Microbuckling of fibrin provides a mechanism for cell mechanosensing
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Biological cells sense and respond to mechanical forces, but how such a mechanosensing process takes place in a nonlinear inhomogeneous fibrous matrix remains unknown. We show that cells in a fibrous matrix induce deformation fields that propagate over a longer range than predicted by linear elasticity. Synthetic, linear elastic hydrogels used in many mechanotransduction studies fail to capture this effect. We develop a nonlinear microstructural finite-element model for a fibre network to simulate localized deformations induced by cells. The model captures measured cell-induced matrix displacements from experiments and identifies an important mechanism for long-range cell mechanosensing: loss of compression stiffness owing to microbuckling of individual fibres. We show evidence that cells sense each other through the formation of localized intercellular bands of tensile deformations caused by this mechanism.

1. Introduction

Physical cues control cell behaviour through various mechanisms collectively referred to as mechanotransduction [1]. For example, the stiffness of a cell’s environment controls cellular morphology, migration and development [2]. Equally important is the response of cells to direct physical forces either through cell–cell adhesions [3,4] or through the extracellular matrix [5–7]. Nearly all previous work on mechanotransduction has used synthetic, linear elastic gels [8]. The mechanical properties of physiological extracellular environment, however, deviate entirely from simple homogeneous linear elasticity. Natural fibrous matrices exhibit strain stiffening [9], tensile normal strains under shear loading [10], negative compressibility [11] and lower stiffness in compression than in tension [10]. These nonlinear properties of biological gels can have a dramatic effect on behaviours like cell spreading [12].

Various models have simulated nonlinearity of fibrous biological materials, but relatively few have considered local, non-uniform deformations in such nonlinear inhomogeneous materials [13,14]. Instead, nearly all previous studies have focused on homogeneous shearing [9,15–17] or uniaxial tension [11] of the bulk material. These studies of uniform deformations have revealed novel constitutive behaviour of fibrous materials, but they fail to simulate deformations similar to those applied by a cell. By contracting and changing shape, cells apply localized forces to their surroundings, resulting in inhomogeneous stress and deformation fields in the matrix. Given the lack of theoretical and experimental studies of cell–matrix interactions at the local scale, there remains a need to quantify cell-generated forces and displacements and to discern how cells respond to nonlinear properties of fibrous materials at the scale sensed by the cell.

Here we experimentally measure three-dimensional cell-induced matrix displacements and report two findings: (i) displacements decay much slower with distance from the cell than predicted by linear elasticity; (ii) multiple cells cause localized matrix densification and fibre alignment in tether-like bands joining them. We hypothesize that the mechanism responsible for these phenomena is loss of compression strength owing to microbuckling of individual fibres.
To test this claim, we develop a microstructural finite-element (FE) network model of the fibrin matrix. Buckling of individual fibres is modelled by a loss of stiffness in compression for network elements. Our model agrees with previous experimental observations for fibrin, and it predicts both the slow decay of displacements and localization of intercellular tethers. Variants of the model without loss of stiffness in compression fail to predict these effects. The long range of cell-generated displacements and stresses, and the localization into intercellular tensile tethers, allow cells to sense each other and their surroundings over larger distances through a fibrous matrix than through homogeneous hydrogels with linear elastic behaviour. We show evidence that cells respond to localized tension by growing protrusions towards one another, guided by the dense aligned fibres in tethers. This points to fibre microbuckling as an important mechanism responsible for enhanced range of cell mechanosensing in fibrous matrix environments.

2. Results

2.1. Cell-induced matrix displacements

We motivate our model by first considering cell-induced displacements within a three-dimensional fibrous matrix during initial cell spreading. A cell seeded in a three-dimensional matrix initially applies tensile tractions to the fibres by undergoing uniform isotropic contraction while in an essentially spherical state. This suggests Eshelby’s solution for a contracting spherical inclusion in a homogeneous, linear elastic, infinite medium [18], as a simple analytical model for cell-induced matrix deformation. In this solution, the displacement magnitude \( u = u(r) \) scales as \( u(r) \sim r^{-2} \) with distance \( r \) from the cell centre. Stress components, e.g. the radial component \( \sigma_r \), scale as \( \sigma_r \sim r^{-3} \). A spreading, elongated, ellipsoidal cell with polarized alignment, applies tractions equivalent to equal and opposite forces at its poles, i.e. a dipole [19], in view of force equilibrium. Displacements owing to a dipole in a three-dimensional linear elastic continuum also scale as \( u \sim r^{-2} \).

