal-like branching pattern accounting for diffusion-limited aggregation [21–23] obeys the 3/4 intraspecific length–volume scaling law, which appears to be the basic mechanism that guides the growth and ageing of coronary arterial trees. We also resolved the controversial space-filling assumption [39,40] using the fractal axiom that LR = BR−1/(3−γ) (BR and LR are the branching ratio and length ratio, respectively, as shown in appendix A).

Another key finding is that the age-dependent changes of the scaling coefficient in the 3/4 intraspecific length–volume scaling law have a similar trend to those of the vascular resistance (Rtotal) for coronary arterial trees. Based on the assumption of the self-similar fractal-like branching pattern,
and more than eight months. The abscissa is the crown volume (unit: ml) and the ordinate is the crown length (unit: cm). (Online version in colour.)

Because $R_{\text{total}}$ is determined by multiple parallel and series resistances of blood vessels, the approximately 2.6% decrease of mean CSA as well as the approximately 2.4-fold increase of $L_{\text{cmax}}$ does not ensure a reduction of $R_{\text{total}}$ at 12 weeks compared with three weeks given the approximately threefold increase in total vessel number, as shown in table 2 and figures 3 and 5. Based on the self-similar nature of the coronary arterial tree, $K_{\text{length-volume}} = L_{\text{cmax}}/V_{\text{cmax}}^{1/3}$ and $R_{\text{total}} \propto L_{\text{cmax}}/V_{\text{cmax}}^{1/3}$. Hence, the scaling coefficient and vascular resistance are determined by the interplay of $L_{\text{cmax}}$ and $V_{\text{cmax}}$. The changes of the scaling coefficient and vascular resistance during growth seem different from those during ageing. During the period of growth, as shown in figures 3 and 5, an increase in $L_{\text{cmax}}$ and $V_{\text{cmax}}$ results in the relatively unchanged $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ and $R_{\text{total}}$ from one to three weeks. A faster increase of $L_{\text{cmax}}$ than $V_{\text{cmax}}$ owing to the enlarged heart size leads to an increased $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ and $R_{\text{total}}$ from three to six weeks. A greater increase of $V_{\text{cmax}}$ than $L_{\text{cmax}}$ causes a decreased $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ and $R_{\text{total}}$ from six to 12 weeks to satisfy the increased metabolic demand given that $V_{\text{cmax}} \propto$ metabolic rate$^{3/4}$ [6]. Conversely, a greater decrease of $V_{\text{cmax}}$ than $L_{\text{cmax}}$ explains the gradual increase of $K_{\text{length-volume}}$ and $R_{\text{cmax}}$ with ageing. The crown volume is determined by three key factors, i.e. vessel length, vessel diameter and vessel number. The faster increase of $V_{\text{cmax}}$ than $L_{\text{cmax}}$ from six to 12 weeks is caused by the increased CSA of vessels while the faster decrease of $V_{\text{cmax}}$ than $L_{\text{cmax}}$ with ageing is attributed to the decreased CSA of vessels as well as the reduction in vessel number, as shown in table 2.

Based on the minimum energy hypothesis, West et al. [6] derived that $V_{\text{cmax}} \propto$ BW. In this study, $V_{\text{cmax}}$ was not proportional to BW during initial period of growth (from one to three weeks) and with ageing (from 12 weeks to more than eight months), whereas $V_{\text{cmax}} \propto$ BW from postnatal to maturity (from three to 12 weeks), as shown in
although this is consistent with the conclusion of West et al. [6], because the interspecific 3/4 allometric metabolic scaling law underlies a large range of body size over 21 orders of magnitude among species (whereas the age-related changes in BW within a species are negligible in comparison), the result does not extend to the intraspecific scaling law relevant to normal growth and ageing of the whole life.

