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The x-axis tick labels for REF in the original figure 3 are wrong. In figure 3, there were a total of 11 ticks on the x-axis, and their respective labels are [0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 0, 20, 40, 60, 80]. This is a mistake that we overlooked during the proof-read of the manuscript. The range for REF on the x-axis is from 0% to 100%.

The correct x-axis tick labels are reflected in the revised figure 3 submitted in this correction. In the revised figure, the correct range for REF is labelled with six x-axis tick labels from 0% to 100%. The six x-axis tick labels are [0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100]. There are no other changes to figure 3 except for this re-labelling of the x-axis tick labels. Also, this error in the labelling does not affect any of the results presented in the main text.

Finally, we apologize for any inconvenience caused as a result of our oversight.
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**Figure 3.** Correlation between the REF and RAS for the combined data from normal control and patient groups. The RAS has an excellent correlation with the REF. Fitting to the data is performed using a quadratic functional. (Online version in colour.)