One would thus expect displacements induced by a spreading cell in a three-dimensional matrix to scale similarly.

Using confocal microscopy and digital volume correlation [14,20,21], we measure displacements induced by isolated fibroblast cells embedded in a three-dimensional fibrin matrix. Displacements induced by the cells are largest near to the cell and decrease with distance from the cell (figure 1a). We quantify the rate at which displacements decay over distance by computing displacements along linear paths starting at the centre of cell and ending approximately 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) away (figure 1a, white line). Experimental data from multiple different cells are plotted on a logarithmic scale in figure 1b. Data are fit to the form \( u(r) = A r^{-n} \). Here \( A \) and \( n \) are constants; \( n>0 \) is a decay power. The larger the value of \( n \), the faster the displacement \( u \) decays with distance \( r \) from the cell centre. Fits of the experimental data yield \( n=0.52 \) (mean over data from six cells during multiple time points), indicating that displacements decay much slower than predicted by the linear elastic solution \( n=2 \). The ratio of the RMS errors of fits to \( u \sim r^{-0.52} \) and \( u \sim r^{-2} \) is \( 0.14 \pm 0.07 \) (mean \( \pm \) s.d.); hence the scaling \( u \sim r^{-0.52} \) describes cell-induced displacements in a fibrin matrix far better than the three-dimensional linear elastic scaling \( u \sim r^{-2} \).

A striking difference between fibrin networks and homogeneous gel matrices is the phenomenon of buckling of individual fibres under compression (e.g. [22, fig. 5]). This is directly responsible for the decreased ability of fibrin networks to sustain compressive stresses. Each fibre has very low resistance to bending, much like a flexible string [23]. If one pulls at the ends of a string, it resists tension. If one pushes the ends of a string towards each other, the string bends easily without resisting compression (i.e. it buckles), and this buckling can change the mechanical response of a network [24]. Fibrin exhibits a larger stiffness in tension than compression [10,25] owing to buckling of individual fibres under compression [15,22,26,27]. Is this nonlinearity responsible for the discord between the observed displacement scaling and the prediction based on a linear elastic matrix assumption? While it may be possible to address this via a continuum model for a material with lower stiffness in compression [28], here we present a simple theoretical continuum argument, which we will investigate in detail using a discrete model. As the cell exerts radial contractile traction forces, the stress tensor in the matrix has a tensile (positive) radial component in three-dimensional
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**Figure 1.** Experimentally measured displacements induced by isolated cells embedded within a three-dimensional fibrous matrix. (a) The coloured quivers plot three-dimensional matrix displacement vectors applied by a cell to a three-dimensional fibrin matrix. Paths (white) are chosen proceeding outward from the cell body. (b) Displacement magnitudes along the paths are averaged for multiple time points and plotted. Each curve is for a different cell. The blue curve shows displacements for the cell in (a). The grey shading behind the blue curve shows typical error of the displacement measurement after averaging. Data used to generate these curves is in the electronic supplementary material.
spherical coordinates, and two contractile (negative) hoop (angular) components. Assuming the individual fibres of the fibrin matrix buckle under a small compressive load, the contractile hoop components of the stress tensor are small and can be neglected. This assumption reduces the radial equilibrium equation [29] to

\[
\frac{d\sigma_r}{dr} + 2\frac{\sigma_r}{r} = 0.
\]  

(2.1)

Solving equation (2.1) gives \(\sigma_r \sim r^{-2}\). Thus, stress owing to cell contraction is transmitted over a longer range than under the scaling \(\sigma_r \sim r^{-3}\) predicted by linear elasticity. Assuming piecewise linear stress–strain relations with zero stiffness in compression, \(\sigma_r\) is proportional to the radial strain \(du(r)/dr\) which gives \(u \sim r^{-1}\) (coupling between \(\sigma_r\) and the hoop strains \(\gamma_{\theta\theta}, \gamma_{\phi\phi}\) vanishes due to hyperelastic reciprocity: \(\partial\sigma_r/\partial\gamma_{\theta\theta} = \partial\sigma_{\theta\theta}/\partial\gamma_{\phi\phi} = 0\) as \(\gamma_{\theta\theta} = 0\) in the compressive regime. For more details on a hyperelastic material model that leads to equation (2.1) as a special case, see [28].