4.1. Significance of the study

The intraspecific scaling laws have been proposed to investigate the structure–function relationship within a given species.
[4,5,15–20]. The least-square fit of μCT data to the length–volume scaling law showed a high correlation coefficient and low coefficient of variation given the integrated properties of both crown volume and length [29,30]. This is the first study, to the best of our knowledge, to find an age-independent 3/4 exponent, but age-dependent scaling coefficients in the length–volume scaling law. The self-similar fractal-like branching pattern [33] owing to diffusion-limited aggregation [21–23] is found to be the basic mechanism for the constant 3/4 exponent. The changes of scaling coefficient have a similar trend to those of vascular resistance, both of which are attributed to the inconsistent growth/ageing rate of crown length and volume. In addition to understanding the nature of growth and ageing, these findings can provide methods to quantify vascular patterns in healthy individuals in reference to diagnosis of diseases.

4.2. Study limitations
Optimal casting may require various conditions (e.g. pressures, flow rates, etc.) for different animals. Here, we performed the casting at a constant pressure of 100 mmHg with zero flow during casting. Because the passive diameter of coronary arteries showed less than 3% relative error in the pressure range of 80–120 mmHg [41], a sensitivity analysis was carried out to investigate the effects of cast perfusion on the length–volume scaling law. The 3–5% changes in vessel diameter resulted in an error bound of 2.6 ± 0.3%–4.5 ± 0.3% for the age-dependent coefficients with a negligible effect on the age-independent exponent. The effect of casting did not alter the conclusions in table 3.

Based on the volume–diameter scaling law and the minimum energy hypothesis, we previously derived a 7/9 exponent of the length–volume scaling law [19]. The design of coronary arterial trees, however, obeys the minimum energy hypothesis from postnatal to maturity (from three to 12 weeks), but not with ageing (from 12 weeks to more than eight months), because $V_{gas}$ is not proportional to BW, as shown in figure 3. In contrast, this study deduced that $L_c = K_{length-volume} \cdot V^{1/3}$, from the fractal nature of vascular trees only. The least-square fit of μCT data to the 7/9 and 3/4 length–volume scaling laws showed the exponent to be closer to 3/4 albeit the difference between the two exponents was not statistically significant.

The 3/4 length–volume scaling law was derived based on the fractal assumptions of $LR = BR^{-1/(3-\gamma)}$ and $DR = BR^{-1/(2+\varepsilon)}$ (see appendix A). The μCT measurements showed constant parameters, $\gamma$ and $\varepsilon$, during normal growth and ageing in the coronary arterial trees of mice. Further measurements in various organs of different species during normal growth and ageing are needed to validate the exponent of the length–volume scaling.

5. Conclusion
An intraspecific scaling law describes the development of coronary arterial trees during normal growth and ageing. The exponent of length–volume scaling law has a constant value of 3/4, whereas the scaling coefficient changes during growth and ageing. Moreover, the changes of the scaling coefficient over time show a similar trend to those of vascular resistance.
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Appendix A
Similar to a previous study [19], a proximal vessel is defined as a stem and the subtree distal to the stem (down to the terminal vessels reconstructed from μCT images) is defined as a crown, as shown in figure 1a. A tree consists of many stem–crown units. The vessel is assumed to be a cylindrical tube. In a tree, $n_i$, $D_i$ and $L_i$ refer to the number, diameter and length of vessels in level i, i = 0, ..., $N_i$, where the inlet of the tree is level 0, and the terminal vessels refer to level $N_i$. In an integrated system of stem–crown units, the crown volume ($V_c$; unit: ml) is defined as the sum of the intravascular volume of each vessel in a stem–crown unit from the stem to the most distal vessels; the crown length ($L_c$; unit: cm) is defined as the sum of the length of each vessel in a stem–crown unit from the stem to the most distal vessels.

A.1. Branching ratio
The branching ratios ($BR = n_i/n_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_i$) are relatively constant in each level from the inlet (level 0) to the terminal vessels (level $N_i$), such that $n_i = BR^i$ in a crown.