The scaling from this simple analysis, \(u \sim r^{-1}\), points towards displacements that propagate over a longer range than the three-dimensional linear elastic scaling, \(u \sim r^{-2}\). Furthermore, the scaling from the theoretical analysis is closer to the experimentally observed scaling, \(u \sim r^{-0.52}\) than to the linear elastic one. This plausibility argument shows the right trend, but ignores the inhomogeneous and discrete nature of the fibrin network. To account for these factors, we turn to a microstructural network model.

### 2.2. Model

We develop a FE-based microstructural model consisting of a two-/three-dimensional network of linear elements representing fibres. This model expands on one that we have recently developed [14]. Each element undergoes uniaxial tension/compression and rotates with no resistance. We model buckling of fibres as a loss of stiffness in compression in the stress–strain relation of individual elements. This agrees qualitatively with observed behaviour in similar systems [30]. In the context of our model, ‘microbuckling’ will refer to elements obeying a stress–strain relation where the stiffness (slope) under compression is smaller than the stiffness under tension (figure 2a, blue line). In the following simulations, we use a ratio of stiffness in compression to stiffness in tension \(\rho = 0.1\). While the choice of \(\rho = 0.1\) is arbitrary, we find that any positive ratio of stiffnesses \(\rho\) significantly less than unity yields similar results. By contrast, ‘no microbuckling’ will refer to elements with \(\rho = 1\), i.e. elements with a linear stress–strain relation without a reduced compression stiffness. For most simulations, networks comprise elements with a bilinear stress–strain relationship (figure 2a, different slopes in tension and compression). We will also account for the possibility of entropic elasticity by employing a wormlike chain-type (WLC) stress–strain relationship [9,23], where the stiffness increases with strain in tension (figure 2b). The elements connect an array of nodes as in figure 2c. Randomness is added to nodal positions to simulate the random array of fibres of different lengths typical of a fibrous network (figure 2d).

Another important aspect of actual fibrin networks is their low connectivity, or coordination number \(C\), i.e. the average number of fibres meeting at a node. The network of figure 2c,d has \(C = 8\), while actual fibrin often has a typical value of \(C = 3\) [31]. This is below the critical value for rigidity, \(C = 6\) or 4 for three- and two-dimensional networks, respectively. As a result, fibrin is typically a ‘floppy’ network, and this affects its mechanical properties [31]. To obtain a model network with lower connectivity (such as \(C = 3\) in figure 2c), we removed elements at random from the original \(C = 8\) network of figure 2d. As in [31], deleted elements were replaced by weak elements, whose stiffness was six orders of magnitude less than that of the deleted ones; this ensured stability of numerical calculations.

In contrast to previous models that focus on the macro-scale behaviour of a fibre network [15–17], we simulate the inhomogeneous, localized displacements induced in a fibrin matrix by an embedded cell. We begin with two-dimensional FE simulations where the cell is modelled as a contracting circle. The circle occupies the region \(a < r < b\), where \(r\) is distance from the cell centre; here \(a\) is the cell radius, and \(b/a = 50\). The outside boundary \(r = b\) is free (a zero traction boundary condition is imposed). The cell boundary \(r = a\) undergoes a radial contractile displacement \(u(a) = -0.1a\). Simulations were performed for different connectivities in the interval \(2.5 < C < 8\) for bilinear element networks with microbuckling and without. The displacement magnitude \(u\) was computed (figure 3a), averaged around the circular region (figure 3b; electronic supplementary material, figure S1a), and fit against distance from the centre of the circular region \(r\) to \(u = Ar^{-n}\) for the constants \(A\) and \(n\). Results, \(n\) plotted versus connectivity \(C\), are shown in figure 3c. In general, the decay power \(n\) for networks with microbuckling (figure 3c, open circles) is substantially lower, by at least 0.4, than for networks without microbuckling of fibres (figure 3c, black circles). This is true for a wide range of connectivities, with an exception near the critical value \(C = 4\); for these values \(n = 0.6\) in both types of networks. We observe larger spatial inhomogeneities of displacement at the scale of individual fibres in networks.

![Figure 2](http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/)
are represent fibres that do not buckle (i.e. with linear stress–strain relation) as individual elements. These fluctuations are due to the change in phase from subcritical to supercritical connectivity as detailed elsewhere [31,32]. For the case without microbuckling (i.e. with linear stress–strain relation) as individual elements have linear stress–strain behaviour, we compare the displacement with the linear elastic two-dimensional solution $u = Ar^{-n} + Br^n$ for the constants $A$, $B$ and $n$. Except near $C = 4$, we find $n = 0.89 \pm 0.04$ (mean ± standard deviation, essentially independent of $C$ over all connectivities). This value of $n = 0.89$ is close to the two-dimensional linear elastic solution $n = 1$. Connectivity does not appear to play a major role in displacement decay except near the critical value. We find no change in these conclusions when the zero traction boundary condition is replaced by a zero displacement condition fixing the external boundary (see electronic supplementary material, figure S3). Thus, we conclude microbuckling is crucial for the slow decay of displacements.

The long range of cell-induced displacements has been previously attributed to strain stiffening [12], but this has been disputed [33]. We observe that the experiments of [12] were performed on fibrin, which exhibits microbuckling. Also, Rudnicki et al. [33] provide evidence against strain stiffening as the underlying mechanism, but do not seem to propose an alternative. To help settle this, we repeated our simulations with elements whose stress–strain curve is of WLC type and stiffens in tension (figure 2b). Two versions of stiffening WLC stress–strain curves were compared. A curve whose slope is continuous at zero strain and increases with $C = 4$ than in those with both subcritical and supercritical connectivity (figure 3b; electronic supplementary material, figure S2). These fluctuations are due to the change in phase from subcritical to supercritical connectivity as detailed elsewhere [31,32]. For the case without microbuckling (i.e. with linear stress–strain relation) as individual elements have linear stress–strain behaviour, we compare the displacement with the linear elastic two-dimensional solution $u = Ar^{-n} + Br^n$ for the constants $A$, $B$ and $n$. Except near $C = 4$, we find $n = 0.89 \pm 0.04$ (mean ± standard deviation, essentially independent of $C$ over all connectivities). This value of $n = 0.89$ is close to the two-dimensional linear elastic solution $n = 1$. Connectivity does not appear to play a major role in displacement decay except near the critical value. We find no change in these conclusions when the zero traction boundary condition is replaced by a zero displacement condition fixing the external boundary (see electronic supplementary material, figure S3). Thus, we conclude microbuckling is crucial for the slow decay of displacements.

The long range of cell-induced displacements has been previously attributed to strain stiffening [12], but this has been disputed [33]. We observe that the experiments of [12] were performed on fibrin, which exhibits microbuckling. Also, Rudnicki et al. [33] provide evidence against strain stiffening as the underlying mechanism, but do not seem to propose an alternative. To help settle this, we repeated our simulations with elements whose stress–strain curve is of WLC type and stiffens in tension (figure 2b). Two versions of stiffening WLC stress–strain curves were compared. A curve whose slope is continuous at zero strain and increases
smoothly in tension models a tension-stiffening material that does not undergo microbuckling (black dashed line, figure 2b). The alternative stress–strain curve has a discontinuous slope at zero strain (10 times smaller than the tangent stiffness for small tensile strain). It models microbuckling (red solid line, figure 2b) combined with tension stiffening. In all cases, values of the decay exponent \( n \) from fits for WLC networks (figure 3d–f; electronic supplementary material, figure S1c) agreed well with fits for bilinear networks of the same connectivity and same (buckling or non-buckling) type (figure 3c). This provides strong evidence that the tension-stiffening non-linearity in the absence of microbuckling is not the cause of the slow displacement decay that we observe.