A.2. Diameter ratio
The diameter ratio is defined as: $DR = D_i/D_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_i$. It can be shown that $n_i \pi D_i^{2+\varepsilon} = n_{i-1} \pi D_{i-1}^{2+\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon = 0$ represents area-preservation from one level to the next and $\varepsilon = 1$ represents Murray’s law). This provides the relation: $(D_i/D_{i-1}) = (n_i/n_{i-1})^{-1/(2+\varepsilon)}$. Therefore, the diameter ratio relates to the branching ratio as $DR = BR^{-1/(2+\varepsilon)}$ or $D_i = BR^{-1/(2+\varepsilon)} D_0$ in a crown.

A.3. Length ratio
The length ratio is defined as $LR = L_i/L_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_i$. West et al. [6] proposed that the perfused volume from one level to the next was constant, such that $(4/3) \pi (L_i/2)^3 n_i = (4/3) \pi (L_{i-1}/2)^3 n_{i-1}$, which has been widely disputed [39,40] and for which there are no supporting experimental data. Here, we assume a more general relation that has an experimental basis, namely: $(L_i/2)^3 n_i = (L_{i-1}/2)^3 n_{i-1}$ ($\gamma = 0$ represents space filling, $\gamma = 1$ represents area filling, and $\gamma = 2$ represents length preservation). This leads to $(L_i/L_{i-1}) = (n_i/n_{i-1})^{-1/(3-\gamma)}$. The length ratio relates to the branching ratio as: $LR = BR^{-1/(3-\gamma)}$ or $L_i = BR^{-1/(3-\gamma)} L_0$ in a crown.
A.4. Crown length

The crown length, \( L_c \), can be written as

\[
L_c = L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} n_i L_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_i. \tag{A1}
\]

where \( L_0 \) is the length of terminal vessels and is a constant. Hence, \( L_c \propto BR_j \).

A.5. Crown volume

The crown volume, \( V_c \), can be written as

\[
V_c = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{c,i}^2 L_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} n_i \left( \frac{D_i}{D_0} \right)^2 \frac{L_i}{L_0} \right]; \quad i = 1, \ldots, N_i. \tag{A3}
\]

From \( n_i = BR_j \), \( L_i = BR^{-(3-\gamma)} L_0 \), \( D_i = BR^{-(2+\varepsilon)} D_0 \) and equation (A3), we obtain the following equation

\[
V_c = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{c,i}^2 L_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} n_i \left( \frac{BR^{-(2+\varepsilon)}}{BR^{-(3-\gamma)}} \right)^2 \frac{BR^{-(3-\gamma)}}{BR^{-(2+\varepsilon)}} \right]; \tag{A4}
\]

Because \((2-\gamma)/(3-\gamma)-2/(2+\varepsilon)<0\) for most vascular trees, equation (A4) is written as

\[
V_c = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{c,i}^2 L_0 \frac{1}{1 - BR^{2(2-3\gamma)/(3-2\gamma)}} \frac{1}{1 - BR^{2(2+\varepsilon)/(3-2\gamma)}} \tag{A5}
\]

A.6. The length–volume scaling law

From equation \((A5), V_c(1/(2+\varepsilon)+1/(3-\gamma)) \propto BR_j \). Moreover, \(1/(2+\varepsilon)+1/(3-\gamma)=3/4+(9e-2\gamma-4\varepsilon)/(4(2-\gamma+\varepsilon))\). Based on morphometric data of \( \gamma \) and \( \varepsilon \) in reference [19] as well as the present measurements, \( \gamma>0.06<<3/4\) such that \(1/(2+\varepsilon)+1/(3-\gamma)\equiv 3/4\) for coronary arterial trees. From \( L_c \propto BR_j \) and \( V_c^{1/4} \propto BR_j \), we obtain the length–volume scaling law as

\[
L_c = K_{length-volume} \cdot V_c^{1/4}, \tag{A6}
\]

where \( K_{length-volume} \) is the scaling coefficient.
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