Until now, we have considered round cell geometries, which do not capture the elongated shape of spread cells. For an anisotropic cell contracting along its long axis, an ellipsoid more accurately captures the cell’s shape. For this geometry, linear elasticity predicts that displacements far from the cell scale as \( u_1 \sim r^{-n} \), where \( n = 2 \) in three dimensions, \( n = 1 \) in two dimensions; \( x_1 \) is the distance along the major axis from the centre of the ellipsoid (or ellipse in two dimensions) and \( u_1 \) is the displacement in the \( x_1 \) direction [18]. To compare with the linear elastic solution, we placed in our fibrous network model an ellipse with a ratio of semi-major and semi-minor axes \( a_1/a_2 = 4 \). As with the contracting circle, the matrix occupied a circular region of radius \( b = 50a \) with the nodes on the boundary \( r = b \) free and \( \alpha \) defined for the ellipse as \( \alpha = \sqrt{a_1 a_2} \). Contractile displacements were applied on the boundary of the ellipse, with non-zero component \( u_1(\alpha) = 0.1 a_1 x_1 /a_1 \) (along the long axis of the ellipse). This is equivalent to subjecting the ellipse to a negative uniaxial strain along the ellipse’s long axis. The largest magnitude of contractile displacement is \( |u_1(\alpha)| = 0.1 a_1 \) (at the ellipse tip), the same value as for the contracting circle. Displacements along the axis of the ellipse (figure 4a) appear to scale similarly to the displacements induced by the contracting circle (figure 3b). Indeed, the fittings to \( u_1 = A \alpha^{1/n} \) show decay powers \( n \) that are significantly smaller for networks with microbuckling (figure 4b, \( \rho = 0.1 \)) than without (figure 4b, \( \rho = 1 \); electronic supplementary material, figure S1b). Like the contracting circle, the ellipse exhibits an exception at the critical connectivity \( C = 4 \). The trend shown in figures 3 and 4 is clear: microbuckling results in cell-induced displacements that propagate over a longer range than predicted by linear elasticity for both a contracting circle and a contractile ellipse.

We also performed three-dimensional simulations (contracting spherical cell), with similar conclusions. We recall that the three-dimensional linear elastic solution predicts \( u \sim r^{-2} \). The theoretical argument based on equation (2.1) gives \( u \sim r^{-3} \), while a fit to our experiments yields \( u \sim r^{-0.52} \).

For three-dimensional networks (microbuckling bilinear elements) with \( C = 14 \), a fit to \( u = A r^{-n} \) gives \( n = 0.67 \). For \( C = 3.5 \) (below the critical value for rigidity \( C = 6 \)), we found \( n = 0.82 \) (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). These results combine to show that microbuckling of fibres is the key mechanism responsible for the longer range of cell-induced deformations in a fibrin matrix.

### 2.3. Tethers

Can cells exploit the long propagation range of matrix deformations they themselves induce for sensing the presence of other cells? We use confocal microscopy to visualize both

![Figure 4. Simulated displacements due to an elongated cell. An ellipse with a ratio of semi-major to semi-minor axes \( a_1/a_2 = 4 \) is simulated contracting along its long axis in a circular region of radius \( b = 50a \) where \( a = \sqrt{a_1 a_2} \). (a) Displacements along the major axis \( u_1 \) are plotted against distance along the axis from the centre of the ellipse \( x_1 \) for connectivities ranging from \( C = 2.5 \) to \( C = 8 \). As in figure 3, fluctuations are observed for connectivities near the critical value \( C = 4 \). (b) Displacements far from the cell (i.e. for \( x_1/a > 5 \)) are fit to \( u_1 = A \alpha^{1/n} \). Solid black circles represent decay powers \( n \) for fibres that do not buckle (\( \rho = 1 \)); open blue circles represent \( n \) for fibres that do buckle (\( \rho = 0.1 \)). For simulations with buckling, except near the critical connectivity \( C = 4 \), decay powers are smaller than the linear elastic solution \( n = 1 \) and smaller than simulations without buckling.](http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/)
region. For a cell with a radius of $a = 10 \mu m$, this value of $0.1a$ corresponds to $1 \mu m$, in agreement with the experimental data (figure 1b).

A different model [37] requires cell displacements nearly an order of magnitude higher than the experimentally observed value of $1 \mu m$ in order to predict appreciable interaction between cells. The simulated tensile strains in our network occur almost entirely in the band between the two cells, along aligned linear paths formed by elements in tension (figure 6a). Compressive strains localize perpendicular to these tensile tethers (figure 6b). Owing to low compression stiffness (microbuckling), the magnitude of compressive strain in elements roughly perpendicular to the tether is more than twice the magnitude of the tensile strain. Thus within the tether, the trace of the strain tensor, or the volumetric strain, is negative, consistent with the observation that matrix fibre density increases between pairs of cells (figure 5). When simulating networks without microbuckling, we found no such tethers forming; instead, tensile strains had a nearly radially symmetric distribution around each cell (electronic supplementary material, figure S5). Thus, we conclude that localization of matrix deformation caused by multiple cells in the tensile, tether-like regions joining those cells occurs because of microbuckling of fibres normal to the tethers.

3. Discussion

We have shown that cells embedded within a fibrin matrix exert forces that cause matrix displacements to propagate over a longer range than predicted by linear elasticity. The long-range propagation of displacements has been previously observed for cells on a flat, two-dimensional fibrous substrate [12] and for multicellular constructs in a three-dimensional matrix [38]. Our observations, first reported in ref. [14], confirm this result for single cells in a three-dimensional system. Here, we further quantify the spatial decay of displacements by fitting to a power law resulting in displacements scaling as $u \sim r^{-0.32}$. While the propagation of displacements over a long range is now apparent, the precise mechanism is...
still unclear. Recent studies have argued for [12] and against [33] the hypothesis that long-range propagation of displacements results from strain stiffening. When we included strain stiffening in the behaviour of fibres, but suppressed compression weakening owing to buckling, long-range propagation was not observed in simulations of our model. Thus, we conclude that fibre buckling—rather than strain stiffening in tension—explains the long-range propagation of displacements observed in the experiments.

To simulate buckling, we used a model that does not resist changes in angle between the elements. Previous work [32] has pointed to bending as an important mechanism that controls the mechanical response of fibrillar materials. However, the model of Broedersz et al. [32] does not allow for buckling or even bending of individual fibres. Instead, it models bending by penalizing changes in angle between initially collinear elements that meet at a node. Moreover, even for models that include bending, forces are dominated not by transverse bending displacements but by axial ones [36]. To address here the question of microbuckling, we focus on these axial displacements. We assume fibres buckle immediately after a compressive load is applied, i.e. we assume the fibre buckling load (equivalently the buckling strain) is equal to zero. Is this assumption reasonable? A typical fibrin fibre with a length of 1 μm, diameter of 0.2 μm, persistence length of 40 μm [23] and Young’s modulus of 15 MPa [39] will buckle at a compressive strain of approximately 4 × 10⁻⁴%. This value is small compared with typical strains experienced in the matrix (approx. 1%), so our choice of setting the transition point between different stiffnesses at the onset of compression (vanishing buckling load) is justified.

Besides long-range propagation of displacements, fibrous materials exhibit what is termed in [11] a ‘negative compressibility’ in uniaxial tension, i.e. a negative ratio of the trace of the stress tensor and the trace of the strain tensor during a uniaxial tension experiment. Fibrous materials also exhibit tensile normal stresses under prescribed shear deformation [10]. This is in essence equivalent to negative normal (compressive) strains when the material is subjected to external tangential forces (prescribed shear stress), but not constrained to expand or contract.

To test whether our fibre model is consistent with these experimental observations, we simulated homogeneous uniaxial tension. We found that when fibres buckle, the model exhibits negative compressibility in tension. In addition, under applied tangential forces equivalent to an external shear stress, the model responded with negative normal strains in shear. When microbuckling is removed from the model, neither of the aforementioned behaviours occurs (electronic supplementary material, figure S6). Thus, our model with fibre microbuckling is consistent with previous experimental work on fibrin [10,11] and collagen [26]. Certainly fibrous materials exhibit nonlinear behaviours besides microbuckling in compression, but our model points to microbuckling as being both consistent with previous experimental work and of major importance to the mechanical response of fibrous materials.

Together, our simulations and experiments reveal that microbuckling of fibrin enables cells to induce displacements that follow linear, tether-like paths that lead to other cells. These displacements propagate over a dramatically longer range than in a linear material. A remaining question of biological relevance is whether cells physically respond to the formation of tethers. In our experiments, we have observed pairs of cells forming pointed protrusions along these tethers and subsequently growing toward one another by several cell diameters (figure 5), sometimes eventually joining two cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S7). A different model indicated that elongated cells initially pointed toward one another may sense displacements induced by their neighbours [37], but it did not answer the question of how cells break their initial spherical symmetry to spread toward one another as observed in our experiments. Our model, which we present here and have described previously [14], suggests a mechanism whereby cells can sense one another during the initially spherical state. Even if each cell is initially spherical and contracts isotropically, the tether formation mechanism that we describe results in greater tension and fibre density that is highly polarized in the direction of neighbouring cells (figure 5). Both tension and fibre density may provide a directional signal: by growing protrusions along the direction of the tethers, cells have a higher chance of approaching one another. The fact that cells change shape and grow along such tethers supports the hypothesis that they use this very same mechanism to sense and even approach their neighbours. We expect future work to further clarify how cells sense the mechanical properties of fibrous materials and how we can better design artificial cell culture platforms to better control cellular response to forces within the extracellular matrix.

4. Material and methods

4.1. Cell culture and matrix preparation

3T3 fibroblast cells stably expressing a green fluorescent protein–actin fusion protein were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1 × non-essential amino acids. Fibrin was fluorescently labelled by mixing fibrinogen (Omxix Biopharmaceuticals, Israel) and 546 Alexa Fluor (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 1 h before filtering with a HiTrap desalting column (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Cell–fibrin constructs were created by suspending the cells in 20 U ml⁻¹ thrombin solution (Omxix), mixing with 5 mg ml⁻¹ labelled fibrinogen solution and placing on a #1.5 coverslip.

4.2. Microscopy and cell-induced matrix displacements

Within 1 h of seeding, cell–matrix constructs were transferred to a custom built 5% CO₂, 37 °C microscope enclosure. Imaging was performed with a Swept Field confocal microscope using a 40 × NA 1.15 water immersion objective (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY, USA). Volume stacks of the cells and fibrin matrix were captured every 15 min over time periods of several hours.

Three-dimensional matrix displacements were computed directly from the images of the labelled fibrin using digital volume correlation [20] with the initial volume stack (before cell spreading) taken as a reference for the correlation. The digital volume correlation software, written in Matlab (The Mathworks), is freely available online [40]. Propagation of cell-induced matrix displacements was quantified by computing displacement magnitudes along multiple linear paths propagating outward from the centre of each initially rounded cell. To reduce errors caused by inhomogeneities within the matrix, displacements were averaged over approximately three different paths and over approximately 10 time points for each cell. After averaging, the standard deviation of the noise level was found to be 0.04 μm.

4.3. Microstructural model

The microstructural model was developed in the FE software ABAQUS v. 6.10 (Dassault Systemes, Waltham, MA). Rod elements
supporting tension and compression but not bending were connected as shown in figure 2c. Elements were randomly deleted to reduce the network connectivity. Removed elements were replaced by elements with stiffness 6 orders of magnitude smaller than the original elements. The choice of using weak elements with stiffness six orders of magnitude smaller than the original elements came after a series of convergence studies showed that further reduction in the stiffness of the weak elements had no effect on the displacements. Under tension, both a linear (figure 2a) and a strain-stiffening WLC relationship were investigated (figure 2b). Under compression a linear stress–strain relationship was used.

Element connectivity as shown in electronic supplementary material, figure S5) were computed by taking the natural within each element (as plotted in figure 5; electronic supplementary material). The network connectivity was reduced by removing elements with stiffness 6 orders of magnitude smaller than the original elements. The choice of using weak elements with stiffness 6 orders of magnitude smaller than the original elements came after a series of convergence studies showed that further reduction in the stiffness of the weak elements had no effect on the displacements. Under tension, both a linear (figure 2a) and a strain-stiffening WLC relationship were investigated (figure 2b).
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